



### FINAL REPORT INTERVENTION: "BEEKEEPING SUPPORT PROJECT IN KIGOMA REGION" TAN 10 025 11



### **Table of Contents**

| TABL         | E OF CONTENTS   | 2        |
|--------------|---|----------|
| ACRO         | NYMS  | 3        |
| INTE         | RVENTION FORM   | 4        |
| GLOB         | AL APPRECIATION                                       | 5        |
| PART         | 1: RESULTS ACHIEVED AND LESSONS LEARNED               | 6        |
| 1            | ASSESSING THE INTERVENTION STRATEGY                   | 6        |
| 1.1<br>1.2   | CONTEXT<br>IMPORTANT CHANGES IN INTERVENTION STRATEGY | 6<br>9   |
| 2            | RESULTS ACHIEVED                                      | 18       |
| 2.1<br>2.2   | TABLE 3: MONITORING MATRIX<br>ANALYSIS OF RESULTS     | 18<br>27 |
| 3            | SUSTAINABILITY  | 39       |
| 4            | LEARNING  | 41       |
| 4.1<br>4.2   | LESSONS LEARNED<br>RECOMMENDATIONS                    | 41<br>42 |
| PART         | 2: SYNTHESIS OF (OPERATIONAL) MONITORING              | 45       |
| 1            | FOLLOW-UP OF DECISIONS BY THE JLPC                    | 45       |
| 2            | EXPENSES  | 49       |
| 3            | DISBURSEMENT RATE OF THE INTERVENTION                 | 53       |
| 4            | PERSONNEL OF THE INTERVENTION                         | 55       |
| 5            | PUBLIC PROCUREMENT                                    | 55       |
| 6            | PUBLIC AGREEMENTS                                     | 61       |
| 7            | EQUIPMENT   | 61       |
| 8            | ORIGINAL LOGICAL FRAMEWORK FROM TFF:                  | 65       |
| 9            | COMPLETE MONITORING MATRIX                            | 66       |
| 10           | TOOLS AND PRODUCTS                                    |          |
| 10.1<br>10.2 | M& E SYSTEMS<br>BACKSTOPPING MISSION REPORTS          | 88<br>88 |

### Acronyms

| AFO      | Administration and Finance Officer                                   |
|----------|--|
| BR       | Beekeeping Reserve   |
| BLS      | Baseline Study   |
| BSPK     | Beekeeping Support Project in Kigoma Region                          |
| BTC      | Belgian Development Agency   |
| CBFM     | Community-Based Forest Management                                    |
| CMT      | Council Management Team  |
| DBO      | District Beekeeping Officer  |
| DED      | District Executive Director  |
| DPIT     | District Project Implementation Team                                 |
| ETR      | End Term Review  |
| GR       | Game Reserve   |
| JFM      | Joint Forest Management  |
| JLPC     | Joint Local Partner Committee  |
| KBCS     | Kasulu Beekeepers Cooperative Society                                |
| LGA      | Local Government Authority   |
| MKGR     | Moyowosi – Kigosi Game Reserve                                       |
| MKUKUTA  | Mkakati wa kukuza Uchumi na kuondoa Umaskini Tanzania                |
| MNRT     | Ministry of Natural Resources and Tourism                            |
| MoU      | Memorandum of Understanding  |
| MTR      | Mid-term Review  |
| NFA      | National Financial Advisor   |
| NPC      | National Project Coordinator   |
| NRM-LED  | Natural Resource Management for Local Economic Development Project   |
| NTA      | National Technical Advisor   |
| SACCOS   | Saving and Credit Cooperative Societies                              |
| OA       | Organizational Assessment  |
| PMO-RALG | Prime Minister's Office Regional Administration and Local Government |
| PO-RALG  | President's Office Regional Administration and Local Governement     |
| PMT      | Project Management Team  |
| TFS      | Tanzania Forestry Services   |
| VCA      | Value Chain Analysis.  |
| VFR      | Village Forest Reserve   |
| VICOBA   | Village Community Bank   |
|          |  |

### **Intervention form**

| Project name                     | Beekeeping Support Project in Kigoma (BSPK)  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Project Code                     | TAN 10 025 11  |
| Location                         | Kigoma Region - Tanzania   |
| Budget                           | Belgium contribution :Euro 2,700,000<br>Partner's Contribution: Euro 150,000   |
| Partner Institution              | Ministry Of Natural Resources and Tourism  |
| Date of implementation Agreement | 6 Years  |
| Duration (months)                | 4 Years  |
| Target groups                    | 5000 Beekeepers, Processors, Traders, Cooperatives and exporters.  |
| Impact <sup>1</sup>              | Contribution of beekeeping sector to the sustainable development of Tanzania enhanced.   |
| Outcome                          | A replicable model of sustainable beekeeping development piloted in Kigoma Region  |
|                                  | Beekeepers increase their incomes through improvement of<br>production and business skills   |
| Outputs                          | Honey value chains improve their efficiency through<br>coordination and capacity building in order to respond to<br>market opportunities |
|                                  | Beekeeping stakeholders and institutions implement models for protection of key forest and bee forage areas.                             |
|                                  | An enabling environment for sustainable beekeeping is<br>enhanced at local district and national levels                                  |
|                                  | Beekeeping Support Project in Kigoma (BSPK)  |
| Project Code                     | TAN 10 025 11  |
| Location                         | Kigoma Region - Tanzania   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Impact is a synonym for global objective, Outcome is a synonym for specific objective, output is a synonym for result

### **Global appreciation**

| Describe   | Describe   |
|--|--|
| Tanzania has been at the forefront of African                            | Focusing on market aspect the project                                    |
| countries in developing national beekeeping                              | has being facilitating some linkages                                     |
| policy (NBP) and national beekeeping                                     | between beekeepers and business  |
| programme and is the second largest honey-                               | service providers and/or potential                                       |
| producer in Africa after Ethiopia. Beekeeping                            | buyers. For the beekeepers to be able                                    |
| sector plays an important role in socio-                                 | fetch better prices of their produce, the                                |
| economic development and environmental                                   | project has established some   |
| conservation: it generates approximately 1.2                             | processing facilities (collection centres)                               |
| million USD annually to Tanzania economy                                 | equipped with some facilities for  |
| through the sales of honey and beeswax.                                  | undertaking some value addition  |
| In the course of implementation the                                      | activities. Two collections have gone to                                 |
| Beekeeping Support Project in Kigoma Region                              | as far as acquiring TBS and UKI  |
| has actually contributed to the improve of                               | certification, acquisition of such                                       |
| household income, efficiency of honey and                                | certification for the rest is on-going.                                  |
| beeswax value chains and forest  | The queen rearing was facilitated as                                     |
| conservation, and also has enhanced enabling                             | well through training to selected  |
| environment by supporting Local Authorities to                           | beekeepers in their respective queen                                     |
| prepare beekeeping by-laws and MNRT to                                   | rearing centres.   |
| review National Beekeeping Policy 1998.                                  | These have been possible through close                                   |
| Furthermore, the intervention supported                                  | collaboration and good working   |
| beekeepers through demarcation and                                       | relationship between BTC and   |
| approval of bee reserves and zoned Village                               | implementing partners (MNRT, PO-   |
| Forest Reserves.   | RALG, LGAs and RAS-Kigoma).  |
| <b>Score</b> your global appreciation of the intervention <sup>2</sup> : | <b>Score</b> your global appreciation of the intervention <sup>3</sup> : |
| Very Satisfactory  | Very Satisfactory  |
| National execution official <sup>4</sup>                                 | BTC execution official <sup>5</sup>                                      |
| Mrs.Mwanahamisi Mapolu   | Nicodemus Mpemba   |
| Sign   | Sign   |
| National Project Coordinator   | National Technical Advisor   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Very satisfactory - Satisfactory - Non satisfactory, in spite of some positive elements - Non satisfactory

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Very satisfactory - Satisfactory - Non satisfactory, in spite of some positive elements - Non satisfactory

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Name and Signature <sup>5</sup> Name and Signature

# PART 1: Results achieved and lessons learned

### **1** Assessing the intervention strategy

### 1.1 Context

### **1.1.1 General Context**

The National Strategy for Growth and Poverty Reduction (NSGRP) commonly known as MKUKUTA which was launched by the Government of Tanzania to actually make sure that the Tanzania Development Vision (TDV) is realized by focusing on Poverty reduction through enhanced economic growth. The Project has been operating in line with one of MKUKUTA targets under cluster I, "The target most related to beekeeping include reduced negative impacts on environment and livelihoods; reduced land degradation and loss of biodiversity; increased sustainable off-farm income generating activities and increased contribution from natural resources and environment to incomes of rural communities

Tanzania is the second largest honey-producer in Africa after Ethiopia and has been at the forefront of African countries in developing a National Beekeeping Policy (NBP) and a National Beekeeping Programme (NBKP) as an instrument for its policy implementation (USAID, 2012). The beekeeping sector plays an important role in socio-economic development and environmental conservation. It is the source of food, raw materials for various industrial products (eg.shoe polish, skin balms, skin cream, liniments etc.), medicine (Eg.propolis tincture, etc) and provides good income for rural people. It is estimated that beekeeping generates approximately 1.2 million USD annually to the Tanzanian economy from sales of honey and beeswax.

The "Beekeeping Support Project in Kigoma Region" was actually designed to build on and consolidate achievements of the previous project in Kigoma and Kibondo districts. The project commonly referred to as the "Beekeeping Improvement Project (BIP)"was supported by Government of Tanzania and Kingdom of Belgium concentrated on Development and Improvement of Processing, Packaging and Marketing of Honey, Bees Wax and other Bee Products in Tanzania. The implementation phase of the BIP lasted from the 1<sup>st</sup> of August 2007 until the 24<sup>th</sup> of August 2010. An extension was granted until the 24thof August 2011. The budget of BIP totalled 1.87 million EUR, out of which the Government of Belgium contributed 1.2 million EUR.

The Beekeeping Support Project in Kigoma Region intervention is an agreement between the Government of the United Republic of Tanzania and The Kingdom of Belgium. A Specific Agreement was signed between both parties on 8<sup>th</sup> December, 2011 and will last until 8<sup>th</sup> December, 2016. The project duration is four (4) years which started in May,2012 and ends in June 2016. Activities implementation were executed in two phases i) implementation phase of 36 months and ii) consolidation phase of 12 Months.

### **1.1.2 Institutional Context**

The project is implemented within the framework of the National Forest and Beekeeping Policies adopted in March 1998, the National Forest and Beekeeping Programme (NFBKP) which was a ten-year framework (2001-2010) which guides implementation of the Forest and Beekeeping Policies and the Forest Act (No. 14 of 2002) and Beekeeping Act (No. 15 of 2002) that provide legal framework for the management of forests and bee reserves.

Since the start of BSPK intervention in May, 2012, there have been several significant changes of higher ranking officials within the technical Ministry (MNRT) including the Ministers responsible for overseeing Natural resources and Tourism. There also been a restructuring of the institutional setup especially for the forest and beekeeping and also TFS agency became fully operational in 2012 as the result some staffs was transferred to the Agency. The Tanzania Forests Service Agency was established in 2012 as a semi-autonomous body within the Ministry. It was registered officially in the Government Gazette-GN No. 269 of 30<sup>th</sup> July 2010 as part of Public Reform Programme (PSRP). TFS has taken over the operational roles and functions of Forestry and Beekeeping Division (FBD) and is responsible for management of national forest reserves (natural and plantations), bee reserves, and forest and bee resources on general lands. The Forestry and Beekeeping Division has remained with the responsibilities of developing the forest and beekeeping policies, laws and regulations and overseeing their implementation in the sector. However, the anchorage of the project remained under Forestry and Beekeeping division within the MNRT .The National Project Coordinator of the project is a representative of the MNRT but she works under TFS. All these changes did not have any negative impact on the execution of project activities instead have enhanced the management and conservation of forest and bee resources for sustainable supply of quality forest and bee products.

Apparently the Ministry of Natural Resources and Tourism (MNRT) is revising the National Beekeeping Policy of 1998. The revision of the National Beekeeping Policy is very crucial for beekeepers all over Tanzania. The revised Policy will be the new context in which beekeeping sector will operate. The project represented by District Beekeeping Officers participated in some policy review sessions. More support from BSPK and participation of its staff is foreseen in the upcoming review sessions.

In 2012 the Prime minister's (via PMO-RALG) instructed district councils concerned (including those of Kigoma, Kasulu and Kibondo) to upgrade their beekeeping section (currently within the Land & Natural Resources Management Department of the district council) to a more independent beekeeping unit directly reporting to the DED. The restructuring led to an increased importance, exposure and level of funding of the beekeeping support role of the district councils involved.

In October, 2015, the Tanzanian Government held a 5<sup>th</sup> general election since the restoration of the multi-party system in 1992. Voters elected the President, Members of Parliament, and local government councillors. The National Election Committee announced ruling party (CCM) candidate His Excellency John Pombe Magufuli a winner for the presidential post. The results did not have any negative impact on the beekeeping Act, beekeeping policy and implementation of beekeeping activities instead it accelerated the execution of BSPK activities with its campaign slogan of "hapa kazi tu" meaning "we're here to work".

### **1.1.3 Execution Modalities**

In conformity with the principles of economy, effectiveness, efficiency and transparency, BTC has signed an execution agreement with the contracting party (Mother District Councils representing the new ones as well). In view of implementing the activities of BSPK, the object (Article 2) of the agreement is to entrust the district council with: (1) budgeting / planning, (2) the operational management and (3) the reporting on: (a) commitments and expenditures, (b) progress in implementation of activities and (3) progress in attainment of expected project outcome and results.

Within the District Councils, there is a Local Government Planning and Reporting Database (PLANREP) which is designed to assist the local authorities in planning, budgeting, revenue projections from all sources, tracking funds received, and physical implementation/ expenditure. Currently, some District Councils are using EPICOR accounting system while others do manual accounting.

An important element within the institutional set-up of the project relates to the execution modalities. The budget of the Belgian contribution for BSPK is divided into the own-management/REGIE and co-management /COGEST modes. The REGIE mode encompasses mainly expenditures for technical assistance (for instance salaries of the PMT, evaluation costs) and for investments such as cars. In legal terms, it follows Belgian laws and regulations. Payments under Regie are directly administered by BTC. The Cogest mode covers primarily expenditures for activities, follows Tanzanian laws and regulations and is administered through the Tanzanian administrative system. Initially, the COGEST budget was to be administered through special district project accounts. A new government directive allowed the districts to operate not more than six accounts (JLPC May 2012), which made the operation of a special project district account as stipulated in TFF not

possible. Instead, contributions by development partners were to be channeled through a single district development account. However, the districts were encountering difficulties in the operation of the EPICOR accountancy software and also unreliable internet connectivity, which posed a number of challenges that led to delays in making payment procedures. The project therefore opted for paying cash advances to district officers who were entrusted with the execution of project related activities. This option made daily operations more flexible, the recent auditors' report criticized the practice by highlighting some risks involved in these procedures and the lack of transparency (Moore Stephens LLP 2014: 22).Several meetings held between BTC-representation and the Tanzanian authorities to discuss the possibilities to open separate project accounts in all Districts and the use of the EPICOR system did not come to a conclusion. The decision made by JLPC in September 2014 that one district within the project should test the use of the EPICOR miscellaneous account, with another District testing the use of the EPICOR development account. However, the decision on the accounting for each District was to be made by BTC.

Currently, the financing modality for most of BSPK activities is Mobile Cash Transfer system and ebanking. These systems replaced physical cheque with direct payment from beneficiary bank account to service provider bank account, allow internet access to bank statements and replace physical cash movements by mobile transfer of cash via e-banking and mobile Cash transfer (M-PESA). The mobile Cash Transfer System helps the imprest holder to carry the same cash in a "mobile wallet" commonly known as SIM Cards then convert it in a small instalments when need arises. This is very easily done (Cashing in Instalments) since Mobile Cash Transfer Agents are spread all over the Country. Carrying cash in a Mobile Wallets reduces the chances of being a target to car hijacking and robbery while on transit Filed missions. Also in comparison to the previous system "Advance system and funding through miscellaneous account "which showed a lot of weakness which resulting in delays in executing most of the activities, the mobile Cash Transfer has reduced number of un-accounted funds/advances and there is clear audit trail for all the payments done from the dedicated SIM-Cards registered under project name.

It should be clearly understood that the mobile Cash Transfer system is just a "Petty Cash" system. It does not in any way affect the previous payment approval procedure where a District council approval (for co-management) is obtained through a payment request / authorization form signed by the DED, therefore did not require JLPC approval. Previously, project staff members were used to carrying large volume of physical cash to the field for project implementation.

### 1.1.4 Harmo-Context

In 2014 BSPK was contacted by Women Craft, a community-driven social enterprise active in Ngara, Kagera Region. With the aim at broadening their income generating activities "GROW project", Women Craft decided to start promoting beekeeping in the communities in Ngara. BSPK hosted GROW project in Kibondo district as part of knowledge sharing and learning from each other. The BSPK represented by the District Beekeeping Officer from Kibondo conducted some technical training to the Grow Project in Ngara. Following the knowledge they received from the BSPK team, the GROW project has managed to establish a Beekeeper Cooperative Society, and also they are undertaking value addition to bee products.

The BSPK, in collaboration with Tanzania Forest Services (TFS) has being supporting beekeepers in Kagerankanda ward in Kasulu District. In May, 2015 TFS supported 500 hives to 10 groups with 25 members, each group received 50 hives. Also, since 2014 BSPK in Kasulu district started collaborating with World Vision through its WEKEZA project to support beekeepers in Kagerankanda ward. Of recent, WEKEZA project supported two groups (Mahula & Nkanda) with 10 hives, each group received 5 hives. Apart from beekeeping activities WEKEZA project also works on awareness creation on mitigation of child labour and material support to families with children who are likely to be involved in child labour.

Likewise, in Uvinza District the Tanzania Forest Service (TFS) supported Wanyuki beekeepers group in Kibaoni with 50 hives, the group which was established by support from BSPK. Apart from support beekeepers received from either BSPK or TFS, Uvinza District Council has shown a very good example with regard to support to beekeepers. In 2015 the district council dished out a loan (revolving fund) amounting to Tsh. 8million to 4 beekeeper groups, each group received Tsh.2Million. These groups are Lukunda-Nguruka, Wanyuki-Luchugi, Juhudi-Mwamila and

Serengeti-uvinza. Also one of the beekeepers group in Uvinza called Mwakizega received grant from Tanzania Forest Fund amounting to Tsh.5, 000,000.00, the group also is being supported by BSPK. The money was used for making 30 modern bee hives and 10 bee suits. Discussion on accessing grant from Tanzania Forest Fund is ongoing between Wanyuki group and Tanzania Forest Fund. The Jane Goodall Institute also is continuing to support beekeepers in some villages where BSPK is active; these villages are *Bitale, Ngaranganza, Simbo* and *Kagongo* in Kigoma District and *Katete, Nyamusi* and *Kaseke* villages in Uvinza District. Major supports given to beekeepers are modern bee hives and commercial beekeeping trainings.

The Kibondo District Council supported BSPK initiatives by providing loan (revolving fund) amounting to Tsh.1.7 Million to one of the beekeepers group called *Mlange* Beekeepers Group. Whereas Kakonko DC supported three beekeepers groups with modern bee hives; *Mama na nyuki* –*Nyabibuye* (25), *Mama na nyuki* -*Nyaronga* (25) and *Kasuga* beekeepers' groups (30).

In addition the Prime ministers' Office dished out 100 beehives to *Bitulana* group in Kibondo DC and Tsh.2Million to Buyungu Beekeepers Cooperative in Kakonko DC. All these producer groups/associations received support from BSPK ranging from creation, registration, organizational and management skills.

### **1.2 Important changes in intervention strategy**

### **1.2.1 Intervention Logic**

The envisaged impact/goal of the project was defined as "Contribution of the beekeeping sector to the sustainable development of Tanzania enhanced". The outcome/specific objective was designed as "A replicable model of sustainable beekeeping development piloted in Kigoma Region". The approach for achieving the specific objective was to increase the income of beekeepers through the improvement of production and business skills (output/result 1), to improve the efficiency of honey value chains (output/result 2), to support the engagement of relevant stakeholders and institutions in the protection of key forest and bee forage areas (output/result 3) and an enabling environment for sustainable beekeeping development is enhanced at local, district and national levels(output/result 4). The intended direct beneficiaries are 5,000 beekeepers, processors, traders and exporters as well as their families in the districts of Kigoma, Kasulu and Kibondo. Indirect beneficiaries include the suppliers of relevant inputs, the District Council and the population as a whole of the partner districts (BSPK-TFF 2011: 47).

Following the administrative reform of the districts in Tanzania, the project districts were officially divided in 2014. Therefore, the intervention now covers six districts that included the three new districts of Uvinza (formerly part of Kigoma), buhigwe (formerly part of Kasulu) and Kakonko (formerly part of Kibondo).Unlike Uvinza and Kakonko districts, during formulation of BSPK, Buhigwe district was not considered been part of BSPK interventions after the split of Kasulu district. The decision to include Buhigwe came after JLPC meeting held on March 2015. The decision was reached after a survey of Buhigwe's beekeeping potential conducted in 2015. The findings revealed that there is beekeeping potential in Buhigwe district.

There were some preparatory works made during the inception phase in the second half of 2012 (a total of six months). These included a Baseline study (December, 2012), an organizational assessment (November 2012), a Value Chain analysis (December 2012) and BTC-HQ backstopping missions. Each of these assignments came up with some conceptual recommendations. For the Baseline Study, a total of 200 beekeepers were interviewed and complementary information was gathered at the district and MNRT level. Afterward, a validation workshop was held with the DPIT's and PMT which helped to improve the reliability of data, as certain data gathered at the district were not reliable and incomplete. The main issues assessed by the OA were the intervention logic, project planning and execution, project reporting monitoring & evaluation, financial-administrative issues, and capacity building needs. Regarding the intervention logic the following observations were made by the OA; (i) The TFF does not provide an explicit

intervention logic and the intervention strategy is insufficiently developed; (ii) The TFF does not provide clear linkages between the activities and the formulated results to be achieved;

The OA recommended (according to the point of view of the consultant) the development of a more explicit, system based and flexible intervention logic upon which was supposed to:-

- a) Build on such intervention logic is to identify and agree upon the key "sub-results"<sup>6</sup> within each of the four results as defined in the TFF.
- b) Identify the activities that could lead to such sub-results
- c) Understand that a same activity might be contributing to several sub-results and that a same sub-result might be essential for several results.
- Avoids using "assumptions", but prefers an explicit identification of the "conditions for success" linked to results, sub-results and/or activities

With regard to OA proposal the JLPC discussed the proposal presented by the PMT to drop some of them; 12 out of 143 recommendations were dropped with some observations. Regardless of having this proposal, there were no major changes in the intervention logic accepted by JLPC as proposed by Organizational Assessment; the key features of the intervention logic remained the same as presented in the original TFF<sup>7</sup> (JLPC, October, 2013).

#### Table 1: PROPOSED SUB-RESULTS IN EACH OF THE FOUR RESULTS

| Activities envisaged in the TFF for each result.                                       |                | ts for each result, as proposed by the ional assessment   |
|--|----------------|---|
| A.1: Beekeepers increase their incomes   | through im     | provement of production and business skills               |
| 1.1 Increase production in quality and   | A.1.x1         | (Potential) Beekeepers are sensitised                     |
| quantity   | A.1.x2         | The creation of beekeeper groups is facilitated           |
| 1.2 Improve business skills Staff, office,<br>transport, training materials, expertise |                | through sustainable approaches                            |
| transport, training materials, expense   | A.1.x3         | Synergy between beekeeper grouping and VSL                |
|  |                | grouping (Village Saving & Lending) is                    |
|  |                | facilitated through sustainable approaches                |
|  | A.1.x4         | Strengthened beekeepers capacity in                       |
|  |                | increasing the quality of their honey                     |
|  | A.1.x5         | Strengthened beekeepers capacity with respect             |
|  |                | to modern beekeeping (skills & resources)                 |
|  | A.1.x6         | Strengthened business skills of beekeepers                |
|  |                | and of their groups and cooperatives                      |
|  |                | hrough coordination and capacity building in              |
| 2.1 Facilitate common planning and   | A.2.x1         | Honey and bee products value chains are                   |
| development of chain coordination  | 7.2.71         | mapped and documented                                     |
| structures   | A.2.x2         | A chain upgrading strategy is agreed with all             |
| 2.2 Provide market information and other   | , <u>.</u> .,. | the actors of the chain.                                  |
| strategic information to value chain   | A.2.x3         | Chain coordination structures are planned,                |
| actors Staff, office, transport, training materials, expertise                         |                | conceived and made operational.                           |
| 2.3 Facilitate linkage between producers   | A.2.x4         | The roles of beekeeper groups and                         |
| and buyers   |                | cooperatives are improved and their                       |
| 2.4 Link beekeepers to service providers   |                | governance is strengthened                                |
| 2.5 Support to chain actors in improving   | A.2.x5         | Market information and other strategic                    |
| 2.6 Optimize use of processing centres   |                | information is sustainable being provided to              |
| 2.7 Support diversification towards wax  |                | value chain actors  |
| processing and sale of bee colonies  | A.2.x6         | Market linkages and marketing are sustainable<br>improved |
|  | A.2.x7         | Linkages between beekeepers and service                   |
|  |                | providers are sustainably created or improved.            |
|  | A.2.x8         | The development and optimised use of                      |
|  |                | collection and processing centres are facilitated         |
|  |                | in a sustainable manner.                                  |
|  | A.2.x9         | Diversification towards wax processing, sale of           |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Table 1 : Provides a first proposal for such "sub-results

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Refer Chapter 8:Table 5:Original Logical frame work from the TFF

| Activ<br>resu | vities envisaged in the TFF for each It.                                 |            | ults for each result, as proposed by the<br>ational assessment                  |
|---------------|--|------------|---|
|               |  |            | bee colonies, etc. is sustainable achieved                                      |
| A.3:          | Beekeeping stakeholders and institute bee forage areas.                  | utions imp | lement models for protection of key forest an                                   |
| 3.1           | Spatial planning: identification and                                     | A.3.x1     | Spatial planning: land use planning systems                                     |
|               | prioritisation of important beekeeping                                   |            | comprise appropriate and sustainable practices                                  |
| 3.2           | areas and protection status<br>Study on the environmental threats to     |            | for identification and prioritisation of  |
| 0.2           | beekeeping   |            | beekeeping areas;   |
| 3.3           | Facilitate the setup of local and district                               | A.3.x2     | CBFM / PFM initiatives, BR and/or BKZ are                                       |
|               | level stakeholders initiatives   |            | established and/or their protection status is                                   |
| 3.4           | Support to the establishment of CBFM initiatives, Bee reserves and       |            | improved; such establishment and protection processes have been streamlined and |
|               | beekeeping zones   |            | sustainable embedded in district operations.                                    |
| 3.5           | Facilitate Beekeeping in   | A.3.x3     | Environmental threats to beekeeping have  |
|               | Moyowosi/Kigosi Game Reserve   | A.3.X3     | been studied and made available for further                                     |
| ~ ~           | (MKGR)   |            | improvement of beekeeping policies at all                                       |
| 3.6           | Support to monitoring of beekeeping reserves and other beekeeping linked |            | levels.   |
|               | CBFM and land use planning   | A.3.x4     | Local and district level stakeholder consultation                               |
|               | mechanisms   |            | platforms are created and contribute to   |
|               |  |            | sustainable and fair value chains.  |
|               |  | A.3.x5     | Synergy between beekeeping and initiatives /                                    |
|               |  |            | grouping for CBFM / PFM is facilitated through                                  |
|               |  |            | sustainable approaches.   |
|               |  | A.3.x6     | Synergy between organic farming and   |
|               |  |            | beekeeping is facilitated through sustainable                                   |
|               |  |            | approaches.   |
|               |  | A.3.x7     | Beekeeping in Moyowosi/Kigosi Game Reserv<br>(MKGR) is facilitated.             |
|               |  | A.3.x8     | Switching from pesticide cropping (tobacco,                                     |
|               |  |            | coffee, etc.) to pesticide free cropping in                                     |
|               |  |            | (potential) beekeeping zones is effectively                                     |
|               |  |            | promoted.   |
|               |  | A.3.x9     | The monitoring of beekeeping reserves,  |
|               |  |            | beekeeping zones and other beekeeping-linke                                     |
| A.4:          | An anabling any ironmont for such  | ainabla bi | CBFM is improved.<br>eekeeping development is enhanced at loca                  |
|               | district and national levels.  |            |   |
| 4.1           | Strengthen district capacity to monitor honey production                 | A.4.x1     | (Replicable) Models of sustainable beekeeping                                   |
| 4.2           | Support beekeeping by-laws and policy                                    | A.4.x2     | are developed and documented<br>Strengthened district capacity to monitor       |
|               | implementation   | A.4.XZ     | beekeeping support initiatives and their results                                |
| 4.3           | Support district capacity to monitor and                                 | A.4.x3     | Beekeeping policy, regulations, by-laws and                                     |
| 4.4           | enforce NRM regulations  | 7          | user rights at district and local levels are                                    |
| +.4           | Support MNRT to improve the regulatory framework for beekeeping          |            | developed and improved.   |
|               | development and beekeeping reserves                                      | A.4.x4     | Strengthened district capacity to monitor and                                   |
| 4.5           | Raise awareness on environmental   |            | enforce national NRM regulations and  |
|               | and socio-economic benefits of   |            | beekeeping policy, regulations, by-laws and                                     |
|               | beekeeping   |            | user rights defined at district or local levels                                 |
|               |  | A.4.x5     | Strengthened MNRT capacity leading to   |
|               |  |            | improved regulatory framework for beekeeping                                    |
|               |  |            | development and beekeeping reserves.  |
|               |  | A.4.x6     | Increased awareness on environmental and  |
|               |  |            | socio-economic benefits of beekeeping   |

However, from the point of view of the evaluator during MTR it was revealed that some indicators were adequately defined and some did not entirely meet SMART criteria, a critical analysis of every indicator defined in the TFF was made as presented in Table 2 below (MTR-BSPK 2014, Annex 6).

| Present indicators  | Need for revision? If so, for what reason?  | Revised indicators<br>(proposal)  | Consultant comments  | PMT Comments  |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| <i>Outcome (project objective):</i><br>A replicable model of sustainable  | e beekeeping development piloted in Kigoma  | Region  |  |   |
| Indicator 1:<br>Strategic beekeeping<br>development plan implemented<br>by the three district authorities by<br>the end of the project.                   | At present, the project is not engaged in<br>developing a strategic beekeeping<br>development plan in the partner districts.<br>The debriefing meeting held in Kigoma on<br>the 2 <sup>nd</sup> of May 2014 revealed that it is<br>unclear for the stakeholders, what "strategic<br>beekeeping plan" means. Is it meant as a<br>strategic plan as other government strategic<br>plans at district level or a plan developed on<br>the basis of BSPK's experience with the<br>cooperative-led beekeeping development<br>model, that is currently evolving from the<br>project? | Clarification from BTC<br>headquarters is needed.   |  | BSPK document was<br>derived from districts<br>strategic plans.<br>In the course<br>implementation BSPK<br>considers district strategic<br>plans. |
| Indicator 2:<br>Number of villages participating in<br>beekeeping related development<br>and PFM activities in the three<br>districts.                    | Okay.   | Not applicable.   |  | Okay  |
| Indicator 3:<br>% of forest areas designated as<br>important beekeeping areas<br>under some form of effective<br>management by the end of the<br>project. | The project uses the number of Beekeeping<br>Reserves (BR) and of Village Forest Reserves<br>(VFR) as numeric values for the end target.<br>This does not comply with the indicator which<br>set a percentage target.   | Change the indicator into<br>the following formulation:<br>Number of Beekeeping<br>Reserves (BR) and of<br>Village Forest Reserves<br>(VFR) gazette by the end<br>of the project. | VFR allow beekeeping<br>practices but also other<br>forms of sustainable forest<br>utilization such as<br>collecting mushrooms,<br>herbs and firewood. | Consultant proposal accepted  |
| Indicator 4:  | Okay.   | Not applicable.   |  | Okay  |

| Table 2: "Beekeeping Support Project in Kigoma Region (BSPK)", Tanzania:<br>Proposals for the revision of outcome (objective) and output (results) indicators |  |  |   |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Present indicators  | Need for revision? If so, for what reason?   | Revised indicators<br>(proposal)   | Consultant comments   | PMT Comments  |  |  |
| % increase of households<br>(distinction between women and<br>man headed) involved in the bee<br>products value chain   |  |  |   |   |  |  |
| Indicator 5:<br>% increase in the value of bee<br>keeping products from the three<br>districts compared to baseline.  | Okay.  | Not applicable.  | "Value" of beekeeping<br>products is understood as<br>production multiplied by<br>the average product price<br>in a year.   | Okay  |  |  |
| Output 1:   | es through improvement of production and <b>b</b>  |  |   |   |  |  |
| Indicator 1.1:<br>Beekeepers practicing modern<br>beekeeping practices.   | Modern beekeeping practices consist of a<br>range of techniques such as the use of<br>modern beehives, the selection of areas with<br>adequate and frequently available blossoms,<br>and the use of protective gears and of<br>smokers. However, the baseline study has<br>only counted the number of modern<br>beehives at the level of selected villages<br>(see annex III of the baseline report). It is<br>therefore suggested to adjust the indicator<br>accordingly. | Indicator 1.1:<br>Number and percentage<br>of beekeepers using<br>modern beehives. | Hints for data gathering:<br>Instead, the project uses<br>the number of beekeepers<br>mobilized into groups for<br>assessing the<br>accomplishment of this<br>indicator (see Annual<br>Report 2013, p. 21). This<br>is not correct as group<br>members may still follow<br>traditional practices.<br>In future, the project<br>should therefore gather<br>information on the current<br>use of modern beehives.<br>The data should be<br>gathered in the same<br>villages as in the baseline<br>study in order to allow for | Indicator 1.1: Percentage<br>of beekeepers using<br>modern beehives |  |  |

| Present indicators   | Need for revision? If so, for what reason?  | Revised indicators<br>(proposal)  | Consultant comments   | PMT Comments                     |
|--|---|---|---|----------------------------------|
|  |   |   | comparison.   |                                  |
| <i>Indicator 1.2</i><br>% increase in the average<br>quantity of honey per beehive.  | The key question is "Do individual<br>beekeepers enhance their productivity".<br>Many beekeepers use a mix of traditional<br>and modern beehives (see baseline study p.<br>43). Hence, the productivity at the level of<br>individual beekeepers can be assessed by<br>dividing their overall production through the<br>total number of beehives.   | <i>Indicator 1.2</i><br>% increase in the<br>average quantity of<br>honey per beehive per<br>beekeeper.   |   | proposal                         |
|  | The question, how productivity per type of<br>beehive develops in the course of the project<br>develops, is less relevant for assessing<br>result 1. We already know from the baseline<br>study that there is a vast difference between<br>the various types. The average productivity<br>per beekeeper is more revealing for the<br>overall pace of change among beekeepers.   |   |   |                                  |
| <i>Indicator 1.3</i><br>% increase in the value of bee<br>products due to increase in yield,<br>improved quality and<br>diversification. | <ul> <li>(i) Probably "revenue" is a more adequate term compared to "value". "Revenue" means you have actually got the money, while "value" means something not effected (for instance: "The market value of my house decreased from 10,000 EUR to 5,000 EUR", meaning that this is what I get <i>if</i> I sell my house today).</li> <li>(ii) It is also difficult to collect data on revenues that are specifically the result of increases in yield, quality and diversification. It is easier to collect the data on revenue and then to interpret the data with the help of the</li> </ul> | Indicator 1.3<br>% increase in the<br>revenue derived from<br>bee products per<br>beekeeper.<br>Note: Here, revenue is<br>defined as sales of<br>beekeeping products in<br>terms of kg multiplied by<br>sales prices. | Hints for data gathering:<br>The baseline study does<br>not contain information on<br>revenue per beekeeper. It<br>is therefore suggested to<br>conduct a new baseline<br>specifically on revenue<br>and the use of revenue<br>within the beekeeping<br>households. | Okay with consultant<br>proposal |

-

| Table 2: "Beekeeping Support Project in Kigoma Region (BSPK)", Tanzania:<br>Proposals for the revision of outcome (objective) and output (results) indicators   |   |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Need for revision? If so, for what reason?  | Revised indicators<br>(proposal)  | Consultant comments   | PMT Comments   |  |  |
| information on the other indicators.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| r efficiency through coordination and capacit   | y building in order to resp   | ond to market   |  |  |  |
| Okay.   | Not applicable.   | Hints for reporting:  | Okay with consultant   |  |  |
|   |   | The annual report 2013<br>does not analyse the %<br>increase, because it does<br>not present national data.<br>This still needs to be<br>done.  | proposal   |  |  |
| Difficult to measure as it is not clear whether<br>actors other than beekeepers would be<br>willing to provide accurate figures.<br>The question is also, whether an increase in<br>the efficiency of the bee products value<br>chain requires a redistribution of gross<br>margins. This sounds as if processors and<br>traders are opponents of beekeepers. Also,<br>diverging gross margins within beekeeping<br>(lower for bark hives, higher for log hives)<br>can provide an incentive for modernization. | Two alternative<br>proposals:<br>(i) Compare gross sales<br>prices of beekeepers<br>with sales prices of<br>traders and analyze<br>whether the difference<br>between the two is<br>widening or becoming<br>smaller (as a proxy for<br>"redistribution").<br>ii) Define an indicator on<br>the institutional set-up,<br>for instance: "Action plan<br>defined by the District<br>Value Chain Platforms   | Consider additional<br>indicators on (i) the<br>number of certified<br>collection centers put into<br>operation, (ii) introduction<br>of market information<br>system and (iii) conduct of<br>further honey expositions<br>and their sustainable<br>funding.  | Okay with consultant proposal  |  |  |
|   | Difficult to measure as it is not clear whether actors other than beekeepers would be willing to provide accurate figures.         The question is also, whether an increase in the efficiency of the bee products value chain requires a redistribution of gross margins. This sounds as if processors and traders are opponents of beekeepers. Also, diverging gross margins within beekeeping (lower for bark hives, higher for log hives) | Need for revision? If so, for what reason?Revised indicators<br>(proposal)information on the other indicators.refficiency through coordination and capacity building in order to respOkay.Not applicable.Okay.Not applicable.Difficult to measure as it is not clear whether<br>actors other than beekeepers would be<br>willing to provide accurate figures.Two alternative<br>proposals:<br>(i) Compare gross sales<br>prices of beekeepers<br>with sales prices of<br>traders are opponents of beekeepers. Also,<br>diverging gross margins within beekeeping<br>(lower for bark hives, higher for log hives)<br>can provide an incentive for modernization.Two alternative<br>proposals:<br>(i) Compare gross sales<br>prices of beekeepers<br>with sales prices of<br>traders are apponents of beekeepers. Also,<br>diverging gross margins within beekeeping<br>(lower for bark hives, higher for log hives)<br>can provide an incentive for modernization.ii) Define an indicator on<br>the institutional set-up,<br>for instance: "Action plan<br>defined by the District | Towards output (results) indicatorsNeed for revision? If so, for what reason?Revised indicators<br>(proposal)Consultant commentsinformation on the other indicators.efficiency through coordination and capacity building in order to respond to marketOkay.Not applicable.Hints for reporting:<br>The annual report 2013<br>does not analyse the %<br>increase, because it does<br>not present national data.<br>This sounds as if processors and<br>traders are opponents of beekeepers. Also,<br>diverging gross margins within beekeepers. Also,<br>diverging gross margins within beekeepers.<br>(lower for bark hives, higher for log hives)<br>can provide an incentive for modernization.Two alternative<br>proposals:<br>(i) Compare gross sales<br>with sales prices of<br>whether the difference<br>between the two is<br>widening or becoming<br>smaller (as a proxy for<br>"redistribution").Consider additional<br>indicators on<br>for instance: "Action plan<br>defined by the District<br>Value Chain Platforms<br>on improving efficiency of |  |  |

| Table 2: "Beekeeping Support Project in Kigoma Region (BSPK)", Tanzania:<br>Proposals for the revision of outcome (objective) and output (results) indicators         |  |  |  |   |  |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| Present indicators  | Need for revision? If so, for what reason?   | Revised indicators<br>(proposal)             | Consultant comments  | PMT Comments  |  |
|   |  | chain implemented".                          |  |   |  |
| <i>Output 3:</i><br>Beekeeping stakeholders and ins   | titutions implement models for protection of   | key forest and bee forage                    | areas.   |   |  |
| Indicator 3.1:<br>Multi-stakeholder Forest<br>Conservation Platform is<br>established and functioning in the<br>districts.  | The establishment of PFM platforms was<br>declined by the JLPC in December 2012.<br>Instead, District Value Chain Platforms are in<br>the process of being implemented. Under<br>result 2. | Remove the indicator.                        |  | Okay with consultant proposal   |  |
| Indicator 3.2:<br>No. of village forests under<br>PFM/CBFM (containing land use<br>management plans taking care of<br>other sources of<br>livelihoods/economic uses). | CBFM is being taken care of under indicator<br>2 of the outcome (project objective). Hence,<br>the indicator can be removed.   | Remove the indicator.                        |  | Okay with consultant proposal   |  |
| Indicator 3.3:<br>% contribution of beekeeping<br>activities towards forest<br>conservation costs (in kind and<br>cash) in form of user fee and<br>taxes.             | Okay.  | Check availability of data (see "comments"). | Hints for data gathering:<br>No data reported by the<br>DCs so far. Check<br>whether data are really<br>available. If not,<br>reformulate indicator or<br>collect qualitative<br>evidence. | Indicator 3.3: %<br>contribution of beekeeping<br>activities towards forest<br>conservation costs (in kind<br>and/or cash). |  |
| Indicator 3.4:<br>MoU between Moyowosi/Kigosi<br>GR and beekeepers ratified and<br>being used to guide honey<br>collection in the GR.                                 | Okay.  |  | Hints on execution:<br>No. MoU signed so far.<br>Maybe the attainment of<br>this indicator needs more<br>involvement from MNRT.  | Okay with consultant proposal   |  |

П

| Table 2: "Beekeeping Support Project in Kigoma Region (BSPK)", Tanzania:<br>Proposals for the revision of outcome (objective) and output (results) indicators |  |                                  |  |                               |  |  |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Present indicators  | Need for revision? If so, for what reason?   | Revised indicators<br>(proposal) | Consultant comments  | PMT Comments                  |  |  |
| Output 4: An enabling environme   | ent for sustainable beekeeping is enhanced a | t local district and national    | levels.  |                               |  |  |
| Indicator 4.1:<br>National Beekeeping Policy<br>reviewed.   | Okay.  | Not applicable.                  | Hints for execution:<br>The attainment of this<br>indicator requires more<br>systematic action by<br>BSPK. | Okay with consultant proposal |  |  |
| <i>Indicator 4.2:</i><br>New Beekeeping Development<br>Programme.   | Okay.  | Not applicable.                  | Hints for execution:<br>The attainment of this<br>indicator requires more<br>systematic action by<br>BSPK. | Okay with consultant proposal |  |  |
| Indicator 4.3:<br>Number of district and local level<br>bylaws developed and<br>implemented.  | Okay.  | Not applicable.                  | Hints for execution:<br>The attainment of this<br>indicator requires more<br>systematic action by<br>BSPK. | Okay with consultant proposal |  |  |

### 2 Results achieved

### 2.1 Table 3: Monitoring matrix

| Results / indicators   | Baseline Value  | End<br>Target  | End Value<br>obtained  | Comments  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| IMPACT: Contribution of the beekeeping sector to the sustainable development of Tanzania enhanced.   |   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| OUTCOME: A replicable model of sustainable beekeeping of   | development piloted in Kigon  | na Region  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Indicator 1: Strategic beekeeping development plan<br>implemented by the three district authorities by the end of the<br>project.<br>Indicator 2: Number of villages participating in beekeeping<br>related development and PFM activities in the three districts. |   | <ul> <li>Strategic beekeeping<br/>development plan<br/>implemented in all partner<br/>districts</li> <li>Kibondo/Kakonko: 62<br/>groups in 35 villages<br/>fully registered.</li> <li>Kasulu: 36 groups in 13<br/>villages fully registered.</li> <li>Kigoma/Uvinza: 60<br/>groups in 36 villages<br/>fully registered.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Kibondo=42 (all registered) groups in 25 villages.</li> <li>Kakonko=33(4 unregistered) groups in 20 villages</li> <li>Kasulu: 37 (22 registered) groups in 30 villages.</li> <li>Buhigwe: 8 groups (not registered)</li> <li>Kigoma &amp; Uvinza: 72 groups in 42 (10 in Kigoma) villages formed. 64 registered.</li> </ul> | Awareness created by<br>BSPK in project areas<br>resulted into increase<br>number of new<br>beekeepers who joined<br>the sub-sector.                                      |  |  |  |  |
| <i>Indicator 3:</i> % of forest areas designated as important beekeeping areas under some form of effective management by the end of the project.  | # of villages with CBFM/JFM<br>status<br>Kigoma has 3 BR. (Itebula,<br>Kirando and Uvinza ) Not<br>gazetted<br>Kibondo has 8 BR (Not<br>gazetted) | <ul> <li>Kibondo/Kakonko: 8<br/>BR and 9 VFR<br/>gazetted.</li> <li>Kasulu: 3 BR and 48<br/>VFR gazetted.</li> <li>Kigoma/Uvinza: 8 BR<br/>and 71 VFR gazetted.</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Kibondo (1BR-<br/>Bitulana and 1VFR-<br/>Bitulana) zoned and<br/>mapped, already<br/>approved by Full<br/>council.</li> <li>Kakonko (4 VFR</li> </ul>   | Gazettment of bee<br>reserves and also Village<br>Forest Reserves is<br>possible after 3 years<br>fully in use and proven<br>that is free from resource<br>use conflicts. |  |  |  |  |

| Results / indicators | Baseline Value  | End<br>Target                                 | End Value<br>obtained   | Comments   |
|----------------------|---|---|---|--|
|                      | Kasulu 0 BR<br>NOTE: CBFM in pipeline (at<br>CMT stage)<br>Kigoma 45% of 71 VFR, Kasulu<br>(16) 33.3% of 48VFR,<br>Kibondo 100% of 36 VFR | 4 JFM in Kigoma and 1<br>JFM Kibondo gazetted | <ul> <li>(Mganza, Kasuga,<br/>Kabale, Kanyonza)<br/>and 4 BR (kasuga,<br/>kabale, kanyonza,<br/>itumbiko) were zoned<br/>and mapped, already<br/>approved by Full<br/>council.</li> <li>Kasulu: 1 BR<br/>(Sogeeni kwiliba) and<br/>8 VFR<br/>(Mvugwe,Nyamidaho,<br/>Kalimugoma,Mwali,M<br/>ugombe,Kitagata,Nya<br/>chenda,Kurugongo)<br/>were zoned and<br/>mapped already<br/>approved by Full<br/>council.</li> <li>Kigoma/Uvinza: 36<br/>VFR approved by<br/>CMT in kigoma DC<br/>before division of the<br/>district. In Uvinza 1<br/>BR mapped and 5<br/>VFR zoned and<br/>mapped. Out of<br/>5VFRs 3 VFR<br/>(Sambara,Kirando<br/>and Mwamila)<br/>already approved by<br/>full council and 1<br/>VFR by CMT</li> <li>Buhigwe: 3 VFRs<br/>(Nyankoronko,Bukub<br/>a) were identified<br/>demarcated awaiting<br/>for zonation and</li> </ul> | Also targeted number of<br>was not reached as<br>some of the villages were<br>divided as the result of<br>administrative division of<br>districts (Kibondo,<br>Kigoma and Kasulu)<br>hence some BRs and<br>VFRs were declined. |

| – 503, female –<br><b>Kigoma R</b> : Grou<br>members -1,11   | 8, female -522<br>– 16, members<br>65.                                 | <ul> <li>Kibondo/Kakonko:<br/>2,300 (739 fe) mobilized<br/>into 62 groups.</li> </ul>  | participatory<br>Resource<br>Assessment and<br>later on approval by<br>CMT<br>• Kibondo/Kakonko:  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| women and man headed) involved in the bee products value       Members - 175         whain.       Stasulu: Groups         - 503, female -       Kigoma R: Group         - 503, female -       Kigoma R: Group         mdicator 5: % increase in the value of bee keeping products       Value of bee pr         rom the three districts compared to baseline.       Value of bee pr         Guantity in litre       beekeeper/ann         From District D       Kigoma = 67 Kg         Kasulu = 20 Kgs       Kibondo = 67 Kg | 8, female -522<br>– 16, members<br>65.                                 | 2,300 (739 fe) mobilized into 62 groups.   |   |  |
| rom the three districts compared to baseline.<br>Quantity in litre<br>beekeeper/ann<br>From District D<br>Kigoma = 67 Kg<br>Kasulu = 20 Kgs<br>Kibondo = 67 Kg   |  | <ul> <li>Kasulu: 1,070 (200 fe)<br/>mobilized into 36<br/>groups.</li> <li>Kigoma/Uvinza: 1,630<br/>(740 fe) mobilized into<br/>60 groups</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>2,702 (705 fe)<br/>mobilized into 65<br/>groups.</li> <li>Kasulu: 1902 (284<br/>fe) mobilized into 37<br/>groups.</li> <li>Kigoma/Uvinza:<br/>1,920 (682 fe)<br/>mobilized into 72<br/>groups</li> </ul> | Awareness created by<br>BSPK in the project<br>areas resulted into<br>increase number of new<br>beekeepers who joined<br>the sub-sector. |
| Kigoma = 516L1<br>Kasulu = 1,614<br>Kibondo = 1,14   | <u>s and Kgs per</u> Ki<br><u>im]<sup>8</sup> Ka</u><br>ata Ki<br>5 Fr | From District Data<br>Kigoma=117.25Kgs<br>Kasulu=35Kgs<br>Kibondo= 117.25Kgs<br>From Household<br>Consider baseline are in<br>Kgs<br>KIGOMA-645Kgs (25%<br>increase)<br>KASULU-403.5 Kgs (25%<br>Increase) | <u>From District data<sup>9</sup></u><br>Kibondo=324Kgs<br>Kasulu=322Kgs<br>Kigoma=392Kgs   |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Based on household baseline Survey <sup>9</sup> Average quantity in Kgs per Beekeeper/annum;Considered the Minimun and Maximun production per beekeeper; (Kibondo Min=108Kgs,Max=540),(Kigoma Min=112kgs,Max=672kgs),(Kasulu Min=84kgs,Max=560Kgs)

| Results / indicators   | Baseline Value  | End<br>Target  | Comments  |   |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Indicator 1.1:<br>Beekeepers practicing modern beekeeping practices.               | From District data <sup>10</sup><br>Kigoma Rural- 1,110 (490 Fe, -<br>90%)<br>Kasulu – 15 (2 Fe, -3%)<br>Kibondo – 1,066 (424 Fe, - 39%)  | Kigoma Rural: 1,630(740<br>Fe)<br>Kasulu: 1,070 (200 Fe)<br>Kibondo: 1,376 (547Fe)   | From district data <sup>11</sup><br>Kibondo&<br>Kakonko=2161(564 Fe)<br>Kasulu= 1331(199Fe)<br>Kigoma &= 1593(566<br>Fe)  | - |
| Indicator 1.2<br>% increase in the average quantity of honey per beehive.          | On average a beehive produces<br>a net of 7.74 kgs/beehive .The<br>average of net honey per<br>TTBH = 11.60, per Log hive =<br>6.98 and per straw/bark beehive<br>= $4.65/Kgs^{12}$   | TTBH= 14.0kg/beehive<br>LOG HIVE<br>10.0Kgs/beehive<br>STRAW/BARK HIVE<br>4.65Kg/beehive   | TTBH<br>=23Kgs/beehive <sup>13</sup><br>Log hive=15Kgs <sup>14</sup><br>Straw<br>beehive=8Kgs <sup>15</sup>   |   |
| Indicator 1.3<br>% increase in the value of bee products due to increase in yield, | <u>Value of bee products (Average</u><br><u>Quantity in litres and Kgs per</u><br><u>beekeeper/annum)<sup>16</sup></u><br><b>From District Data</b><br>Kigoma = 67 Kgs<br>Kasulu = 20 Kgs<br><b>From household survey (2011)</b><br>Kigoma = 516Lts or 368Kgs<br>Kasulu = 1,614Lts of 1,152Kgs<br>Kibondo = 1,145Lts or 817 Kgs | From Household<br>Consider baseline are in<br>Kgs<br>KIGOMA-645Kgs (25%<br>increase)<br>KASULU-403.5 Kgs (25%<br>Increase)<br>KIBONDO: 286.25Kgs<br>(25% Increase) | From District data <sup>17</sup><br>Kibondo=324Kgs<br>Kasulu=322Kgs<br>Kigoma=392Kgs<br>From district data<br>Kibondo and Kakonko<br>Average Price crude<br>honey/kg:<br>2012=Tsh.1,600<br>2013=Tsh.1,785<br>2014=TSH.1960<br>2015=Tsh.2500<br>Average price Beeswax<br>2012=Tsh.4500 | - |

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The data are skewed to use of improved beehives (Mostly TTBH)
 <sup>11</sup> Modern beekeeping does not only consider owning modern beehives but also considered application of improved beekeeping techniques (harvesting, handling, storage, processing, packaging, colony stocking etc)
 <sup>12</sup> Refer to the assumptions on output per beehive Sub-section 6.1 in the Baseline report
 <sup>13</sup> Considering a standard TTBH beehive inside dimesions as follows ; width 46cm, length 67.2cm and depth 20cm
 <sup>14</sup> Considering a standard Log hive dimensions; Length 120cm,diameter 20cm and width 30cm
 <sup>15</sup> The same dimension as Log hive ; Reason for low production not clearly known.
 <sup>16</sup> Based on bousehold Baseline Survey

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Average quantity in Kgs per Beekeeper/annum;Considered the Minimum and Maximun production per beekeeper; (Kibondo Min=108Kgs,Max=540),(Kigoma Min=112kgs,Max=672kgs),(Kasulu Min=84kgs,Max=560Kgs)

| Results / indicators   | Baseline Value   | End<br>Target                  | End Value<br>obtained  | Comments |
|--|--|--------------------------------|--|----------|
| OUTPUT 2: Honey value chains improve their efficiency the  | ough coordination and capac                                      | ity building in order to       | 2013=Tsh.6000<br>2014=Tsh.7000<br>2015=Tsh.9000<br><b>Kasulu</b><br>Average price crude<br>honey/Kg<br>2012=Tsh.1600<br>2013=Tsh.1700<br>2014=Tsh.2142<br>2015=Tsh.2500<br>Average price<br>beeswax/kg<br>2012=Tsh.4000<br>2013=Tsh.5000<br>2014=Tsh.7500<br>2014=Tsh.7500<br>2015=Tsh.11,000<br><b>Kigoma and Uvinza</b><br>Average price<br>processed honey/kg<br>2013=Tsh.3500<br>2014=Tsh.4800<br>2015=Tsh.6000<br>2016=Tsh.7000<br>Average price<br>Beeswax/kg.<br>2013=Tsh.4000<br>2014=Tsh.6000<br>2014=Tsh.6000<br>2015=Tsh.8000<br>2015=Tsh.8000<br>2016=Ts.10000<br><b>Yespond to market</b> |          |
| opportunities           Indicator 2.1:           % increase in market shares/volumes for bee products from Kigoma. | Quantity of raw honey (and wax)<br>collected in the Project area | KIGOMA<br>Raw honey:120,000Kgs | From district data<br>KIGOMA and Uvinza  | -        |
|  | Kigoma Rural : 92,300Kgs<br>(7,400Kgs wax)                       | Wax:8880Kgs<br>KASULU          | Processed<br>honey:117,000Kgs  |          |

| Results / indicators   | Baseline Value  | End<br>Target  | End Value<br>obtained   | Comments  |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Indicator 2.2:   | Kasulu: = 14,026Kgs (668Kgs<br>wax )<br>Kibondo: 239,322kgs (3,887Kgs<br>wax)<br>Kigoma share in national output<br>Honey=3.6%, 345.67mt out of<br>9,380 Mt<br>Wax =1.9%, 11.96Mt out of<br>625.3mt<br>Simplified gross margins | Raw honey:22,490Kgs<br>Wax:1250Kgs<br><b>KIBONDO</b><br>Raw honey 299,152Kg<br>Wax:4858Kgs<br><b>KIGOMA</b> :<br>Share in national output :<br>Honey 4.7%<br>Wax:3.0%<br>None existing | Beeswax:11,000Kgs<br>KASULU <sup>18</sup><br>Raw honey:84,000Kgs<br>Beeswax:1760Kgs<br>KIBONDO and<br>Kakonko <sup>19</sup><br>Raw honey 286,419Kg<br>Beeswax:4608Kgs |   |
| Gross margin redistribution among actors (in favour of producers).   | Beekeeper - Modern TTBH -<br>74%<br>Traditional log hive -8%,<br>straw/bark-51%<br>Village trader - 66%<br>Town trader - 53%<br>KBCS - 57%<br>Exporter (to Uganda) = 21%<br>Distributor of packed honey = 20                    |  |   |   |
| OUTPUT 3: Beekeeping stakeholders and institutions imple   | ement models for protection of  | of key forest and bee for  | age areas.  |   |
| Indicator 3.1:<br>Multi-stakeholder Forest Conservation Platform is established<br>and functioning in the districts. | There is no multi-stakeholder<br>platform established and<br>institutionalised at district<br>level   | Each District has 1 VC<br>platform and 1 PFM<br>Platform multi-<br>stakeholder platform by<br>December 2013  | DVC were created in<br>the mother districts<br>(3).None of them is<br>considered<br>functioning properly  | With regard to DVC;<br>The added value of<br>being in district value<br>chain platform is not<br>clearly conceived by<br>most of the chain<br>actors.<br>With regard to PFM<br><u>platforms</u> ; The JLPC<br>meeting held in<br>December, 2012<br>agreed to omit |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Data ends in December 2014 <sup>19</sup> Data ends in December 2014

| Results / indicators  | Baseline Value   | End<br>Target  | End Value<br>obtained   | Comments   |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Indicator 3.2:<br>No. of village forests under PFM/CBFM (containing land use<br>management plans taking care of other sources of livelihoods/economic<br>uses). | # of villages with CBFM/JFM<br>status<br>Kigoma has 3 BR. (Itebula,<br>Kirando and Uvinza ) Not<br>gazetted<br>Kibondo has 8 BR (Not<br>gazetted)<br>Kasulu 0 BR<br>NOTE: CBFM in pipeline (at<br>CMT stage)<br>Kigoma 45% of 71 VFR, Kasulu<br>(16) 33.3% of 48VFR,<br>Kibondo 100% of 36 VFR | Kibondo/Kakonko<br>8BR to be gazetted<br>Kasulu<br>3 BR<br>Kigoma /Uvinza<br>6 BR<br>Village Forest Reserves<br>KIGOMA:<br>71 VFR Gazetted<br>KASULU;48 VFR gazetted<br>Kibondo 9 VFR gazetted | <ul> <li>Kibondo (1BR and<br/>1VFR) zoned and<br/>mapped, already<br/>declared by full<br/>council.</li> <li>Kakonko (4 VFR and<br/>4 BR) zoned and<br/>mapped, declared by<br/>full council.</li> <li>Kasulu: 1 BR and 8<br/>VFR zoned and<br/>mapped already<br/>declared by full<br/>council.</li> <li>Kigoma/Uvinza: 36<br/>VFR approved by<br/>CMT in kigoma DC<br/>before division of the<br/>district. In Uvinza 1<br/>BR mapped and 5<br/>VFR zoned and<br/>mapped. Out of<br/>5VFRs 3 VFR<br/>already approved by<br/>full council and 1<br/>VFR by CMT</li> <li>Buhigwe:3VFRs<br/>identified,<br/>demarcated awaiting<br/>for zonation and<br/>participatory<br/>Resource<br/>Assessment and</li> </ul> | proposed activity to<br>establish PFM<br>platforms in each<br>district.<br>Gazettment of bee<br>reserves and also Village<br>Forest Reserves is<br>possible after 3 years<br>fully in use and proven<br>that is free from resource<br>use conflicts. |

| Results / indicators  | Baseline Value  | End<br>Target                                   | End Value<br>obtained   | Comments  |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
|   |   |   | later on approval by<br>CMT   |   |  |
| Indicator 3.3:<br>% contribution of beekeeping activities towards forest<br>conservation costs (in kind and cash) in form of user fee and<br>taxes. | Beekeepers contribution towards<br>the cost of conservation<br>0% in all the Districts                    | 80% in kind contribution<br>(e.g. security etc) | <ul> <li>Beekeeping<br/>contribute 100% in<br/>conservation of<br/>declared VFR &amp;<br/>BRs</li> <li>In kind contribution<br/>by beekeepers<br/>/villages</li> <li>Set aside piece of<br/>land to establish<br/>Bee reserves,<br/>Forest reserves &amp;<br/>apiaries and<br/>construction of<br/>collection centres.</li> <li>Participate in<br/>regular monitoring<br/>of reserves<br/>through VNRC</li> </ul> | Further awareness<br>creation on cost-<br>sharing need to be<br>considered. |  |
| Indicator 3.4:<br>MoU between Moyowosi/Kigosi GR and beekeepers ratified and being<br>used to guide honey collection in the GR                      | Discussions between<br>beekeepers and<br>Moyowosi/Kigosi GR are<br>ongoing, minutes submitted to<br>MNRT. | MoU signed between<br>Moyowosi and Kigosi GR    | MoU between<br>Moyowosi and Kigosi<br>GR and beekeeper<br>has been signed and<br>operationalised  | Ongoing monitoring of<br>MoU need further<br>strengthening                  |  |
| OUTPUT 4: An enabling environment for sustainable beeke   | eping is enhanced at local dis  | strict and national levels                      |   |   |  |
| Indicator 4.1:<br>National Beekeeping Policy reviewed.  | The National Beekeeping Policy<br>of 1998 not reviewed.   | Beekeeping Policy<br>Reviewed by June 2014      | The review process is ongoing.  | More BSPK support to the review process is foreseen.                        |  |
| Indicator 4.2:<br>New Beekeeping Development Programme  | The National Beekeeping<br>Development Programme ended<br>in 2010   | Programme by June 2013                          | Not yet prepared.<br>Subject to final approval<br>of Reviewed National<br>Beekeeping Policy   | -   |  |

| Results / indicators  | Baseline Value   | End<br>Target  | End Value<br>obtained   | Comments  |  |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Indicator 4.3:<br>Number of district and local level by laws developed and<br>implemented   | Using 2002 Act. No additional<br>by-laws reviewed, developed,<br>disseminated and implemented. | Review and/or develop by-<br>laws, disseminate and<br>implement by June 2014 | District Beekeeping by-<br>law in each district have<br>been formulated and<br>approved by full council<br>except Kigoma &<br>Buhigwe districts ( <i>also</i><br><i>processes are ongoing</i> ).<br>Village forest and bee<br>reserves by-laws were<br>formulated as well and<br>approved by full council<br>(see outcome indicator<br>no.3 on the status of<br>declared BRs and<br>VFRs) | The approval process at<br>District council, RAS-<br>Kigoma and PO-RALG is<br>ongoing.                    |  |
| Indicator 4.4:<br>Relevant sustainable beekeeping models' impact documented,<br>disseminated, replicated, indicating number of people<br>benefitting (dist. Male and female). | There is no sustainable<br>beekeeping models documented<br>in Project Districts.               | Sustainable beekeeping<br>models piloted in Kigoma.                          | Several models; Self-<br>financing mechanism<br>commonly known as a<br>beehive loan scheme<br>through beekeepers'<br>VICOBA and SACCOS<br>,usage of modern<br>beehives, group<br>formation, cooperative –<br>led model were piloted .   | Detailed documentation<br>of these models is<br>foreseen during<br>capitalization (foreseen<br>after ETR) |  |

### 2.2 Analysis of results

### 2.2.1 Contribution of the intervention to the impact<sup>20</sup>

The project outcome aims at piloting a replicable model although it was not clearly stated whether the project wants to have a single model or mix of models that indicates to have a big impact on the sustainable beekeeping sector development. Both the MNRT and Tanzanian Forest Service (TFS) are closely involved in the implementation of the project. The model being piloted is a Cooperative-Led model; this model will significantly improve the value chain for bee products in Tanzania and beyond because it's build on Cooperative principle and regulations. The BSPK approach is in line with holistic view of sustainable development as it takes into account the key dimensions of sustainable development; Social, Economic and Environmental.

Whereas outputs 1 and 2 relate to economic and social benefits from beekeeping, output 3 addresses relevant environmental issues. Output 4 deals with the governance of the sector, therefore compliments the other output areas.

Additionally, the partners are enthusiastic to up-scale some positive results of BSPK, some good lessons learnt from BSPK, for example regional honey fairs and queen rearing have already created attention to the ministerial level considered as good lesson that need to be scale-up all over Tanzania

#### 2.2.2 The extent of the outcome has been achieved

The model is based on the Kigoma Region honey products value chain approach referred to as "*The Cooperatives led model value chain focusing on up-market segment*". This means all actors are included in the model (and thus not only the beekeepers). The model focusses on improving the sustainable relations between different actors, emphasising the need for fair shares amongst those involved in the handling of the bee product. The development of the model to spearhead commercialization of the Kigoma Beekeeping Subsector was done considering the key elements of the value chains (i.e. governance, market focused collaborations and economic gains) to be transformed into a viable value chains. In this case of honey and beeswax subsectors were selected, and the selection criteria of these value chains considered the following:

- Supply channels that have high prospects for market growth (strong presence of market demand)
- Supply channels with high possibility for partnership building (independent business actors, business associations as well as support organisations)
- Supply channels that have high entrepreneurial drive and partners that are committed to
  product quality and consistency.
- Supply channels with opportunities to incorporate interests of small producers/beekeepers and their group organisations/Cooperatives.

This model is built on the cooperative principles and regulations. The model aims at enhancing effectiveness of cooperative value chains<sup>21</sup>. In this model, producer groups whose members were members of the Cooperative were encouraged to supply honey/beeswax to cooperative society Sometimes non-cooperative members supplied honey/beeswax to the Cooperative Society but with some conditions or even no conditions at all as a way of bringing-up the added value of a Cooperative Society especially on the collective market. In the case the project as a facilitator was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Terminology : Impact = General Objective ; Outcome = Specific Objective; Outputs = Expected Result

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> The strategy considered various upgrading strategies of the value chain in every function. Refer Value Chain Report (BTC, 2012) Fig.10; upgrading strategies for cooperative - distributor value chains

involved much in undertaking some capacity building interventions on different levels (functions) of the value chain, more importantly on production and productivity enhancement interventions at producers' levels. At the cooperative level support was given in terms of Business planning, financial linkage and traceability, quality control, branding product development interventions and promotions in the region (Kigoma) and outside Kigoma Region (Eg.supermakets, fairs, etc.)

#### 2.2.3 The extent of the outputs have been achieved

### 2.2.3.1 R1.Beekeepers increase their incomes through improvement of production and business skills

Through sensitization campaigns the project reached a total of 6524 potential beekeepers, out of whom 1,671 (26%) are women. These beekeepers were mobilized into 174 groups. Beekeepers groups/associations were created and formalized using a participatory approach; Kibondo= 42 groups (all registered), Kakonko= 33 groups (4 unregistered), Kasulu 37 (22 registered), Buhigwe=8 groups (Unregistered) and Kigoma/Uvinza 72 groups (64 registered,). Also the project facilitated the improvement of existing co-ops and the establishment of several new beekeepers' Cooperative Societies and SACCOS; In Kakonko 1 Coop-BBCS (Registered, TBS & TFDA certificates), Kibondo 2 Coops-UKI & UWAKI (all registered), Kasulu 3 Coops-KBCS,KAGENZA,Bhambuke (all registered),Uvinza 3 Coops-Kazaroho,Mwakila & Mfungezi (all registered) and Kigoma Rural-Bitale Coop (registration on progress). All these groups received training on organizational management, leadership and record beekeeping. Some groups were transformed into village saving and lending schemes and SACCOS. The number of beekeepers benefiting from village saving and lending schemes (VSLs) and SACCOS increased as compared to the baseline<sup>22</sup>; Kigoma (32 VICOBA-total members 250, 3 beekeepers SACCOS-Buhingu, Mwangu-Ilagala & Umoja-Basanza); Kasulu (15 beekeepers VICOBA -total members 200 and 1 SACCOS-total members 37); Kibondo 9 VICOBA and 1 SACCOS-Malagalasi SACCOS (167 members), Kakonko 2 SACCOS – Kasanda (50 members) & Nyaronga (150 members).

The beekeepers' cooperative capacity in terms of leadership, governance and management has been enhanced to the extent that members of some cooperatives have started buying shares (which was not the case before); UKI Coop-185 out of 600+ members, UWAKI- 162 out of 314 members, Malagarasi SACCOS-7 out of 167 members,Mfungezi-51 members out of 220 members,Mwakila Coop-107 out, Bhambuke Coop-122, Buyonga SACCOS-53 members, KBCS-112 members, Bitale Coop- 60 members and Kazaroho Coop- 45 out of 92 members.

With regard to increase in production the project facilitated introduction of beehive loan scheme under VICOBA which, to a great extent, has resulted into a stronger entrepreneurial focus for most beekeepers /members. The business management skills of most beekeepers and of their groups and cooperatives are also enhanced. Producer groups and cooperatives were supported in applying modern business management system (they're able to keep records on production, semi-processing and financial, minutes of general meeting, labelling, packaging, marketing, improving sales and membership). The beehive loan scheme has resulted into an increase in number of hives sited in the forest. In Uvinza a total of 295 beehives were made out of VICOBA beehive loan-scheme, Kasulu (179 TTBH and 25 traditional), Kigoma (94 TTBH). The VICOBA groups which currently practicing this scheme and their respective number of beehives distributed are as follows; Uvinza (*Wanyuki (50), Serengeti (16) and Tulavyuse Ilagala (28)), Kasulu (NyabasindiA (60), Uwaru (25 traditional), Nyamroha (36), Umshonge (60) & Kamvye (20)), Kibondo (Nengo).*Furthermore, beekeepers capacity applying modern beekeeping techniques (knowledge, skills and attitudes) is enhanced and as the result there is a noticeable increase in production per beehive (see §

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> % beekeepers (dist. male and female) linked to credit services Kasulu: Female 33.3%; Male 14.3% Kibondo : Female 4.0%; Male 5.9% Kigoma Rural: Female 10.0%; Male 5.8% .Average 11.49%

#### 2.1.Indicator 1.2) compared to the baseline<sup>23</sup>.

There is significant contribution from sales of bee products to the household income. This is the result of capacity building amongst the beekeepers to improve the quality of bee products and value addition, including improving quality through proper hygiene, harvesting, processing and handling. At present most of the value addition and derived benefits to a great extent is enhanced amongst the actors in the value chain. Furthermore, brands of two Cooperatives have already acquired quality standard certification (Barcodes, TBS &TFDA), which are UKI-Kibondo and BBCS-Kakonko. Facilitation of acquisition of quality standards to other collection centers (Coops); Busunzu SACCOS, UWAKI, Mwakila, Mfungezi, Kazaroho and KAGENZA) is ongoing.

Thus, the average annual household income from sales of honey and beeswax is TZS 339,820 and TZS 61,930 respectively<sup>24</sup>. Honey contributes to approximately 27% of total household income, while beeswax contributes barely 3.6% (BSPK Household Survey 2015:9)

### 2.2.3.2 R2.Honey value chains improve their efficiency through coordination and capacity building in order to respond to market opportunities.

The efficiency of value chain through increased participation of beekeepers in the chain and awareness created amongst the chain actors has being enhanced. This was made possible through the creation of District Value Chain Platforms. There are a total of 56 platform members (Kibondo/Kakonko 35, Kasulu 11 and Kigoma/Uvinza 11) none of the platforms is considered functioning properly rather than depending full (almost 100%) support from the project to meet and discuss issues pertaining the value chain. There are also the regional "honey shows" where beekeepers could meet and exchange market information with other chain actors (including traders, input suppliers etc.). Furthermore, Market information and other strategic information were provided to value chain actors through Radio Programs, and honey fairs (Trade fairs, regional honey show, Api Expo, Apimondia). BSPK supported quite some beekeepers and District Beekeeping Officers from Kigoma Region to attend these events for learning, sharing strategic market information and show casing their bee products. More importantly, during the Apimondia Symposium held in Arusha and Api Expo held in Zimbabwe, the District Beekeeping Officers together with the beekeepers representing the Beekeeping Cooperative Societies had a unique opportunity to access the international apicultural sector. The beekeepers discussed their products with (inter)national buyers of bee products, representatives of several NGOs, policymakers and scientists. This led into a better understanding of the honey sector, the commercialisation of beeproducts and the functioning of the markets. There was also a huge success of peer-to-peer exchange amongst the beekeepers during the Regional honey shows, Trade fairs, Apimondia symposium as they learned from each other and exchanged knowledge (ex. some didn't know to make some cosmetics and shoeshine from beeswax, etc.) and also they established some new business contacts with interested buyers. (See § 10.3).

Market linkages and marketing were improved through facilitation of supply agreements. For example in June/July 2015 blossom, a total of 310 Kgs of Beeswax and 4928 Kgs of honey (286 buckets each 28kgs) were marketed at the collection center under supply agreement with Jasmin Bee Co.Ltd at Busunzu SACCOS, a total Tsh.1.6 Million was earned by 40 beekeepers from 1 group only (*Vijana group*) and also the collection center earned Tsh.600, 000/= as service fee. However, signing of sales contract was not completed (still under negotiations) further support to both parties is going with support from the ongoing consultancy (TACR Consultancy Ltd) on Support to Coops and SACCOS on Business Development Services. Facilitation on supply agreement to other collection centers/Coops is ongoing. Development and optimizing use of collection and processing centers was facilitated in a sustainable manner. A total of 2 collection centers (KBCS and UWAKI) were rehabilitated and 4 new collection centers were constructed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Baseline value. On average a beehive produces a net of 7.74 kgs/beehive The average of net honey per TTBH = 11.60, per Log hive = 6.98 and 24 per straw/bark beehive = 4.65/Kgs

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> The baseline study did not establish data on household income derived from sales of bee product (honey,beewax propolis,etc)

(Mwakila, Kazaroho, UWAKI and Busunzu). All these centers including 3 more (UKI, BBCS and Mfungenzi) were equipped with facilities to be able to start functioning. The primary beneficiaries of these collection centers are members of the association: in most cases a Cooperative and/or SACCOS that manage the center on daily basis, also other beekeepers in that particular areas benefit from the collection center as well. Apart from supply agreement marketing of bee products is mostly done by on spot market transactions. Linkages between beekeepers and BDS and financial service providers were established. Also, there have being an informal business arrangement between beekeepers and a packaging materials supplier (Jambo Plastic Co. Ltd). Thiswas facilitated in the course of implementation. There is ongoing facilitation on linkages between beekeepers/producers and financial services so that beekeepers can easily access credit. The cooperative Society in Kibondo (UKI) and UWAKI applied for loan from CRDB bank, the two cooperative did so far not qualify for loan acquisition. Further support through ongoing consultancy is being given to all Coops (Including UKI and UWAKI) so that they all qualify for loan acquisition from financial institutions. Their roles of beekeeper groups and cooperatives and their governance was strengthened as a result increased quantity of bee products sold / facilitated by organized groups or Cooperatives.

With regard to value addition practices a total of 40 representatives of processing groups, Coops and SACCOS to acquire skills in a form of ToT on diversification towards beeswax processing, proplis and value addition. After the training most of the trainees have already applied the acquired knowledge in their respective groups/Coops, the following products are being made; honey wine, cream, skin balm, candles, liniment and shoe polish. Further support on dissemination of the acquired knowledge is being given.

Furthermore, promotion of sales of bee colonies is being facilitated. A total of 3 queen rearing centers have been constructed and equipped in Kasulu, Kibondo and Kigoma. Of recent in January, 2016, a total of 15 trainees comprised of 9 beekeepers (3 from each center) and 6 beekeeping officers (DBO and Assistant DBO) received training on queen rearing at TFS-Manyoni Beekeeping Center. The operationalization of these centers is expected to start in March, 2016 during colony building season.

### 2.2.3.3 R3.Beekeeping stakeholders and institutions implement models for protection of key forest and bee forage areas.

The land use planning system comprised of appropriate and sustainable practices for identification and prioritization of beekeeping areas (Zoning/VFRs and Beekeeping Zones) was facilitated Also some villages were supported in preparing Forest Management Plans and Forest by-laws. A total of 7 BRs and 54 zoned VFRs were mapped and approved by CMT and some by full council (See § 2.1.Outcome indicator No.3) in Kakonko, Kasulu, Kigoma and Kibondo Districts.

In addition 3VFRs have been identified and demarcated in Buhigwe DC. Further support is still being given to Buhigwe DC to actually facilitate zoning, undertake participatory resource assessment and then approval by CMT. Also, establishment of beekeeping zones within the declared Village Forest Reserves (VFRs) was facilitated, and also demo apiaries into selected areas as well. The Village Natural resources Committee were supported with working tools (bush Knives, whistles, Gum boots & bicycles) for being able to undertake day-to-day patrol and monitoring of VFRs. Each zoned VFR s was given at least five hives as a working capital to beekeepers benefiting from the forest.

The study on Relationship between Tobacco farming and Beekeeping was facilitated .The study concludes that, honey samples collected from all sources contained nicotine at different levels. However, such amounts of nicotine are at tolerable levels for human health. Sampled bee forage tree species were also observed to have nicotine, which is also associated with nicotine in the honey. Sampled honey from beehives was not associated with nectars from Tobacco plants as was

produced after the end of tobacco production season. Therefore, such traces of nicotine in honey could be from other bee forage plants other than Tobacco (TAFORI –BTC 2015: iii & iv). Findings of the Research provided input into the ongoing review of National beekeeping policy, district beekeeping by-law, village forest by-law and bee reserves by-law and also review of relevant laws & regulations

Signing of Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) between beekeepers from three districts (Kibondo, Kakonko, Kasulu) and Moyowosi/Kigosi Game Reserve in order to facilitate access of beekeepers into the Game reserve was facilitated .The MoU was signed in 2015 and is being monitored. During signing Kibondo District represented other District Council (Kakonko and Kasulu). Likewise, beekeepers were supported with ID cards that grant them easy access to beekeeping zones in protected areas. Those who received the ID cards in Kibondo and Kakonko are 3300 beekeepers who keep bees in the game reserve/MKGR, 1300 beekeepers in Kasulu and 1200 beekeepers in Uvinza.

### 2.2.3.4 R4.An enabling environment for sustainable beekeeping development is enhanced at local, district and national levels

The district capacity to monitor beekeeping support initiatives and their results has been enhanced by supporting establishment of district beekeeping data base which eventually did not function properly because of some difficulties encountered in running-up the data base. Further support to LGA is being given to actually make data base functions well. Furthermore, the data provided for this database by the districts was unreliable and incomplete, making the use of the database questionable.

The Kigoma District Council (6) were supported with preparation of District beekeeping by-laws, and also BR & VFR by-laws as one of the requirement especially when preparing forest management plans. Approval of the district beekeeping by-law to different levels (Full Council, RAS-Kigoma & PO-RALG) is underway; it's expected to be completed in March, 2016.

The MNRT was supported to review National Beekeeping Policy 1998 through participation in some review sessions; BSPK was represented by District Beekeeping Officers to one of the review session. Further financial support to some review sessions is foreseen in 2016 before official closure of the project.

Awareness raising on monitoring and enforcement of <u>national</u>, <u>forestry</u>, <u>environmental</u> and <u>beekeeping</u> policies, laws and by-laws, regulations and user rights was facilitated through radio programs, promotional songs (Wise boys Cultural group), honey shows and promotional materials carrying different messages (banner, T-shirts, leaflets, calendars). Furthermore the project facilitated the creation of beekeeping school clubs with selected schools supported with some hives (the number of hives given vary in each district); Kigoma/Uvinza (5 school clubs-Kidahwe sec school, Ilagala Sec.School, Ruchugi Sec.School, Kandaga Sec.School and Itelambogo Seminary), Kibondo/Kakonko (5 school clubs-Kasanda(15), Shuhudia(15), Kakangaga(12), Mulungu (15) and Kumwambu (15) secondary schools) and Kasulu (5 school clubs-Kigodya, Nyansha, Kimwanya, Rusesa and Kirunyemi secondary schools)

#### 2.2.4 Contribution of the outputs to the achivement of the expected outcome

The project is aiming at piloting a replicable model of sustainable beekeeping development, piloted in Kigoma Region and shared with the MNRT. The MNRT can then decide how to replicate the model in the other Tanzanian Regions.

First of all the project facilitated mobilization of beekeepers into producer groups ,Village saving and lending schemes, and Cooperatives To improve the efficiency of these value chains the project facilitated some upgrading interventions which targeted among others; increasing overall production of honey and productivity of beehives, improvement in business environment, enhanced access to finance; enhanced sustainable land use and resource management, enhancing enabling environment (beekeeping by-laws, beekeeping policy) and enhanced access to protected forests and game reserves especially for small scale producers/beekeepers through their producer groups ,beekeepers Cooperative societies and/or beekeepers SACCOS& VICOBAs. These VICOBA and SACCOS schemes which were created by the project helps in generating access to financial means. The BSPK through these schemes is piloting a beehive loan facility (see § 4.1.5), this has proved success in some areas. However, the capital mobilized through these group schemes is relatively limited and might not be sufficient for changing the production system substantially within the time frame of the project. Thus, access of finance (working capital) from micro finance institutions is being facilitated.

The project (facilitator) is involved to work with other partners to undertake a number of organisation capacity building interventions, as well as production and productivity enhancement interventions at producer levels. At the cooperative level, Business planning, financial linkage, supply agreements and traceability, quality control, food safety certification (TBS, Barcodes and TFDA) and product development interventions were facilitated. At the consumer level the project facilitated promotion of bee products from Kigoma region in different areas through radio programs, regional honey show, and International trade fair commonly known as *Saba Saba*, Api-Expo and Apimondia were also facilitated at the cooperative level so that they can be able to sell branded products.

The cooperatives were facilitated with some processing centres that were supplied with some equipment. The cooperative society sells branded honey to the market (Ex.supermarkets, wholesale buyers), this can be through a designated sole distributor or not.

### 2.2.5 The most important influencing factors and major issues encountered and the way were addressed by the intervention<sup>25</sup>

The execution agreements between BTC, District Councils, the MoF and the PMO–RALG (Prime Minister's Office – Regional Authorities & Local Government) were supposed to be signed within the inception phase (max.6 months from the start of the project in May, 2012). This was not the case, and at some point the documents got lost after they have been forwarded to the partners (PMO-RALG) for signature.

Later on in May, 2014 the Execution Agreements (EAs) were signed between BTC and mother districts (Kibondo, Kasulu and Kigoma). These EAs were also signed by PMO-RALG which is the principal supervisory organ of the District Councils and employer of all council staff and received a no objection from the Ministry of Finance (MoF). The EAs enhanced operationalization of activities and understanding on the cooperation between BTC and Local Government Authorities. However, the mother Districts and new Districts<sup>26</sup> are now sharing some staffs working previously for the mother Districts especially the District Beekeeping Officers (DBOs), and also some project resources including project cars. The new Districts have also formed sub-teams under overall supervision of the former Team leader (DBO) from the mother Districts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Only elements that aren't included 1.1 (Context), if any

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> The new districts are Uvinza,Kakonko and Buhigwe

#### 2.2.6 The unexpected results, both negative and positive ones

#### Apimondia Symposium and Api Expo

The Apimondia Symposium on African bees and beekeeping organized in Arusha (the first event in the African Continent) and Api-Expo organized in Zimbabwe were events that impacted positively the Beekeeping Support Project in Kigoma. The beekeepers together with beekeepers had an opportunity to learn different scenarios of beekeeping in Africa and all over the world. The fact that the Tanzanian government (through MNRT) has put so much effort in these events is a clear signal of the political will supporting the beekeeping sector in Tanzania.

During the Apimondia Symposium the beekeepers had an opportunity establish some business contacts with potential buyers (Eg.Jasmine Bee Tanzania.Ltd, Francis Van Elewijck, etc)

Siting one example of Jasmine Bee Tanzania Ltd currently implementing its plans to promote beekeeping in Tanzania to contribute in the reduction of poverty among rural beekeepers but also reduce pressure on natural resources. The company buys honey and sells most of it to Tanzanian up-markets; a market linkage between beekeepers in Kigoma represented by their Cooperatives (Buyungu Beekeepers Cooperative Society, UKI, Mwakila, KBCS, Busunzu SACCOS, and UWAKI) was discussed. The discussion finally resulted into supply short term agreement between Jasmine Bee Tanzania and Busunzu SACCOS (see § 2.2.3.2).

#### **Funding modalities**

In the course of implementation especially in between 2012-2014, funding of activities was mostly in cash advances. This resulted into a strong delay in the accounting of advances by implementing partners (mostly LGAs) as the result a strong delay in executing project activities hence low execution rate. This had a negative impact on the 2012-2014 work plans and also on the expected results.

#### Sensitization and promotional campaign

Through sensitization efforts by BIP and BSPK on beekeeping interventions and strong political by the Government of Tanzania, the project has bas been able to reach large number of beekeepers (6524=130%) than expected (5000 beekeepers). The beekeepers reached by the project have been mobilized into producer groups. Furthermore, there is increase in number of players in and outside Kigoma Region showing interest to invest or re-invest in beekeeping by supporting beekeepers and/or exchanging knowledge with kigoma beekeepers(see § 1.1.4). The ongoing promotional campaigns (Radio programs, promotional materials (calendars, leaflets-shirts) have actually profiled well BSPK interventions both locally and nationally.

#### **District Value Chain Platforms**

The District Value Chain Platforms were established in the partner districts as a venue for tackling problems of the value chain and designing action plans for its upgrading. However, most of members have not clearly apprehended its mandate, objectives, agenda, and working mode even though these have been properly communicated to them. Instead of fulfilling its objectives properly it has become a talk shop. As the result there is a clear indication its performance and sustainability once the project has phased out remains questionable.

#### Gazettement of bee reserves

The support was given by BSPK to establish bee reserves and includes surveying and demarcation of the area, carry out a resource assessment and then develop a management plan and by-laws for

the bee reserve. Finally, the bee reserve were formally approved by Council management Team (CMT) and thereafter a full council .During formulation of the project it was envisioned that within the lifespan of the project the gazettement of these reserves could have been accomplished. Although, all important steps up to gazettement have fulfilled, only that they have not been in use for three years consecutively to qualify for proper legal status. So has not been the case, none of them has reached gazzetement.

### 2.2.7 The Integration of Transversal Themes in the intervention strategy

### 2.2.7.1 Gender

During implementation the activities the PMT always emphasized to balance the number of male and female beneficiaries. More precisely the team was emphasizing to involve women in decision – making positions in their respective producer groups/associations. And also, during the creation of new beekeeping groups, beekeeping cooperative societies, VICOBAs and SACCOS BSPK always suggests involving an equal number of men and women in the board of the organizations. In this way an equal number of male and female beekeepers could get access to various opportunities as in training sessions, exchange visits, honey shows, etc.

### 2.2.7.2 Environment

Beekeeping activity is in itself is environmental friendly activity, within the Beekeeping Support Project in Kigoma Region environmental issues are crucial as most of beekeeping activities are done in the natural forests away from agricultural activities. Thus, the project also includes the protection of bee forage areas all over Kigoma Region, the Community-based Forest Management (CBFM) which have been supported by BSPK by demarcating, zoning and declaration of BRs and VFRs underscores the fact that BSPK consider environmental conservation initiatives. By promoting beekeeping as an income generating activity, BSPK directly includes the local communities in the environmental protection initiatives.

## 2.2.8 The extent has M&E, backstopping Missions and/or audits contributed to the attainment of results and the way their recommendations were addressed.

With regard to the findings of the organizational assessment a back stopping mission (BSM) from BTC-HQ was requested to comment and validate the organizational assessment proposal on intervention logic and action plan. More precisely, the BSM was requested to support the implementation team (PMT & DPIT) in updating the intervention logic, identity and elaborate most of the activities for achieving the sub- results as were proposed by the organizational assessment and were not in the original design of the project.

The large number of recommendations, especially with regard to the organizational assessment, and the fact that they were formulated after the endorsement of the original project design by the JLPC, impelled a considerable degree of irritation on part of the stakeholders and people involved in the execution of project activities. At the end, the key features of the intervention logic remained as presented in the TFF shown in Table 2 above. Despite having a total of 143 recommendations from these studies<sup>27</sup>, the PMT presented a proposal to the JLPC to drop some of them, the proposal was accepted with some observations, a total of 12 out of 143 recommendations were dropped, the meeting advised to monitor the implementation of those already taken into consideration (79 out of 143) and make sure that the remaining 52 out of 143 are considered in the upcoming plan of activities (JLPC, October, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Organizational Assessment, Baseline study and Value Chain Analysis

In 2013 the project conducted an audit in conformity to the arrangements of the TFF.The audit covered the starting up period of the project starting from the 1<sup>st</sup> of May 2012 to September 2013. This audit was a mixed audit. The auditors were supposed to express 2 opinions: one on the financial information and the other one on design and operating effectiveness of the internal control

The objective of the financial audit of the Project TAN1202511 was to enable the auditor to express a professional opinion on the financial position of the project and of the funds received and expenditures for the accounting period starting from May 1<sup>st</sup> 2012 to October 31 2013 as well as an opinion on the project's Statements of Expenditures.

The objective of the system audit was to control the processes related to the management of the project and give recommendations to the management to improve its operations. The mandate for the audit of the project was governed by the Specific Agreement between the Kingdom of Belgium and Tanzania signed on December 8, 2011.

The Table 4 below presents a summary of audit recommendations made and actions taken:-

| Source       | Observation  | Recommendation   | Actions  | Responsible                      | Deadline/<br>When  | Status | Follow up   |
|--------------|--|--|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------|---|
| System audit | Late reporting by<br>the District<br>Councils                                | Project with the support of<br>REPTAN must clarify the<br>reporting timeframe to the DED   | To report timeframe for<br>Districts addressed<br>during JLPC 29/10/2013   | PMT<br>REPTAN                    | JLPC<br>29/10/2013 | closed | Follow up have been taking place by<br>NTA including making the user friend<br>templates that will enable the DBO's                           |
|              |  | so they can respect the reporting<br>frequency and deadlines defined<br>in the EA  | Support missions from<br>project and/or REPTAN<br>to DED?  | REPTAN                           | ongoing            | closed | report timely. Compliance has been<br>increased and reports are now<br>actively being submitted.  |
| System audit | Lack of control<br>over transfers of<br>project funds                        | * Liaising with government to<br>allow for exemption on bank<br>management rule<br>* Continue analysing EPICOR<br>system<br>* Monthly reconcile personnel<br>bank accounts | EPICOR assessment<br>and financial<br>management capacities<br>done by LAF TAN end<br>2013   | REPTAN                           | Dec-14             | closed | EPICOR assessment was done by<br>PMO-RALG. Final report has been<br>submitted.  |
|              |  | * Liaising with government to<br>allow for exemption on bank<br>management rule<br>*Continue analysing EPICOR<br>system<br>* Monthly reconcile personnel<br>bank accounts  | Advance system and<br>bank management (cfr<br>personnel accounts) to<br>clearly define in PIM<br>with strict rules and<br>procedures and close<br>follow up by project | PMT                              | Jun-14             | closed | No more advance system to district<br>cashiers instead the project is using<br>Mobile money tarnsfer and e-banking                            |
|              |  | * Liaising with government to<br>allow for exemption on bank<br>management rule<br>* Continue analysing EPICOR<br>system<br>* Monthly reconcile personnel<br>bank accounts | Monthly reconciliation of<br>personnel bank<br>accounts with FIT   | PMT                              | monthly            | closed | No more advance system to district<br>cashiers instead the project is using<br>Mobile money transfer and e-banking                            |
| System audit | Lack of risk<br>assessment<br>relating to the use<br>of the EPICOR<br>system | To test EPICOR gradually once<br>operational and additional risk<br>analysis before implementation<br>of new projects  | External missions by<br>REPTAN done (end<br>2013) for assessment<br>EPICOR   | LAF                              | yearly             | closed | No more advance system to district<br>cashiers instead the project is using<br>Mobile money transfer and e-banking                            |
| System audit | Lack of reporting<br>on vehicle and<br>fuel Utilization                      | DBO to prepare monthly report<br>indicating utilization and fuel<br>consumption of districts vehicles<br>(to be verified at the same time                                  | To introduce to DBO<br>template to be used by<br>BTC and to put pressure<br>to submit monthly  | PMT<br>(Financial<br>Controller) | Apr-14             | closed | The orientation was done and the reports from June 2014 to September have been prepared and are in place. Drivers are taking charge of it and |

### Table 4: AUDIT FOLLOW-UP ACTION PLAN

| Source       | Observation  | Recommendation   | Actions  | Responsible                       | Deadline/<br>When | Status                                      | Follow up   |
|--------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---|---|
|              |  | of financial reporting)  | reporting  |                                   |                   |   | signed by DBOs.   |
|              |  |  | Addressed during JLPC 29/10/2013   | PMT                               | Oct-13            | closed                                      | Execution agreement were signed in May 2014   |
| • • •        | signed to familiarize prpject<br>management with content of EA | signature of PMO-RALG and to   | To re-address during<br>next JLPC Sept 2014  | PMT                               | Sep-14            | closed                                      | Execution agreement were signed in May 2014   |
| System audit |  | Project staff to be<br>informed by LAF and<br>PMT about content,<br>requirements and<br>contractual obligations<br>defined in EA | PMT<br>REPTAN  | Sep-14                            | closed            | Execution agreement were signed in May 2014 |   |
| System audit | Lack of internal<br>audit review at<br>district level          | Project to be included in annual<br>audit planning of the districts<br>Council internal audit department                         | At this moment it is not<br>possible for the internal<br>audit department as long<br>as the project funds are<br>not transferred through<br>the Development<br>Account | 1                                 | 1                 | closed                                      | Part of the BSPK funds were<br>channelled through the<br>Miscellaneous Account and the<br>internal Audit section reviews them<br>and the BSPK gets the certified<br>copies of the same.   |
| System audit | Skill gaps with the<br>District Councils                       | Training and capacity building in<br>communication, languages,<br>computer skills and reporting                                  | Training needs<br>assessment to be done<br>by scholarship program  | Scholarship<br>program<br>PMT BKP |                   | closed                                      | The BSPK is limited to Capacity<br>building. With limited resources,<br>BSPK is obliged to intervene on<br>some fields which are in line with the<br>Project interventions in a form of<br>TOTs (ex. Computer skills,<br>entrepreneurial skills, market<br>linkages). |
|              |  |  | Support of PMT to<br>districts for reporting<br>related to EA (training,<br>workshops etc)/ identify<br>needs (assessment?)  | PMT /<br>REPTAN?                  | ongoing           | closed                                      | BSPK-Coordination Office facilitated<br>workshop/training on reporting to<br>core members of District<br>Implementation Team  |

| Source                     | Observation  | Recommendation  | Actions  | Responsible                        | Deadline/<br>When   | Status  | Follow up                                     |
|----------------------------|--|---|--|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|---|
| System audit coding of fix | Discrepancy in the coding of fixed   | To synchronize BTC and Kigoma   | Verification to be done<br>by PMT of fixed asset<br>register at district level<br>and correct items where<br>necessary and continue<br>to follow up  | PMT                                | Mar-14              | closed  | Reconciliation of asset inventory was done    |
|                            | assets - Kigoma  | District asset inventory  | Asset verification<br>Schedule to be drafted<br>and executed   | PMT<br>(Financial<br>Controller)   | May-14              | closed  | Reconciliation of asset inventory<br>was done |
|                            |  |   | Update and maintain<br>asset register at central<br>project level  | PMT<br>(Financial<br>Controller)   | May-14              | closed  | Reconciliation of asset inventory was done    |
| System audit               | Weaknesses in<br>risk management   | To maintain and update regularly<br>risk register including detailed<br>description of the risks,<br>importance, mitigation actors<br>and responsible. Registers<br>should accompany the execution<br>reports reviewed during JLPC. | Risk register to prepare<br>and regular follow up<br>and update by PMT (RC<br>will take this up after<br>Finance Days Brussels<br>(31/3-4/4/2014) where a<br>new template will be<br>discussed | PMT (with<br>support of<br>RC TAN) | May-14              | ongoing |   |
| financial audit            | Financial findings<br>with remarks<br>(non-misting of To prepare checklist |   | To prepare checklist for<br>procurement procedure<br>(to be addressed during<br>mission L&A in April<br>2014?)   | PMT<br>(support<br>REPTAN?)        | April - May<br>2014 | closed  | Considered                                    |

KEY

Open - No Action

taken

**Closed** - The corrective action is completely implemented

Ongoing - The correction action is partially implemented and more efforts have to be in place

Abandoned - Corrective actions have not been taken for a reason

### 3 Sustainability

# 3.1.1 The economic and financial viability of the results of the intervention, potential risks associated to and measures were taken to mitigate the risks

Beekeeping is an environmentally friendly activity and has a lot of potentials to improve livelihoods of rural people while maintaining the integrity of forest resources as well; it improves household income and forest conservation. Beekeeping is a valuable conservation tool; it allows people (i.e. Beekeepers) to derive economic benefits from indigenous forests and other floral resources in a non-destructive way, ensuring local participation in conservation efforts.

Furthermore, the importance of beekeeping as an income-generating activity is due to the fact that many people use honey as food, medicine or for sale. Beekeeping offers a great potential for development and is relatively less demanding in terms of investment, labour and time.

# 3.1.2 The level of ownership of the intervention by target groups and possibility of continuing after and at the end of external support, potential risks associated to and measures were taken to mitigate the risks

The interventions are likely to continue even after the project has phased out as most of the interventions were done in a participatory manner. In all districts the beekeepers and Village Natural Resource Committee were involved in prioritization of various interventions (e.g. establishment of processing centers, demo-apiaries, formulation of beekeeping by-law,) and setting-up beekeeping reserves and zonation of Village Forest reserves (see § 2.2.3.3).

Furthermore the project facilitated creation of enabling environment which are likely to sustain beekeeping interventions in Kigoma region as follows:-

- a) Production of ID cards for accessing beekeeping areas,
- b) MoU signed between beekeepers and Moyowosi/Kigosi game reserve (over 2000 beekeepers benefited from this MoU) .This reduces conflicts with forest officers in the reserve and facilitates monitoring of beekeeping reserves.
- c) Established demo-apiaries which are currently being used for further learning and exchange of knowledge on beekeeping.
- d) Established queen rearing centers which will soon (Colony building season in March, 2016) will be operational; the underlying concept of queen rearing is to get the most number of queens from the least resources from the genetics chosen for the traits someone wants and also to increase number bee colonies as the result increase occupancy rate. Additionally, this is an income generating activity to our beekeepers from sales of bee colonies.
- e) Formalized producer groups, Cooperatives Societies and SACCOS (see § 2.2.3.1).

The most potential risks to the ownership of the intervention is financial constrain to sustain most of the interventions and make follow-ups.

To address this further strengthening of beekeepers VICOBA and SACCOS to actually being able to self-finance beekeeping intervention, the beehive loan facility currently being piloted can be thought of for scaling-up even after the project has phased out.

# 3.1.3 The level of policy support provided and the degree of interaction between intervention and policy level, the potential risks associated to and measures were taken to mitigate the risks

The project is geared towards the needs of the targeted beneficiaries and in line with national beekeeping policy<sup>28</sup> and strategies. Therefore, it is considered as highly relevant. Furthermore, the project is anchored under the Ministry of Natural Resources and Tourism as the overseer of the beekeeping interventions all over Tanzania.

The ministry ensures the sustainable existence of honeybees by maintaining and effectively managing adequate areas of bee reserves and improving quality and quantity of honey, beeswax and other bee products, are key objectives of the sector policy (MNRT 1998: 16).

The strategic plan July 2010 –June 2013 of theTFS which was within the implementation timeframe of the project, emphasizes the high demand for quality bee products for domestic and export market, need to increase production and marketing of quality bee products. The strategic plan emphasize the need to increase the area of forest made available for beekeeping and to improve its management for instance through the gazzetting of new forest and bee reserves. It also foresees actions for enhancing gender balance in the utilization of forest resources (TFS 2010: 25f.).

All these strategies were in line with BSPK strategies as well. In course of implementation the project collaborating with Ministry through TFS in conducting trainings to beekeepers (ex.Queen rearing, traceability, improved beekeeping practices etc).

Initially the foreseen potential risk was when TFS became an autonomous agency within MNRT, however this did not cause any problem as the anchorage of the project under TFS was clearly elaborated by JLPC chairperson rom MNRT (see § 1.1.2).

# 3.1.4 Contribution of the intervention to the institutional and management capacity, the potential risks associated to and measures were taken to mitigate the risks

In most cases when executing activities, responsible members of the district implementation teams were involved too. They could get some practical experience on managing BSPK interventions in the course of implementation. The project also conducted some capacity building training to members of the District Implementation Teams and MNRT, mostly on Monitoring and evaluation, planning and report writing.

At the local government level support was given on preparing district beekeeping by-laws which has enhanced the capacity of the local authorities in supervising and monitoring beekeeping intervention. At beneficiaries' level, the support which has been given to them is mostly on capacity building trainings and creation of enabling environment (processing facilities, formalization of producer groups, approval of beekeeping and zoned forest reserves.

The potential risk associated to this is that at some point district staffs (members of DPITs) would be transferred to other districts. In the course of the implementation some staff members were transferred within Kigoma Region after the split of the mother districts; others were transferred outside Kigoma Region. To mitigate this risk, the new DPIT who did not receive any capacity building training from BSPK, they only got practical experience in the course of implementation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> The goal of the "National Beekeeping Policy" of 1998 is to enhance the contribution of the beekeeping sector to the sustainable development of Tanzania and the conservation and management of her natural resources for the benefit of present and future generations.

### 4 Learning

### 4.1 Lessons Learned

#### 4.1.1 Where do the Kigoma bee products stand on international Market.

#### a. Price, quality, quantity, processing, labelling, packaging etc.

Experience from attending international fairs (Api Expo & Apimondia Symposium). Generally, the price of Tanzanian honey is considered very high. As most of the international traders met with during these events were reluctant to invest in a shipment of honey to the EU since the profit margin is minimal. However, the quality is generally seen by all parties to be according all international and EU standards. The produced quantity of Kigoma honey is limited. International shipments of honey consist of a minimal 20-25 tons of honey per shipment (i.e. one shipping container). If several cooperatives in Kigoma Region would work together they would be able to provide such quantities. In the meantime, as of now the production has increased, so most of these cops are able to provide such quantities on a yearly base.

#### b. Kigoma bee products appeal to the international public

In itself the honey produced in Kigoma appeals to international audiences since the taste and quality of the honey and wax products is considered good and of high quality. There is a big difference of the quality of beeswax between the producers. Some groups proceed to double refining/filtering (eg.UKI). The processed honey is packed according to Tanzanian preferences which comply with the high-end markets of the EU and USA markets.

#### 4.1.2 Value addition and diversification

Almost all beekeepers' cooperatives and processing groups have advanced greatly in terms of adding value to their bee products. Labels have been provided by the project to some of the cooperatives. Each cooperative has its own label and brand of the honey with the name of the village, group or geographical location<sup>29</sup>.Technically the labels are okay, with a production and expiry date, with contact details of the BKGs/Coops, nearly all with content of the packaging (some not, which is not legal) and some with a barcode. Great improvement has been made in terms of packaging and labelling, compared to the situation in 2010 during BIP<sup>30</sup>. In 2010 it was common to pack honey in recycled '*Konyagi*' (local alcohol) bottles. This is not the case anymore. Most of bee products are packed and sold in glass or plastic pots or in jerry cans. And they all have with a proper label, some even with barcode, TFDA and TBS certification. The barcode is for the moment not sufficiently utilized as retail sales take place at exhibitions or in local shops in Kigoma Region. Barcode is not meant for this type of market outlet. Most of the value added products are sold in plastic, glass pots of 500ml and 1L and in jerry cans of 1 to 7 litres.

# 4.1.3 Market positioning of Kigoma honey compared to other neighbouring regions

Initially, Tabora honey was known and had a stronger presence with a highly positive quality image in most of the regions in the country, especially in Dar es Salaam. With the ongoing promotion of Kigoma honey, nowadays shopkeepers are aware of honey from Kigoma region, and they can as well express a specific perception in term of quality, but

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> The Each cooperative has its own lable and brand of honey with barcode and some with TBS and TFDA; Uvinza Pure honey (Kazaroho), Masito Ilagala Pure honey(Mwakila), Kibondo Pure Honey (UKI) and Nguruka Pure honey(Mfungenzi)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Beekeeping Improvement Project (BIP), implemented in Rufiji, Kibondo and Kigoma Districts from 2007 until 2010.

rather on the fact that volumes are small and infrastructures are still not good and so, that the honey of Kigoma is not constantly available in shops.

Thus, Kigoma beekeepers have a very high quality perception over Tabora honey. This is mostly because shopkeepers in other regions especially Dar es Salaam perceives that other regions have more Tobacco agricultural activity, where chemicals are used, affecting the pureness of the honey. Kigoma honey is considered to be pure honey "organic by nature" from deep inside the virgin forest, where there is no human, nor agriculture activity. Quite some groups have set their beehives 40 to 70km away in the forest. Furthermore, the most common bee forage tree in the area is the Miombo tree, which has a high quality image.

# 4.1.4 A positive evolution of the economic return for the Kigoma beekeepers compared to other regions.

The beekeepers of Kigoma Regions capture the actual high and increased value of their honey. This is because the average sales price of a bucket of raw/comb honey increased from a level of 45,000 TZS in 2012, to 70,000 TZS/bucket of 20L in 2015. In 2012 the average sales price of liquid/processed honey ranged 90,000 -100,000 TZS /20L bucket to 190,000-200,000 TZS /20L bucket in 2014/2015. Sales price of liquid honey in other regions is more less the same as Kigoma and sometimes is lower; Eg.Tabora region = 200.000TZS/20I bucket; Dodoma = 180.000 to 200.000TZS (Kigoma Honey Show: 2013 & 2014). However, because of the considerable high sales price of kigoma honey and poor infrastructure from the capital (Dar es Salaam) to Kigoma as most of the beekeepers cannot take their produce in large quantity and limited numbers of traders are interested in doing business with kigoma beekeepers.

#### 4.1.5 Piloting a beehive loan facility through VICOBA scheme

In the course of implementation he BSPK created several 'beekeeping VICOBAs'<sup>31</sup>. They differ from normal VICOBAs in the practice of the Beehive Loan Facility, which is a BSPK initiative. In the piloted VICOBA every first loan granted to a member comes in the form of a modern beehive. The beehives are purchased from appointed local carpenter; the VICOBA leadership take charge of purchasing the beehive on behalf of a loan applicant, the number of beehives to be purchased differs from VICOBA group to another. In this way the beekeeper has immediate access to a modern beehive and can start repaying the VICOBA. Once the first beehive is fully repaid, the members can obtain loans from the VICOBA to finance issues of their choice (schooling, housing, investments, etc.). Several people linked to existing beekeeping groups and cooperatives were trained to start a VICOBA by members of the district implementation Team (Mostly CDO).

### 4.2 Recommendations

#### TABLE5: AN OVERVIEW OF KEY RECOMMENDATIONS

| RECOMMENDATION SOURCE TARGET AUDIENCE |
|---------------------------------------|
|---------------------------------------|

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Refer to Sub-Chapter 2.2.3.1: To what extent the output have been achieved-Result 1

| <ul> <li>Capacity still needed at the level of cooperatives to effectively respond to market demands.</li> <li>a) Administrative and financial management of the Cooperative Societies</li> <li>b) Capacity to negotiate contracts with buyers that are beneficial for both buyer and Coop.</li> <li>c) Capacity to train/inform all coop members on buyer demands.</li> <li>d) Communication skills for outreach to both buyers and final costumers of their products.</li> </ul>   | Result<br>Reports,<br>Mission<br>Reports | Development partners<br>Cooperative Societies     |
|--|--|---|
| Futher awarenes creation on adoption of modern beekeeping techniques (Ex. Use of TTBH, use improved working gears etc.)  | Result<br>Reports,<br>Mission<br>Reports | Beekeepers<br>Development partners<br>MNRT & LGAs |
| <ul> <li>Set up of a low-cost and easy-going market information system:</li> <li>By gathering information on market prices via regular store checks in main consumptions poles (Example: Dar es Salaam, Arusha, Dodoma, Mwanza, Bujumbura, Kigali) and regular phone calls with colleague beekeepers of other regions (Example: Dodoma, Tabora and Dar es Salaam).</li> <li>share information: Through discussion moments facilitated amongst the beekeepers of all the districts, via joined meetings with key-stakeholders so that beekeepers can agree amongst each other on a minimum price under which nobody shall sell its honey (ideally moment: before each collecting season), or via sms/mobile phone systems.</li> </ul> | Backstoppin<br>g Mission-<br>BTC TDC     | Development partners<br>MNRT & LGAs               |
| Further coaching to district staffs on identifying and formulating activities (planning), reporting, monitoring and evaluation.  | District plans                           | LGAs, BTC-scholarship<br>project                  |
| Further awareness raising on the use of collection and processing centres  | Result<br>reports                        | LGAs,NRM-LED project                              |
| Further strengthening on functioning of queen rearing centres  | Result<br>reports                        | LGAs,NRM-LED project                              |
| Continue Monitoring declared BRs and VFRs for gazzetment   | Result<br>reports                        | LGAs,NRM-LED project                              |

# PART 2: Synthesis of (operational) monitoring

## **1** Follow-up of decisions by the JLPC

### TABLE 6: A LIST OF IMPORTANT STRATEGIC DECISIONS TAKEN BY THE JLPC DURING THE INTERVENTION

| JPLC Decision taken  |                                 |         |  |                 | Action  |                     |                   | Follow-up  |        |
|--|---------------------------------|---------|--|-----------------|---|---------------------|-------------------|--|--------|
| Decision taken   | Period of<br>identificati<br>on | Timing  | Source   | Actor           | Action(s)   | Resp.               | Deadline          | Progress   | Status |
| The meeting decided to<br>concentrate technical<br>implementation in potential<br>beekeeping areas irrespective<br>administrative subdivision and<br>managerial wise operate from<br>Kasulu, Kibondo and Kigoma. | May,2012                        |         | JLPC meeting<br>in May,2012                                | PMT             | Consider inclusion of<br>new districts after split<br>of the mother districts                                     | РМТ                 | June 2013         | Finished   | Closed |
| Opening of District project account  | December<br>2012                | Q4,2012 | MIN.<br>02/06/2012;<br>JLPC meeting<br>on<br>December,2012 | JLPC<br>Members | Members approved the<br>proposal to use BTC<br>account opened in<br>Kasulu to channel CO-<br>managed project fund | PMT                 | December,20<br>12 | District council<br>agreed to request<br>money from this<br>account to implement<br>activities | Closed |
| Recommendation to employ an<br>Administrative Assistant (OA<br>report p.20)  | December,<br>2012               | Q4,2012 | MIN.<br>02/06/2012;<br>JLPC meeting<br>on<br>December,2012 | JLPC<br>Members | Member approved the<br>proposal to employ<br>office assistant and not<br>Administrative<br>secretary.             | NTA&<br>NFA         | Q1,2013           | The Administrative<br>Assistant was<br>employed  | Closed |
| Recommendation to adjust JLPC membership (OA report p.17).   | December,<br>2012               | Q4,2012 | MIN.<br>02/06/2012;<br>JLPC meeting<br>on<br>December,2012 | JLPC<br>Members | Members accepted the<br>proposal by PMT to<br>maintain the original<br>JLPC membership                            | JLPC<br>Membe<br>rs | Q4,2012           | The original JLPC<br>Composition was<br>maintained   | Closed |
| Recommendation to develop a<br>more explicit system-based and  | December,<br>2012               | Q4,2012 | MIN.<br>02/06/2012;  | PMT             | The meeting agreed to maintain the 20 Main  | PMT                 | Q1,2013           | Directives already<br>considered   | Closed |

| JPLC Decision taken   |                                 |            |   |       | Action  |       |           | Follow-up                     |        |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|---|-------|---|-------|-----------|-------------------------------|--------|
| Decision taken  | Period of<br>identificati<br>on | Timing     | Source  | Actor | Action(s)   | Resp. | Deadline  | Progress                      | Status |
| flexible Intervention Logic (OA<br>report p. 11).   |                                 |            | JLPC meeting<br>on<br>December,2012                       |       | Activities as per TFF.<br>Sub-results and related<br>activities as indicated in<br>the OA report will be<br>accommodated at<br>activity level in the<br>Operational Plan of the<br>project. |       |           |                               |        |
| Coordination of implementation<br>of activities in the new districts<br>will continue to be under current<br>Team leaders until when the new<br>districts signs the execution<br>agreement.   | October,20<br>13                | Q1,2014    | PMT proposal<br>to the JLPC<br>meeting in<br>October,2013 | PMT   | Directive already considered  | PMT   | Q1,2014   | Directives already considered | Closed |
| Funds for the activity<br>implementation for the new<br>districts will continue to be<br>disbursed through the mother<br>districts (Kigoma,kasulu and<br>Kigoma) until execution<br>agreement | October,20<br>13                | Ongoing    | PMT proposal<br>to the JLPC<br>meeting in<br>October,2013 | PMT   | Directive already considered  | PMT   | Q1,2014   | Directives already considered | Closed |
| PMT to come up with action plan<br>for implementing Audit<br>recommendations  | Sept 2014                       | 2014       | JLPC  | PMT   | Directive was<br>considered. PMT<br>implemented audit<br>recommendations  | PMT   | Q4 2014   | Finished                      | Closed |
| PMT to refine indicators.   | Sept 2014                       | 2014       | JLPC  | PMT   | Refining the indicators<br>for BSPK.  | PMT   | Q4 2014   | Finished                      | Closed |
| DED Uvinza and DED Kakonko<br>to be full members of JLPC  | Sept 2014                       | 2014       | DEDs  | JLPC  | DEDs Kakonko and<br>Uvinza to be included in<br>JLPC  | JLPC  | Sept 2014 | Finished                      | Closed |
| BTC to follow up with PS to see if<br>Specific account has been<br>granted. If no specific account,   | Sept 2014                       | Continuous | JLPC  | BTC   | Follow-up was made to<br>PMO-RALG. It was<br>confirmed opening  | BTC   | ASAP      | Finished                      | Closed |

| JPLC Decision taken   |                                 |        | Action      |       |   | Follow-up |          |          |        |
|---|---------------------------------|--------|-------------|-------|---|-----------|----------|----------|--------|
| Decision taken  | Period of<br>identificati<br>on | Timing | Source      | Actor | Action(s)   | Resp.     | Deadline | Progress | Status |
| one district to test EPICOR<br>miscellaneous development<br>account.                        |                                 |        |             |       | special account not<br>possible as it is contrary<br>with government<br>instructions.                           |           |          |          |        |
|   |                                 |        |             |       |   | BTC       | ASAP     | Finished |        |
| PMT to analyze beekeeping<br>potential of Buhigwe District.<br>Proposal by end October 2014 | Sept 2014                       | 2015   | DED Buhigwe | PMT   | Buhigwe DC was<br>included in BSPK<br>interventions after<br>analyzing beekeeping<br>potential in the district. | PMT       | Oct 2014 | Finished | Closed |

# 2 Expenses

### Table 7: The overview of expenses from FIT

| Budget vs Actuals (Year to Month) of TAN1002511 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Project Title :                                 | The development and improvement of processing, packaging and marketing of Honey, beeswax and other bee products in Tanzania |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget Version:<br>Currency :<br>YtM :          | C01<br>EUR Year to month : 31/01/2016<br>Report includes all closed transactions until the end date of the chosen closing   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  | Status | Fin Mode | Amount       | Start to 2015 | Expenses 2016 | Total        | Balance    | % Exe |
|--|--------|----------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|------------|-------|
| SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE: INCOME OF BEEKEEPERS THROUGH                 |        |          | 1.551.000,00 | 957.920,69    | 72.559,58     | 1.030.480,27 | 520.519,73 | 66    |
| 01 Beekeepers increase their incomes through                     |        |          | 320.000,00   | 206.407,27    | 13.305,32     | 219.712,59   | 100.287,41 | 69'   |
| 01 Increase production in quality and quantity                   |        | COGES    | 220.000,00   | 125.393,57    | 3.827,35      | 129.220,92   | 90.779,08  | 59'   |
| 02 Improve business skills                                       |        | COGES    | 100.000,00   | 81.013,70     | 9.477,97      | 90.491,67    | 9.508,33   | 90    |
| 02 Honey value chains improve their efficiency through           |        |          | 664.000,00   | 470.657,43    | 31.513,80     | 502.171,23   | 161.828,77 | 76    |
| 01 Facilitate common planning and development of chain           |        | COGES    | 30.000,00    | 22.094,07     | 0,00          | 22.094,07    | 7.905,93   | 74    |
| 02 Provide market information and other strategic information    |        | COGES    | 95.000,00    | 37.487,74     | 0,00          | 37.487,74    | 57.512,26  | 39    |
| 03 Facilitate linkage between producers and buyers               |        | COGES    | 125.000,00   | 129.347,05    | 595,20        | 129.942,25   | -4.942,25  | 104   |
| 04 Link beekeepers to service providers                          |        | COGES    | 120.000,00   | 9.895,06      | 0,00          | 9.895,06     | 110.104,94 | 8     |
| 05 Support to chain actors in improving marketing and            |        | COGES    | 114.000,00   | 5.822,29      | 0,00          | 5.822,29     | 108.177,71 | 5     |
| 06 Optimize use of processing centres                            |        | COGES    | 110.000,00   | 167.769,07    | 0,00          | 167.769,07   | -57.769,07 | 153   |
| 07 Support diversification towards wax processing and sale       |        | COGES    | 70.000,00 *  | 98.242,15     | 30.918,60     | 129.160,75   | -59.160,75 | 185   |
| 3 Beekeeping stakeholders and institutions implement             |        |          | 375.000,00   | 74.792,56     | 5.468,65      | 80.261,21    | 294.738,79 | 21    |
| 01 Spatial planning: identification and prioritisation of        |        | COGES    | 60.000,00    | 5.589,73      | 0,00          | 5.589,73     | 54.410,27  | 9     |
| 02 Study on the environmental threats to beekeeping              |        | COGES    | 50.000,00    | 8.865,27      | 1.575,06      | 10.440,33    | 39.559,67  | 21    |
| 03 Facilitate the setup of local and district level stakeholders |        | COGES    | 40.000,00    | 676,30        | 0,00          | 676,30       | 39.323,70  | 2     |
| 04 Support to the establishment of CBFM initiatives, Bee         |        | COGES    | 160.000,00   | 26.698,36     | 3.163,50      | 29.861,86    | 130.138,14 | 19    |
| 05 Facilitate Beekeeping in Moyowosi/Kigosi Game Reserve         |        | COGES    | 35.000,00    | 20.142,70     | 0,00          | 20.142,70    | 14.857,30  | 58    |
| 06 Support to village-level beekeeping reserves and other        |        | COGES    | 30.000,00    | 12.820,20     | 730,09        | 13.550,29    | 16.449,71  | 45    |
| 4 An enabling environment for sustainable beekeeping             |        |          | 192.000,00   | 206.063,43    | 22.271,81     | 228.335,24   | -36.335,24 | 119   |
| 01 Strengthen district capacity to monitor honey production      |        | COGES    | 30.000,00    | 81.506,12     | 12.487,07     | 93.993,19    | -63.993,19 | 313   |
|  |        | REGIE    | 1.055.500,00 | 841.992,15    | 7.646,00      | 849.638,15   | 205.861,85 | 80    |
|  |        | COGEST   | 1.644.500,00 | 1.094.996,17  | 78.997,11     | 1.173.993,28 | 470.506,72 | 719   |
|  |        | TOTAL    | 2.700.000,00 | 1.936.988,32  | 86.643,11     | 2.023.631,43 | 676.368,57 | 75%   |

## Budget vs Actuals (Year to Month) of TAN1002511

 Project Title :
 The development and improvement of processing, packaging and marketing of Honey, beeswax and other bee products in Tanzania

 Budget Version:
 C01

 Currency :
 EUR

 YtM :
 Report includes all closed transactions until the end date of the chosen closing

|   | Status | Fin Mode        | Amount                       | Start to 2015                | Expenses 2016          | Total                        | Balance                  | % Exec     |
|---|--------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| 02 Support beekeeping by-laws and policy implementation |        | COGES           | 80.000,00                    | 49.560,83                    | 3.044,92               | 52.605,75                    | 27.394,25                | 66%        |
| 03 Support district capacity to monitor and enforce NRM |        | COGES           | 22.000,00                    | 25.085,14                    | 0,00                   | 25.085,14                    | -3.085,14                | 114%       |
| 04 Support MNRT to improve the regulatory framework for |        | COGES           | 40.000,00                    | 0,00                         | 0,00                   | 0,00                         | 40.000,00                | 0%         |
| 05 Raise awareness on environmental and socio-economic  |        | COGES           | 20.000,00                    | 49.911,34                    | 6.739,82               | 56.651,16                    | -36.651,16               | 283%       |
| B ACTIVITIES RELATED INVESTMENTS & OPERATIONAL EXP      |        |                 | 188.500,00                   | 260.494,63                   | 6.437,53               | 266.932,16                   | -78.432,16               | 142%       |
| 01 Activities related investments                       |        |                 | 108.000,00                   | 123.419,15                   | 0,00                   | 123.419,15                   | -15.419,15               | 114%       |
| 01 Cars   |        | REGIE           | 90.000,00                    | 107.308,78                   | 0,00                   | 107.308,78                   | -17.308,78               | 119%       |
| 02 Motorcycles  |        | REGIE           | 18.000,00                    | 16.110,37                    | 0,00                   | 16.110,37                    | 1.889,63                 | 90%        |
| 02 Activities related operational expenses              |        |                 | 80.500,00                    | 137.075,48                   | 6.437,53               | 143.513,01                   | -63.013,01               | 178%       |
| 01 Cars running costs                                   |        | COGES           | 70.000,00                    | 132.569,38                   | 6.437,53               | 139.006,91                   | -69.006,91               | 199%       |
| 02 Motorcycles running costs                            |        | COGES           | 10.500,00                    | 4.506,10                     | 0,00                   | 4.506,10                     | 5.993,90                 | 43%        |
| X BUDGETARY RESERVE (MAX 5% * TOTAL ACTIVITIES)         |        |                 | 22.600,00                    | 0,00                         | 0,00                   | 0,00                         | 22.600,00                | 0%         |
| 01 Budgetary reserve                                    |        |                 | 22.600,00                    | * 0,00                       | 0,00                   | 0,00                         | 22.600,00                | 0%         |
| 01 Budgetary reserve CO-MANAGEMENT                      |        | COGES           | 13.000,00                    | 0,00                         | 0,00                   | 0,00                         | 13.000,00                | 0%         |
| 02 Budgetary reserve STATE MANAGEMENT                   |        | REGIE           | 9.600,00                     | 0,00                         | 0,00                   | 0,00                         | 9.600,00                 | 0%         |
| Z GENERAL MEANS   |        |                 | 937.900,00                   | 718.573,00                   | 7.646,00               | 726.219,00                   | 211.681,00               | 77%        |
| 01 Staff expenses                                       |        |                 | 492.200,00                   | 236.021,10                   | 5.126,05               | 241.147,15                   | 251.052,85               | 49%        |
| 01 National Coordinator                                 |        | REGIE           | 14.400,00                    | 3.677,19                     | 111,08                 | 3.788,27                     | 10.611,73                | 26%        |
| 02 National Technical Advisor                           |        | REGIE           | 153.600,00                   | 103.781,37                   | 2.293,65               | 106.075,02                   | 47.524,98                | 69%        |
| 03 National Financial Advisor                           |        | REGIE           | 120.000,00                   | 84.460,98                    | 1.931,53               | 86.392,51                    | 33.607,49                | 72%        |
| 04 Driver   |        | REGIE           | 24.000,00                    | 23.376,11                    | 581,67                 | 23.957,78                    | 42,22                    | 100%       |
|   |        | REGIE           | 1.055.500,00                 | 841.992,15                   | 7.646,00               | 849.638,15                   | 205.861,85               | 80%<br>71% |
| 0   |        | COGEST<br>TOTAL | 1.644.500,00<br>2.700.000,00 | 1.094.996,17<br>1.936.988,32 | 78.997,11<br>86.643,11 | 1.173.993,28<br>2.023.631,43 | 470.506,72<br>676.368,57 | 71%        |

# Budget vs Actuals (Year to Month) of TAN1002511

Project Title :

Budget Version:

Currency : YtM : C01

EUR

Year to month : 31/01/2016

The development and improvement of processing, packaging and marketing of Honey, beeswax and other bee products in Tanzania

Report includes all closed transactions until the end date of the chosen closing

|  | Status Fin Mode | Amount       | Start to 2015 | Expenses 2016 | Total        | Balance    | % Exe |
|--|-----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|------------|-------|
| 05 International Technical Assistance            | REGIE           | 180.200,00   | 20.725,45     | 208,12        | 20.933,57    | 159.266,43 | 12%   |
| 02 Investments                                   |                 | 78.000,00    | 78.951,29     | 259,40        | 79.210,69    | -1.210,69  | 102%  |
| 01 Transport                                     | REGIE           | 30.000,00    | 35.845,16     | 62,40         | 35.907,56    | -5.907.56  | 120%  |
| 02 Office equipment                              | REGIE           | 12.000,00    | 15.514,15     | 174,73        | 15.688,88    | -3.688,88  | 131%  |
| 03 IT equipment                                  | REGIE           | 16.000,00    | 7.467,44      | 0,00          | 7.467,44     | 8.532,56   | 47%   |
| 04 Office improvement works                      | REGIE           | 20.000,00    | 20.124,54     | 22,27         | 20.146,81    | -146.81    | 101%  |
| 03 Operational expenses                          |                 | 171.700,00   | 250.819,37    | 697,33        | 251.516,70   | -79.816,70 | 146%  |
| 01 JLPC meetings                                 | REGIE           | 32.000,00    | 51.515,56     | 0,00          | 51.515,56    | -19.515.56 | 161%  |
| 02 DPIT meetings                                 | REGIE           | 5.600,00     | 0,00          | 0,00          | 0.00         | 5.600,00   | 0%    |
| 03 Vehicle running costs fuel                    | REGIE           | 21.500,00    | 56.198,95     | 441,27        | 56.640,22    | -35.140,22 | 2639  |
| 04 Telecommunications                            | REGIE           | 33.600,00    | 4.864,41      | 74,96         | 4.939,37     | 28.660.63  | 15%   |
| 05 Operation maintenance office facilities       | REGIE           | 60.000,00    | 41.146,55     | 683,84        | 41.830,39    | 18.169,61  | 70%   |
| 06 Missions plus DSA, DBO's field staff          | REGIE           | 11.200,00    | 82.972,63     | -602,12       | 82.370,51    | -71.170.51 | 735%  |
| 07 Training                                      | REGIE           | 6.000,00     | 11.837,65     | 0,00          | 11.837,65    | -5.837,65  | 197%  |
| 08 Financial costs                               | REGIE           | 1.800,00     | 2.283,62      | 99,38         | 2.383,00     | -583.00    | 132%  |
| 4 Audit/ Monitoring / Evaluations                |                 | 196.000,00   | 152.762,18    | 1.563,22      | 154.325,40   | 41.674,60  | 79%   |
| 01 Baseline, Mid-Term and final Evaluation costs | REGIE           | 75.000,00    | 88.991,66     | 0,00          | 88.991,66    | -13.991.66 | 119%  |
| 02 Audit   | REGIE           | 36.000,00    | 14.028,00     | 0,00          | 14.028,00    | 21.972,00  | 39%   |
| 03 Backstopping BTC HQ                           | REGIE           | 50.000,00    | 19.912,67     | 0,00          | 19.912,67    | 30.087.33  | 40%   |
| 04 Organisational Assessments                    | REGIE           | 35.000,00    | 29.829,85     | 1.563,22      | 31.393,07    | 3.606.93   | 90%   |
| 9 Conversion rate adjustment                     |                 | 0,00         | 19,06         | 0,00          | 19,06        | -19,06     | ?%    |
|  | REGIE           | 1.055.500,00 | 841.992,15    | 7.646,00      | 849.638.15   | 205.861,85 | 80%   |
|  | COGEST          | 1.644.500,00 | 1.094.996,17  | 78.997,11     | 1.173.993,28 | 470.506,72 | 71%   |
|  | TOTAL           | 2.700.000,00 | 1.936.988,32  | 86.643,11     | 2.023.631,43 | 676.368,57 | 75%   |

| Project Title :                        | The development and improvement of processi                     | ng, packaging and marketing of Honey, beeswax and other bee products in Tanzania |
|--|---|--|
| Budget Version:<br>Currency :<br>YtM : | C01<br>EUR<br>Report includes all closed transactions until the | Year to month : 31/01/2016<br>e end date of the chosen closing                   |
|  |   |  |

|                               | Status Fin Mode | Amount | Start to 2015 | Expenses 2016 | Total | Balance | % Exe |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------|---------------|-------|---------|-------|
| 98 Conversion rate adjustment | REGIE           | 0,00   | 19,06         | 0,00          | 19,06 | -19,06  | ?%    |
| 99 Conversion rate adjustment | COGES           | 0,00   | 0,00          | 0,00          | 0,00  | 0,00    | ?%    |

| REGIE  | 1.055.500,00 | 841.992,15   | 7.646,00  | 849.638,15   | 205.861,85 | 80% |
|--------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|------------|-----|
| COGEST | 1.644.500,00 | 1.094.996,17 | 78.997,11 | 1.173.993,28 | 470.506,72 | 71% |
| TOTAL  | 2.700.000,00 | 1.936.988,32 | 86.643,11 | 2.023.631,43 | 676.368,57 | 75% |

| Source of<br>financing                    | Cumulated<br>budget | Real<br>cumulated<br>expenses                       | Cumulated<br>disbursement<br>rate | Comments and remarks   |
|---|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| Direct Belgian<br>Contribution            | 2.700.000 Euro      | 2,023,631.43<br>Euro until<br>31/01/2016<br>closing | 75%                               | Execution rate<br>REGIE is 80%=<br>849,638.15 Euro<br>Execution rate<br>COGEST is<br>71%=1,173,993.28<br>Euro  |
| Contribution of<br>the Partner<br>Country | 150.000 Euro        | NIL   | N/A                               | Not disbursed  |
| Other source                              | N/A                 | N/A   | N/A                               | The GoT offered<br>in<br>Kind contribution/<br>resources<br>specifically human<br>resources at the<br>national (MNRT),<br>regional and<br>district levels<br>(DPITs) as well as<br>office rooms. |

# 3 Disbursement rate of the intervention

### **4** Personnel of the intervention

| Personnel (title and name)   | Gender<br>(M/F) | Duration of<br>recruitment (start<br>and end dates) |
|--|-----------------|---|
| <ol> <li>National personnel put at disposal by the<br/>Partner Country:</li> <li>Mr.Mwanahamisi Mapolu-NPC-MNRT</li> </ol> | F               | From May,2012 until<br>to the end of the<br>project |
| <ul> <li>Support personnel, locally recruited by BTC:</li> <li>Nicodemus Mpemba –NTA</li> <li>George Nkwera-AFO</li> </ul> | M<br>M          | May,2012 to May 2016<br>August,2014-<br>August,2016 |
| 3. Training personnel, locally recruited:<br>Theonestina Mutole-Administrative Assistant and/or<br>Secretary               | F               | May,2013 to<br>June,2016                            |
| 4. International Personnel (outside BTC):<br>N/A   |                 |   |
| 5. International experts (BTC):<br>Piet Oosterom-ITA   | М               |   |

### **5** Public procurement

In accordance to TFF being an annex to the specific agreement procurement of goods (vehicles, office equipment) was done be done in own-management following the Belgian procurement rules (see § 5.1.7 in the TFF), this is referred to Own-management funds. Tanzanian procurement regulations were used for funds under co-management. Consultancy costs fall under co-managed funds as they refer to specific activities. Consultancy costs for evaluations (ETR, BSM, Audit) fall under own-managed funds and are reflected under its activity.

| The table below shows a list | procurements ( | Goods and Services | done by | the intervention |
|------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------|------------------|
| The lable below shows a list | procurements ( | Goods and Services | uone b  |                  |

| S/N0 | PUBLIC CONTRACT TITLE   | PUBLIC<br>CONTRACT<br>TYPE | AMOUNT(TSH<br>)<br>(ESTIMATED /<br>REALISED) | FINANĊING<br>MODE                     | PROCEDURE   | STATUS   | REMARKS  |
|------|---|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|----------|--|
| 1    | Designing market information<br>dissessemination strategy of<br>honey and other bee products  | services                   | 25,000,000                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Quotations<br>from at least 3<br>service<br>providers | Declined | Partly done ongoing<br>radio programs  |
| 2    | Study on feasibility on niche markets   | services                   | 10,000,000                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Advertise in<br>New papers                            | Declined | In itself was not done<br>but some of the useful<br>information was<br>collected during<br>market survey |
| 3    | Consultancy on Capacity building<br>to Beekeepers SACCOS and<br>COOPS on Business plan<br>Management, Financial service<br>and Management | Services                   | 49,980,000                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Advertised in news papers                             | Ongoing  | Some positive results<br>have now started<br>occurring (Eg.buying<br>membership share)                   |
| 4    | Conduct TOT on business managements skills  | Service                    | 15,000,000                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Advertise in<br>News papers                           | Declined | Complemented by<br>Support on BDS<br>management<br>assignment (still<br>ongoing)                         |
| 5    | Facilitate scaling –up of<br>warehouse receipt systems for<br>honey and other bee products  | service                    | 30,000,000                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | AAdvertise<br>in Newspapers                           | Declined | Thought during<br>consolidation phase<br>(especially during<br>capitalization                            |
| 7    | Designing group assessment tools<br>and carrying out group need<br>assessment   | services                   | 20,000,000                                   | joint<br>management<br>('Cogestion'): | Quotations<br>from 3 service<br>providers             | Declined | Partly done by DPITs<br>in the course of<br>implementation   |

| S/N0 | PUBLIC CONTRACT TITLE  | PUBLIC<br>CONTRACT<br>TYPE | AMOUNT(TSH<br>)<br>(ESTIMATED /<br>REALISED) | FINANCING<br>MODE                     | PROCEDURE                    | STATUS   | REMARKS   |
|------|--|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------|---|
| 8    | Development of simple database<br>of honey and other bee products                              | service                    | 7,600,000                                    | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Quotations                   | Done     | A dataset was<br>designed but is not<br>properly functioning<br>due to technical<br>problems.               |
| 9    | Facilitate contractually market<br>linkages between producer groups<br>and buyers              | services                   | 35,000,000                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Advertised in newspapers     | Done     | The assignment was successfully done.   |
| 10   | Facilitate scaling-up of warehouse<br>receipt system for honey and<br>other bee product        | service                    | 30,000,000                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Quotations                   | Declined | Was substituted by<br>introduction of self-<br>financing scheme<br>"Beehive loan facility"                  |
| 11   | Assessments of SACCOS and VSL  | Services                   | 30,000,000                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Quotations                   | Declined | Complemented by<br>Capacity building to<br>Coops and SACCOS<br>(still ongoing)                              |
| 12   | Designing a business plan of<br>honey and other bee products at<br>beekeeper cooperative level | service                    | 20,000,000                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Advertised in<br>News papers | Done     | The consultancy was<br>successfully done.<br>Most of the key<br>recommendations<br>have been<br>considered. |
| 14   | Environmental Impact<br>Assessments  | Service                    | 26,000,000                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Advertised in<br>Newspaper   | Done     | Substituted by Study<br>on relationship<br>between Tobacco<br>farming and<br>Beekeeping                     |
| 15   | Procurement of Motorcycle  | Service                    | 18,000,000                                   | Own<br>management<br>(REGIE)          | Quotaions                    | Done     | Completed   |

| S/N0 | PUBLIC CONTRACT TITLE  | PUBLIC<br>CONTRACT<br>TYPE | AMOUNT(TSH<br>)<br>(ESTIMATED /<br>REALISED) | FINANCING<br>MODE                     | PROCEDURE                          | STATUS  | REMARKS   |
|------|--|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|---|
| 16   | Consultancy on conducting study<br>on impact of Tobacco farming on<br>Beekeeping in Kigoma Region  | Service                    | 23,940,000                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Advertised in<br>the<br>Newspapers | Done    | The consultancy was<br>successfully done.<br>Most of the key<br>recommendations<br>have been<br>considered.   |
| 17   | Consultancy for provision of<br>support on Business Development<br>Service (BDS) Management to<br>select Beekeepers Cooperatives<br>and SACOSS | Service                    | 27,750,000                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Advertised in<br>Newspapers        | Ongoing | Expected to be<br>accomplished in<br>March,2016   |
| 18   | Consultancy on production of<br>Documentary Film for Beekeeping<br>support Project in Kigoma Region  | Service                    | 35,030,000                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Advertised in<br>Newspapers        | Ongoing | Expected to be<br>accomplished in<br>March,2016   |
| 19   | Construction of Queen rearing<br>center at MATI Mubondo-Kasulu<br>DC   | Service                    | 24,766,642                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Quotations                         | Done    | Centre has already<br>been equipped with<br>most of the essential<br>facilities.<br>.Operationalization is<br>foreseen in<br>March,2016 (During<br>colony building<br>season) |
| 20   | Construction of Queen Rearing<br>center at Nduta-Kibondo DC  | Service                    |  | Joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Quotations                         | Done    | Same as above   |
| 21   | Contruction of Queen rearing at<br>Kidahwe-Kigoma DC   | Service                    |  | Joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Quotations                         | Done    | Same as item No.19  |

| S/N0 | PUBLIC CONTRACT TITLE   | PUBLIC<br>CONTRACT<br>TYPE | AMOUNT(TSH<br>)<br>(ESTIMATED /<br>REALISED) | FINANCING<br>MODE                     | PROCEDURE  | STATUS  | REMARKS   |
|------|---|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|---------|---|
| 21   | Rehabilitation of UWAKA collection center                         | Service                    | 18,306,573                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Quotations   | Done    | Same as item No.19  |
| 22   | Procurement of 16 tents   | Goods                      | 66,000,000                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Advertised in<br>Newspapers<br>and BTC<br>website                | Done    | Tenderers did not<br>meet the minimum<br>requirements   |
| 23   | National Beekeeping Policy<br>(1998) review                       | Service                    | 72,000000                                    | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Quotations<br>(especially in<br>getting the<br>lead facilitator) | Ongoing | Further support<br>foreseen in Feb<br>through June,2016   |
| 24   | Construction of Kagarenkanda<br>Collection Center-Kasulu DC       | Service                    | 44,000,000                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Quotations   | Done    | The center was<br>successfully<br>completed .processes<br>for acquisition of TBS<br>and TFDA is ongoing |
| 25   | Supply of equipment for Kagera<br>Nkanda collection center-Kasulu | Service                    | 9,970,000                                    | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Quotations   | Done    | Most of the essential<br>equipment were<br>supplied to the center                                       |
| 26   | Construction of Ilagala Collection centre-Uvinza DC               | Service                    | 39,982,560                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'): | Quotations   | Done    | The center was<br>successfully<br>completed .processes<br>for acquisition of TBS<br>and TFDA is ongoing |
| 27   | Construction of Kazaroho<br>Collection Center-Uvinza DC           | Service                    | 39,967,910                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion'   | Quotations   | Done    | The center was<br>successfully<br>completed .processes<br>for acquisition of TBS<br>and TFDA is ongoing |

| S/N0 | PUBLIC CONTRACT TITLE   | PUBLIC<br>CONTRACT<br>TYPE | AMOUNT(TSH<br>)<br>(ESTIMATED /<br>REALISED) | FINANCING<br>MODE                   | PROCEDURE  | STATUS | REMARKS   |
|------|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|------------|--------|---|
| 28   | Supply of equipment for Ilagala<br>and Kazroho Collection centers             | Service                    | 19,976,000                                   | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion' | Quotations | Done   | Most of the essential<br>equipment were<br>supplied to the<br>centers                                     |
| 29   | Construction of Busunzu and<br>rehabilitation of Kifura Collection<br>Centers | Service                    | 53,777,966.50                                | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion' | Quotations | Done   | The centers were<br>successfully<br>completed .processes<br>for acquisition of TBS<br>and TFDA is ongoing |
| 30   | Supply of equipment for Busunzu<br>and Kifura Collection Centers              | Service                    | 19,976,000.00                                | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion' | Quotations | Done   | Most of the essential<br>equipment were<br>supplied to the<br>centers                                     |
| 31   | Conduct a baseline Survey   | Service                    |  | Own<br>management<br>(REGIE)        | Advertised | Done   | Successfully completed  |
| 32   | Conduct an Organizational<br>Assessment                                       | Service                    |  | Own<br>management<br>(REGIE)        | Advertised | Done   | Successfully completed  |
| 33   | Conduct a market survey of bee-<br>products in Kigoma Region                  | Service                    |  | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion' | Advertised | Done   | Successfully completed  |
| 34   | Conduct household income<br>derived from sales of bee<br>products             | Service                    | 27,974,500.00                                | joint<br>management<br>('cogestion' | Advertised | Done   | Successfully completed  |

### **6** Public agreements

In line with the TFF (see § 5.1.1 in TFF) which is an annex to the specific agreement and in conformity with the principles of economy, effectiveness, efficiency and transparency, BTC has signed an execution agreement with the contracting party "District Council"). Every mother district council (Kibondo, Kasulu and Kigoma Rural) signed an execution agreement with BTC.

In view of implementing the activities of project activities, the district implementation roles, the object of the agreement is to entrust the district council with: (1) budgeting / planning, (2) the operational management and (3) the reporting on: (a) commitments and expenditures, (b) progress in implementation of activities and (3) progress in attainment of expected project outcomes.

## 7 Equipment

|    | EQUIPMENT                            |          | COST   |   | DELIVERY<br>YEAR |
|----|--------------------------------------|----------|--------|---|------------------|
|    | ТҮРЕ                                 | QUANTITY | BUDGET | REAL (TSH)<br>Other Currency<br>is Specified. |                  |
|    | NTA AND SECRETARY OFFICE             |          |        |   |                  |
| 1  | Office Table-Executive               | 1        |        | 700,000.00                                    | 2012             |
| 2  | Conference Table                     | 1        |        | 470,000.00                                    | 2012             |
| 3  | Office Table                         | 1        |        | 200,000.00                                    | 2012             |
| 4  | Conference Chair                     | 1        |        | 150,000.00                                    | 2012             |
| 5  | Conference Chair                     | 1        |        | 150,000.00                                    | 2012             |
| 6  | Conference Chair                     | 1        |        | 150,000.00                                    | 2012             |
| 7  | Visitors Chair                       | 1        |        | 95,000.00                                     | 2014             |
| 8  | Visitors Chair                       | 1        |        | 95,000.00                                     | 2014             |
| 9  | Visitors Chair                       | 1        |        | 95,000.00                                     | 2014             |
| 10 | Visitors Chair                       | 1        |        | 95,000.00                                     | 2014             |
| 11 | Executive Chair                      | 1        |        | 380,000.00                                    | 2012             |
| 12 | Executive Chair                      | 1        |        | 500,000.00                                    | 2016             |
| 13 | Steel cabinet file                   | 1        |        | 450,000.00                                    | 2012             |
| 14 | LAPTOP DELL LATITUDE E5420           | 1        |        | 1160 EUROS                                    | 2012             |
| 15 | LAPTOP DELL LATITUDE E5421           | 2        |        | 1160EUROS                                     | 2015             |
| 16 | DELL DESKTOP HFV 265J WITH<br>SCREEN | SET      |        | 1,208,000.00                                  | 2012             |
| 17 | HP LASERJET 1536 DNF-MFP             | 1        |        | 560,000.00                                    | 2012             |
| 18 | HP LASERJET P2050                    | 1        |        | 944,000.00                                    | 2012             |
| 19 | AC SPLIT UNIT-SAMSUNG 12000btu       | 1        |        |   | 2012             |
| 20 | HP SCANNERJET G4010                  | 1        |        | 320,000.00                                    | 2012             |
|    | NFA /AFO OFFICE                      |          |        |   |                  |
| 1  | Office Table                         | 1        |        | 450,000.00                                    | 2012             |
| 2  | Office Table Steel                   | 1        |        | 700,000.00                                    | 2014             |
| 3  | Office Visitor Chair                 | 1        |        | 150,000.00                                    | 2012             |
| 4  | Office Visitor Chair                 | 1        |        | 150,000.00                                    | 2012             |
| 5  | Office Visitor Chair                 | 1        |        | 150,000.00                                    | 2012             |
| 6  | Executive Chair                      | 1        |        | 380,000.00                                    | 2012             |
| 7  | Executive Chair                      | 1        |        | 250,000.00                                    | 2015             |
| 8  | LCD Projector-EPSON                  | 1        |        | 1,280,000.00                                  | 2012             |
| 9  | Steel safe (Cash box)                | 1        |        | 1,439,600.00                                  | 2013             |
| 10 | Steel cabinet file                   | 1        |        | 450,000.00                                    | 2012             |
| 11 | Steel cabinet                        | 1        |        | 500,000.00                                    | 2012             |
| 12 | LAPTOP DELL LATITUDE E5440           | 1        |        | 1160 EUROS                                    | 2014             |
| 13 | AC SPLIT UNIT-SAMSUNG 12000btu       | 1        |        |   | 2012             |

### List of equipment acquired during the intervention

|  | EQUIPMENT   |  | COST  | DELIVERY<br>YEAR  |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| 14   | TOYOTA LC H/TOP   |  | € 33,745.0  | 0 2012  |
| 15   | HP DESKTOP WITH SCREEN  | 1  | 1,700,000.0   |   |
| 16   | PRINTER   | 1  | 800,000.00  | 2013  |
| 17   | PHOTOCOPY   | 1  | 3,776,000.0   |   |
| 18   | LAPTOP TOSHIBA - NPC  | 1  | 1,590,000.0   |   |
|  | KASULU DBO OFFICE   |  |   |   |
| Α  | OFFICE ITEMS  |  |   |   |
| 1  | Office Table-STEEL  | 1  | 500,000.00  | 2012  |
| 2  | Executive Chair   | 1  | 390,000.00  | 2013  |
| 3  | Visitor Chair   | 2  | 190,000.00  | 2013  |
| 4  | Steel cabinet file  | 1  | 500,000.00  | 2013  |
| 5  | DELL DESKTOP D12M WITH SCREEN   | SET  | 941.18 EUR  |   |
| 6  | LAPTOP TOSHIBA SETALLITE  | 1  | \$1,050.00.0  |   |
| 7  | PRINTER HP LASERJET P2050   | 1  | 175.2 EURC  |   |
| 8  | TOYOTA LC H/TOP   | 1  | 32,168 EUR  |   |
| 9  | TRI MOTOR CYCLE –TOYO BAJAJ   | 1  | 4,950,000.0   |   |
| 10   | TRI MOTOR CYCLE – TOYO BAJAJ  | 1  | 4,950,000.0   |   |
| 11   | SCANNER   | 1  | 70,000.00   | 2015  |
| В  | STRUCTURES <sup>32</sup>  | •  | 10,000.00   | 2010  |
| 1  | KAGERA NKANDA COLL CENTRE   |  | 44,000,000.   | 00 2014   |
| 2  | EQUIPMENTS FOR THE CENTRE   |  | 9,970,000.0   |   |
| 3  | PATROL EQUIPMENT FOR VNRC <sup>33</sup>   |  | 4,500,000.0   |   |
| 4*   | QUEEN REARING CENTER  |  | .,  |   |
| 5*   | QUEEN REARING CENTER FACILITIES   |  |   |   |
|  | KIGOMA AND UVINZA DBO OFFICE  |  |   |   |
| Α  | OFFICE ITEMS  |  |   |   |
| 1  | Office Table  | 1  | 500,000.00  | 2012  |
| 2  | Conference Table  | 1  | 950,000.00  | 2012  |
| 3  | Executive Chair   | 1  | 390,000.00  | 2013  |
| 4  | Executive Chair   | 1  |   |   |
| 5  |   |  | 390,000.00  | 2013  |
|  |   | 1  | 390,000.00  | 2013<br>2013  |
|  | Conference Chair  | -  | 190,000.00  | 2013  |
| 6<br>7   | Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair  | 1  | 190,000.00<br>190,000.00  | 2013<br>2013  |
| 6<br>7   | Conference Chair  | 1  | 190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00  | 2013<br>2013<br>2013  |
| 6  | Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair  | 1<br>1<br>1  | 190,000.00<br>190,000.00  | 2013<br>2013  |
| 6<br>7<br>8  | Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair  | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1  | 190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00  | 2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013  |
| 6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair  | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1   | 190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00  | 2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013  |
| 6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair  | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1   | 190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00  | 2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013  |
| 6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair  | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1                                      | 190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00   | 2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013  |
| 6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair  | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1                                 | 190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00   | 2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013  |
| 6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair  | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1                            | 190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00   | 2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013  |
| 6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Visitor Chair   | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1                       | 190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00  | 2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013  |
| 6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   | Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Visitor Chair  | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1             | 190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00   | 2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013  |
| 6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Steel cabinet file  | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1             | 190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           500,000.00  | 2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013  |
| 6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Steel cabinet file<br>DELL DESKTOP D12M WITH SCREEN   | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>5ET      | 190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           941.18 EUF   | 2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013  |
| 6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18   | Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Steel cabinet file<br>DELL DESKTOP D12M WITH SCREEN<br>LAPTOP TOSHIBA SETALLITE   | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>5ET<br>1 | 190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           941.18 EUF           \$ 1,050.00   | 2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013  |
| 6         7         8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19                                  | Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Steel cabinet file<br>DELL DESKTOP D12M WITH SCREEN<br>LAPTOP TOSHIBA SETALLITE<br>PRINTER HP LASERJET P2050  | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>SET<br>1<br>1 | 190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           190,000.00           941.18 EUF           \$ 1,050.00           \$ 175.20  | 2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013<br>2013  |
| 6         7         8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         20         21            | Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Steel cabinet file<br>DELL DESKTOP D12M WITH SCREEN<br>LAPTOP TOSHIBA SETALLITE<br>PRINTER HP LASERJET P2050<br>TOYOTA L C H/TOP<br>TRI MOTOR CYCLE-TOYO BAJAJ                               | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1             | 190,000.00           19 | 2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2012         2012         2012         2012         2012         2012         2013                                     |
| 6         7         8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         20         21         22 | Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Steel cabinet file<br>DELL DESKTOP D12M WITH SCREEN<br>LAPTOP TOSHIBA SETALLITE<br>PRINTER HP LASERJET P2050<br>TOYOTA L C H/TOP<br>TRI MOTOR CYCLE-TOYO BAJAJ<br>TRI MOTOR CYCLE-TOYO BAJAJ | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1             | 190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>190,000.00<br>500,000.00<br>941.18 EUR<br>\$ 1,050.00<br>\$ 175.20<br>32168 EUR   | 2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2012         2012         2012         2012         2012         2012         2012         2013         0         2013 |
| 6         7         8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         20         21            | Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Conference Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Visitor Chair<br>Steel cabinet file<br>DELL DESKTOP D12M WITH SCREEN<br>LAPTOP TOSHIBA SETALLITE<br>PRINTER HP LASERJET P2050<br>TOYOTA L C H/TOP<br>TRI MOTOR CYCLE-TOYO BAJAJ                               | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1             | 190,000.00           31,050.00           3,536,000.00           3,536,000.0            | 2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2013         2012         2012         2012         2012         2012         2012         2012         2013         0         2013 |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Collection center equipment includes: Refractometer, centrifuge machine, plastic pail, plastic buckets, weighing balance scale, sets iron pots (1x3 pcs), strainer, display cup board, weighing balance (small oclock), office cupboard, benches office chairs, pallets (4 x 4), uniforms, washing buckets, shelves, office tables (70 x 120) and packaging tables (3 x 5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Patrol equipment includes; Bicycles, Gumboots, Rain Coats, Bush knives and Whistles

| 26<br>27<br>28<br>29<br><b>B</b><br>1<br>2 | GPS<br>GPS<br>GPS               | 1   | 1,500,000.00     | DELIVERY<br>YEAR |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------|-----|------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 28<br>29<br><b>B</b><br>1                  | GPS                             | 1   | 1,000,000.00     | 2013             |  |  |
| 29<br><b>B</b><br>1                        |                                 |     | 1,500,000.00     | 2013             |  |  |
| 29<br><b>B</b><br>1                        |                                 | 1   | 1,500,000.00     | 2013             |  |  |
| <b>B</b><br>1                              | SCANNER                         | 1   | 70,000.00        | 2015             |  |  |
| 1  | STRUCTURES                      |     | -,               |                  |  |  |
|  | ILAGALA COLL CENTRE             | 1   | 39,982,560.00    | 2014             |  |  |
| 2  | KAZAROHO COLL CENTRE            | 1   | 39,967,910.00    | 2014             |  |  |
| 3  | EQUIPMENTS FOR ILAGALA AND      | 1   | 19,976,000.00    | 2015             |  |  |
| 0  | KAZAROHO COLL CENTRES           |     | 10,010,000.00    | 2010             |  |  |
| 4  | EQUIPMENTS FOR NGURUKA          | 1   | 9,918,000.00     | 2015             |  |  |
| •  | COLLECTION CENTRES              |     | 0,010,000.00     | 2010             |  |  |
| 5  | HIVES(TRAD & TTBH)              | 190 | 9,150,000.00     | 2015             |  |  |
| 6  | PATROL EQUIPMENTS FOR VNRC      | 1   | 7.344.000.00     | 2015             |  |  |
| 7  | QUEEN REARING CENTER            |     | 1,011,000.00     | 2010             |  |  |
| 8  | QUEEN RAERING CENTER FACILITIES |     |                  |                  |  |  |
| 0  | KIBONDO AND KAKONKO DBO OFFICE  |     |                  |                  |  |  |
| A  | OFFICE ITEMS                    |     |                  |                  |  |  |
| 1  | EXECUTIVE WOODEN TABLE          | 1   | 500,000.00       | 2012             |  |  |
| 2  | Executive Chair                 | 1   | <br>390,000.00   | 2012             |  |  |
| 3  | Visitor Chair                   | 2   | <br>190,000.00   | 2013             |  |  |
| 3  | Steel cabinet file              | 1   | <br>500,000.00   | 2013             |  |  |
|  |                                 |     |                  | 2013             |  |  |
| 5  | DELL DESKTOP D12M WITH SCREEN   | SET | 941.18 EUROS     | -                |  |  |
| 6  | DELL DESKTOP D12M WITH SCREEN   | SET | 1,750,000.00     | 2014             |  |  |
| 7  | ADAPTER                         | 1   | <br>150,000.00   | 2014             |  |  |
| 8  | TOSHIBA SATELLITE               | 1   | <br>\$1,050.00   | 2012             |  |  |
| 9  | PRINTER HP LASERJET P2050       | 1   | <br>175.2 EUROS  | 2012             |  |  |
| 10   | TOYOTA LC H/TOP                 | 1   | <br>32,168 EUROS | 2012             |  |  |
| 11   | REFRACTOMETRE                   | 1   | <br>745,000.00   | 2013             |  |  |
| 12   | REFRACTOMETRE                   | 1   | <br>745,000.00   | 2013             |  |  |
| 13   | GPS                             | 1   | <br>1,225,000.00 | 2013             |  |  |
| 14   | GPS                             | 1   | 1,225,000.00     | 2013             |  |  |
| 15   | PACKAGING TABLES                | 1   | 230,000.00       | 2013             |  |  |
| 16   | OFFICE TABLE                    | 1   | 260,000.00       | 2013             |  |  |
| 17   | OFFICE TABLE                    | 1   | 260,000.00       | 2013             |  |  |
| 18   | OFFICE TABLE                    | 1   | 260,000.00       | 2013             |  |  |
| 19   | OFFICE TABLE                    | 1   | 260,000.00       | 2013             |  |  |
| 20   | SHOW CASE CUPBOARD              | 1   | 540,000.00       | 2013             |  |  |
| 21   | OFFICE CUPBOARD                 | 1   | 380,000.00       | 2013             |  |  |
| 22   | OFFICE CUPBOARD                 | 1   | 380,000.00       | 2013             |  |  |
| 23   | WALL SHELF                      | 1   | 290,000.00       | 2013             |  |  |
| 24   | CENTRIFUGE MACHINE              | 1   | 2,000,000.00     | 2013             |  |  |
| 25   | WEIGHING BALANCE 1X300KGS       | 1   | 850,000.00       | 2013             |  |  |
| 26   | TRI MOTOR CYCLE (TOYO BAJAJ)    | 1   | 3,536,000.00     | 2013             |  |  |
| 27   | TRI MOTOR CYCLE (TOYO BAJAJ)    | 1   | <br>3,536,000.00 | 2013             |  |  |
| 29   | TRI MOTOR CYCLE (TOYO BAJAJ)    | 1   | 3,536,000.00     | 2013             |  |  |
| 30   | SCANER                          | 1   | 70,000.00        | 2015             |  |  |
| В  | STRUCTURES                      |     |                  |                  |  |  |
| 1  | CONSTRUCTION OF BUSUNZU AND     |     | 53,777,966.50    | 2014             |  |  |
|  | REHABILITATION OF KIFURA COLL   |     |                  |                  |  |  |
|  | CENTRES                         |     |                  |                  |  |  |
| 2  | EQUIPMENT FOR BOTH CENTRES      |     | 19,976,000.00    | 2015             |  |  |
| 3  | HIVES (TTBH & STIBLESS)         | 260 | 14,400,000.00    | 2015             |  |  |
| 4  | PATROL EQUIPMENT FOR VNRC       |     | 5,520,000.00     | 2015             |  |  |
| 5  | QUEEN REARING CENTER            | 1   |                  |                  |  |  |
| 6*   | QUEEN REARING CENTER FACILITIES |     |                  |                  |  |  |
|  | JUNIOR ASSISTANT OFFICE         |     |                  |                  |  |  |
| 1  | Office Table-Steel              | 1   | 250,000.00.00    | 2013             |  |  |

|   | EQUIPMENT             |   | COST          | DELIVERY<br>YEAR |
|---|-----------------------|---|---------------|------------------|
| 2 | Steel cabinet file    | 1 | 450,000.00.00 | 2013             |
| 3 | Visitor Chair         | 1 | 100,000.00.00 | 2013             |
| 4 | Visitor Chair         | 1 | 100,000.00    | 2013             |
| 5 | Executive Chair       | 1 | 250,000.00    | 2013             |
| 6 | AC SPLIT UNIT-SAMSUNG | 1 |               | 2013             |

| Table 5: Expected imp  |   |   |  |  | upp                             | ort Project Kigoma",  |   |
|--|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Tanzania" (06/2012 – (<br>Impact (goal):<br>Contribution of the beek<br>Outcome (specific object   | keeping sector to the   | e sust  | ainable de   | velopment of Ta  | inza                            | nia enhanced.   |   |
| A replicable model of su   | ustainable beekeepi   |   | velopment  | piloted in Kigon   | na R                            | Region.   |   |
| Indicators for the outcol<br>Indicator 1:<br>Strategic beekeeping<br>development plan<br>implemented by the<br>three district<br>authorities by the end<br>of the project. | Indicator 2:<br>Number of<br>villages<br>participating in<br>beekeeping<br>related<br>development<br>and PFM<br>activities in the<br>three districts.                   | Indicator 3:<br>% of forest<br>areas<br>designated<br>as important<br>beekeeping<br>areas under<br>some form of<br>effective<br>management<br>by the end of<br>the project. |  | Number of<br>illages% of forest<br>areas% increase of<br>householdsbarticipating in<br>beekeepingdesignated<br>as important(distinction<br>between wome<br>and man<br>areas under<br>some form of<br>effectiveand PFM<br>bere districts.some form of<br>effectiveinvolved in the<br>bee products<br>value chain. |                                 |   | Indicator 5:<br>% increase in the value<br>of bee keeping products<br>from the three districts<br>compared to baseline. |
| <i>Output (result 1):</i><br>Beekeepers increase<br>their incomes through<br>improvement of<br>production and<br>business skills.  | Output (result 2):<br>Honey value chair<br>improve their<br>efficiency through<br>coordination and<br>capacity building in<br>order to respond to<br>market opportuniti | stakeholders and<br>institutions implement<br>models for protection<br>of key forest and bee<br>to forage areas.  |  |  | Ar<br>su<br>en                  | utput (result 4):<br>a enabling environment for<br>stainable beekeeping is<br>hanced at local district<br>ad national levels.   |   |
| Indicators for the output  |   |   |  |  |                                 |   |   |
| Indicator 1.1:<br>Beekeepers practicing<br>modern beekeeping<br>practices  | Indicator 2.1:<br>% increase in<br>market<br>shares/volumes<br>for bee products<br>from Kigoma.   | Multi<br>Cons<br>estal  | cator 3.1:<br>i-stakehold<br>servation F<br>blished an<br>districts.   |  | N                               | ndicator 4.1:<br>ational Beekeeping Policy<br>viewed.   |   |
| Indicator 1.2<br>% increase in the<br>average quantity of<br>honey per beehive.  | Indicator 2.2:<br>Gross margin<br>redistribution<br>among actors<br>(in favour of<br>producers).  | No. (<br>PFM<br>use<br>takin  | CBFM (commanagemon<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(commanagemon)<br>(c | other sources  | Ν                               | <i>idicator 4.2:</i><br>ew Beekeeping<br>evelopment Programme.  |   |
| Indicator 1.3<br>% increase in the<br>value of bee products<br>due to increase in<br>yield, improved quality<br>and diversification.                                       |   | Indicator 3.3:<br>% contribution of beekeeping<br>activities towards forest<br>conservation costs (in kind<br>and cash) in form of user fee<br>and taxes.                   |  |  | N<br>le                         | <i>dicator 4.3:</i><br>umber of district and local<br>vel bylaws developed and<br>nplemented.   |   |
|  |   | Indicator 3.4:<br>MoU between<br>Moyowosi/Kigosi GR and<br>beekeepers ratified and being<br>used to guide honey<br>collection in the GR.                                    |  |  | R<br>be<br>do<br>re<br>nu<br>be | dicator 4.4:<br>elevant sustainable<br>eekeeping models' impact<br>boumented, disseminated,<br>pplicated, indicating<br>umber of people<br>enefitting (dist. male and<br>male). |   |

### 9 Complete Monitoring Matrix

| Results  | Outcome /Result<br>indicators   | Baseline data   | Target <sup>34</sup> (direction & value)   | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)   | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)   | Who to<br>collect                             | Analysis<br>methods           | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate) | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| A.1: Beekeepers<br>increase their<br>incomes through<br>improvement of<br>production and<br>business skills<br>(and value<br>addition) | <ul> <li>A.1.i. # Beekeepers<br/>practicing modern<br/>beekeeping<br/>practices</li> <li>A.1.ii. % increase in<br/>average quantity of<br/>honey per beehive</li> <li>A.1.iii. % increase in<br/>value of bee<br/>products due to<br/>increase in yield,</li> </ul> | A.1.i. # and % of beekeepers practicing<br>modern beekeeping (semi intensive and<br>intensive)From district dataKigoma Rural- 1,110 (490 Fe, -90%)Kasulu - 15 (2 Fe, -3%)Kibondo - 1,066 (424 Fe, - 39%)From household surveyKigoma R - 45.1%<br>Kasulu - 12.7%Kibondo - 2.5%A.1.ii. Quantity of honey per beehive36On average a beehive produces a net of 7.74<br>kgs/beehive The average of net honey per<br>TTBH = 11.60, per Log hive = 6.98 and per<br>straw/bark beehive = $4.65/\text{Kgs}^{37}$ A.1.iii. Value of bee products (Average<br>Quantity in litres and Kas per<br>beekeeper/annum]38From District Data | A.1.i.# of beekeepers<br>practicing modern<br>beekeeping<br>KIGOMA RURAL<br>1,630 (740 Fe)<br>KASULU:<br>1,070 (200 Fe)<br>KIBONDO: 1,376<br>(547Fe)<br>AT HOUSEHOLD<br>Kgm:67.7%<br>Kasulu: 25.4%<br>Kibondo: 5%<br>A.1.ii:<br>TTBH= 14.0kg/beehive<br>LOG HIVE<br>10.0Kgs/beehive<br>STRAW/BARK HIVE<br>4.65Kg/beehive <sup>39</sup> | <ul> <li>District's<br/>Progress<br/>reports</li> <li>Baseline<br/>data</li> <li>Mid-term<br/>review<br/>reports</li> <li>Annual<br/>reports</li> <li>Final<br/>evaluation<br/>and<br/>impact<br/>assessmen<br/>t report</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Questionnaires</li> <li>Focus group<br/>discussions</li> <li>Cooperative<br/>group records</li> <li>Beekeeper's<br/>records</li> <li>Baseline survey</li> <li>Review reports</li> <li>Interviews of key<br/>chain actors</li> </ul> | NTA,NPC,ITA ,<br>DBOs&<br>Consultant-<br>lead | Quantitative<br>& Qualitative | NTA, NPC,<br>ITA, DPIT &<br>Consultant      | Mid and                           |

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> The target (direction and value) will be set after the baseline – and based on evidence, e.g., from the baseline, past project experience(BIPI), consultation with experts, secondary literature, or government expectations
 <sup>35</sup> Note that methodologies for baseline and monitoring may be different, particularly in complex projects. The baseline (and end line) may be principally quantitative, but the intermediate monitoring of impacts may draw upon other more qualitative and participatory methods, e.g., outcome mapping, Most Significant Change technique, Positive Deviance, Participatory Impact Pathway monitoring, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Values extrapolated from District data

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Refer to the assumptions on output per beehive subsection 6.1 in the baseline report

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Based on household baseline survey <sup>39</sup> The project aims to discourage straw/bark beehives, more understanding of economic, environmental and social disadvantages will be investigated and communicated to target communities

| Results  | Outcome /Result<br>indicators  | Baseline data   | Target <sup>34</sup> (direction & value)   | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)  | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)  | Who to<br>collect  | Analysis<br>methods   | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate)                               | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g                                      |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|
|  | improved quality<br>and diversification.   | Kigoma = 67 Kgs<br>Kasulu = 20 Kgs<br>Kibondo = 67 Kgs<br><i>From household survey (2011)</i><br>Kigoma = 516Lts or 368Kgs<br>Kasulu = 1,614Lts of 1,152Kgs<br>Kibondo = 1,145Lts or 817 Kgs  | From Household<br>Consider baseline are<br>in Kgs<br>KIGOMA-645Kgs (25%<br>increase)<br>KASULU-403.5 Kgs<br>(25% Increase)<br>KIBONDO: 286.25Kgs<br>(25% Increase)   |  |   |  |   |   |  |
| A.1.x1 (Potential)<br>Beekeepers are<br>sensitised on<br>commercialisation<br>of beekeeping  | A.1.iv. # of<br>potential<br>beekeepers<br>sensitized<br>A.1.v. # potential<br>beekeepers<br>identified  | A.1.iv. A.1.iv. 0 beekeepers sensitized<br>A.1.v. 0 potential beekeepers identified   | A1.iv. 100%<br>Beekeepers are<br>sensitized<br>A1.v. 40% potential<br>beekeepers identified  | <ul> <li>Participan<br/>ts list</li> <li>Training<br/>materials</li> <li>Trainers<br/>evaluation<br/>report</li> <li>Beekeeper<br/>register</li> </ul>                     | <ul> <li>Baseline survey</li> <li>Review reports</li> <li>Interviews of key chain actors</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Trainer-<br/>Lead</li> <li>DBO, NTA,<br/>NPC, ITA ,<br/>DBOs&amp;<br/>Consultant-<br/>lead</li> </ul>   | Qualitative   | <ul> <li>NTA,<br/>NPC,ITA ,<br/>DPIT &amp;<br/>Consultan<br/>t</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Mid<br/>and<br/>annua<br/>I</li> </ul>                        |
| A.1.x2<br>Organizational<br>capacity gaps of<br>existing groups<br>assessed and<br>addressed | A.1.vi. # of existing<br>groups/cooperative<br>s assessed<br>A.1.vii. Needs<br>assessment report<br>A.1.viii. % of<br>beekeepers who<br>are members or<br>benefitting from<br>VSL/SACCOS (dist.<br>as male and female) | <ul> <li>A.1.vi. 0 number of groups/cooperatives<br/>assessed</li> <li>A.1.vii.No needs assessment document to<br/>guide capacity building interventions.</li> <li>A.1.viii: % beekeepers (dist. male and female)<br/>linked to credit services</li> <li>Kasulu: Female 33.3%; Male 14.3%</li> <li>Kibondo : Female 4.0%; Male 5.9%</li> <li>Kigoma Rural: Female 10.0%; Male 5.8%</li> <li>Average 11.49%</li> </ul> | A.1.vi<br><u>KIGOMA</u><br>60 groups and 3<br>cooperatives(Mwakila(<br>new),Mfungezi and<br>Kazaroho)<br><u>KASULU</u><br>36 Groups and 1<br>cooperative(KBCS)<br><u>KIBONDO</u><br>62 groups and 3<br>Cooperatives<br>(UKI,BBCS and | <ul> <li>Business<br/>records</li> <li>Organizati<br/>on<br/>structures</li> <li>Financial<br/>services<br/>providers<br/>(SACCOS,<br/>VSL, etc.)</li> <li>DBOs</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Interviews with<br/>group leaders<br/>and members</li> <li>Baseline surveys</li> <li>Mid-term review<br/>reports</li> <li>End of Project<br/>Evaluation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Organizatio<br/>nal/group/a<br/>ssociation<br/>expert</li> <li>DBOs, Ward<br/>and<br/>Division<br/>Forestry<br/>and<br/>Beekeeping<br/>officers.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Qualitative<br/>analysis on<br/>profiling</li> <li>Quantitativ<br/>e analysis<br/>on common<br/>aspects</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>NTA,NPC,<br/>ITA , DPIT<br/>&amp;<br/>Consultan<br/>t</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>At the begin ning of the Projec t</li> <li>Annua I</li> </ul> |

| Results   | Outcome /Result<br>indicators   | Baseline data  | Target <sup>34</sup> (direction & value)  | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)   | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring) | Who to<br>collect   | Analysis<br>methods                                      | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate) | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| A.1.x3 The<br>creation of<br>beekeeper groups<br>is facilitated<br>through<br>sustainable<br>approaches | A.1.viii. # of<br>business-focused<br>beekeepers' groups<br>formed and<br>number of<br>members (dist.<br>male and female) | A.1.viii. <i># of beekeeping group and members<sup>40</sup></i><br>Kigoma R: Groups – 40, members -1,110,<br>female – 490<br>Kasulu: Groups – 16, members – 503, female –<br>65.<br>Kibondo: Groups – 43, Members – 1798,<br>female -522 | Kifura(new))<br>A.1.vii;1 Guiding<br>document for needs<br>assessment at group<br>and cooperative level.<br>A.1.viii:<br>KASULU<br>Male:50%<br>Female:51%<br>KIGOMA<br>Male 50%<br>Female:60%<br>KIBONDO<br>Male:25%<br>Female:20%<br>A.1.viii;<br>KIGOMA<br>60 groups<br>Beekeeper<br>1630(740Fe)<br>KASULU<br>36 groups<br>Beekeepers<br>1070(200Fe)<br>KIBONDO<br>62 groups<br>Beekeepers<br>2300(739Fe) | quarterly<br>and<br>annual<br>reports<br>• District<br>Cooperati<br>ve Officer<br>Reports<br>• Group/me<br>mbership<br>register<br>• DBO<br>register<br>• Certificate<br>s of<br>registratio<br>n | • DBO's quarterly<br>and annual<br>reports                         | <ul> <li>External<br/>facilitator—<br/>Lead</li> <li>DBOs</li> <li>NTA, NPC,<br/>ITA</li> <li>DBOs<br/>(supported<br/>by Division<br/>and Ward<br/>FBOs)</li> </ul> | • Quantitativ<br>e analysis                              | • NTA, NPC,<br>ITA, DPITs                   | • Annua<br>I                      |
| A.1.X.4.<br>Strengthened<br>beekeepers  | A.1.x.% of honey<br>produced under<br>TFDA/TBS approved   | A.1.x.% of honey produced under TFDA/TBS<br>approval<br><b>Kigoma</b> : 0% No centre has TBS/TFDA  | A.1.x.%<br>KIGOMA-55% of<br>honey produced in the   | TBS/TFDA<br>certificate<br>and or   | <ul> <li>Periodic sample<br/>assessment by<br/>TFDA/TBS</li> </ul> | <ul><li>DBOs</li><li>TFDA/TBS</li></ul>   | <ul> <li>Qualitative<br/>and<br/>quantitative</li> </ul> | • NTA,NPC,I<br>TA , DPIT                    | • Annua<br>I                      |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> The term business-focused means groups should have improving their business as the core objective, the number of groups presented are those in the register of the DBO

| Results  | Outcome /Result<br>indicators   | Baseline data  | <b>Target<sup>34</sup></b> (direction & value)   | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)  | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)  | Who to<br>collect  | Analysis<br>methods         | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate)                               | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g   |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|-----------------------------|---|---|
| capacity in<br>improving the<br>quality of their<br>honey  | through processing<br>centres <sup>41</sup> .   | approval<br><b>Kasulu:</b> 36% KBCS - 5mt, members – 503,<br>female 25.<br><b>Kibondo</b> : <i>0%</i> No centre has TBS/TFDA   | region.<br>i) Mwakila<br>Cooperative-20%<br>ii) Uvinza/Kaza roho<br>cooperavtive-15%<br>iii)<br>Mfungezi/Nuguruka<br>cooperative -20%<br><u>KASULU</u><br><u>KBCS-70%</u><br><u>KIBONDO</u><br>UKI-20%<br>BBCS/KAKONKO-20% | marks Group/co operatives records DBO records Processing centres records   | <ul> <li>Interviews with<br/>buyers</li> <li>Consumer survey</li> </ul>   |  |                             |   |   |
| A.1.x5<br>Strengthened<br>beekeepers<br>capacity with<br>respect to modern<br>beekeeping (skills<br>& resources) | A.1.xi.% of<br>beekeepers<br>applying modern<br>beekeeping<br>techniques<br>(knowledge, skills<br>and attitudes).<br>% increase in<br>productivity per<br>beehive | A.1.xi No. of modern beehives used by<br>beekeepers<br><i>From district data</i><br>Kigoma R - 3,224 (36.3%)<br>Kasulu - 1,910 (9.3%)<br>Kibondo - 402 (0.6%)<br><i>From household survey.</i><br>Kigoma R – 15.1 beehives/beekeeper, 45.1%<br>Kasulu – 18.4 beehives/beekeeper, 12.7%<br>Kibondo – 7.7 beehives/beekeeper, 2.5% | A.1.ix<br>KIGOMA<br>967(30%)<br><u>KIBONDO</u><br>1200(133%)<br><u>KASULU</u><br>3060(160%)  | <ul> <li>DBOs<br/>reports</li> <li>Beekeepin<br/>g groups<br/>and<br/>cooperativ<br/>es records</li> <li>Carpenter<br/>s records</li> <li>Suppliers<br/>of<br/>beekeepin<br/>g gear<br/>records</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Scientific<br/>research on<br/>technical aspects<br/>of beekeeping</li> <li>DBO/Extension<br/>officers field<br/>visits</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Researcher<br/>– Lead</li> <li>DBO, NTA,<br/>NPC, ITA ,<br/>DBOs</li> </ul> | • Quantitativ<br>e analysis | <ul> <li>NTA,NPC,I<br/>TA , DPIT<br/>&amp;<br/>Consultan<br/>t</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>At the<br/>begin<br/>ning<br/>of the<br/>Projec<br/>t</li> </ul> |

<sup>41</sup> There was an indicator on % increase in price as a result of improved quality; this shall be difficult to directly attribute it with the project.

| Results   | Outcome /Result<br>indicators  | Baseline data   | Target <sup>34</sup> (direction & value)  | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)  | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)  | Who to<br>collect  | Analysis<br>methods                              | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate) | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| A.1.x6<br>Strengthened<br>business<br>management skills<br>of beekeepers and<br>of their groups<br>and cooperatives | A.1.xii.# number of<br>groups and<br>cooperatives<br>applying modern<br>business<br>management<br>systems (has at<br>least production,<br>semi-processing,<br>financial records,<br>minutes of general<br>meeting, labelling<br>,packaging and<br>marketing and<br>improving sales and<br>membership | <ul> <li>A.1.xii Number of groups and cooperatives applying modern management systems. <i>Kigoma</i></li> <li>Kazaroho Processing Centre Uvinza – 6mt, members 310, female110.</li> <li>Mwakila Beekeeping Cooperative Society (Ilagala) – 0 mt, members 450, female.200.</li> <li>Mfungezi Beekeepers Cooperative (Nguruka) – 7mt, members 220, female 41 ( 48,971Kgs)</li> <li><i>Kasulu :</i></li> <li>KBCS - sales 5mt, members – 334, female 25.</li> <li><i>Kibondo:</i></li> <li>Buyungu Beekeepers Cooperative Society – sales 5600Kgmt, 15 groups,60 individuals; members 700+, female Mt (Not registered).</li> <li>Ushirika wa Wafugaji Nyuki KibondoMt (Not registered).</li> <li>Kitahana Beekeeping and Agricultural Society (KIBASO)1400Kgs, 31 individuals, female 12.</li> </ul> | A.1.xii<br>Kazaroho Cooperative<br>Society-Uvinza<br>11mt,members<br>350(120Fe)<br>Mwakila Beekeeper<br>Cooperative -Ilagala<br>5mt,members<br>450(200Fe) still new<br>Mfungezi Cooperative<br>Society-Nguruka<br>10mt,members<br>250(71)<br>KBCS-Kasulu<br>10mt ,members<br>634(100Fe)<br>Buyungu Beekeepers<br>Cooperative Society-<br>Kakonko<br>14,000Kg<br>UKI-Kibondo<br>28,000Kgs<br>Kitahana-Kibondo<br>5600Kgs | <ul> <li>Groups/co<br/>operatives<br/>performan<br/>ce<br/>records,<br/>business<br/>plans</li> <li>Governan<br/>ce<br/>/statutory<br/>business<br/>requireme<br/>nts</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Review of<br/>groups/cooperati<br/>ves reports incl.<br/>business plans,<br/>yearly<br/>performance<br/>reports</li> <li>Verification<br/>through physical<br/>visits</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Organization<br/>al<br/>Development<br/>Facilitator-<br/>Lead</li> <li>DBO, NTA,<br/>NPC, ITA ,<br/>DBOs</li> </ul> | • Qualitative<br>and<br>quantitative<br>analysis | • NTA,NPC<br>,ITA , DPIT<br>&<br>Consultant | • Mid<br>and<br>annua<br>I        |

| Results  | Outcome /Result<br>indicators  | Baseline data   | Target <sup>34</sup> (direction & value)   | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)   | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)   | Who to<br>collect   | Analysis<br>methods                | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate) | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g                     |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|------------------------------------|---|---|
| 2 A.2: Honey value<br>chains improve<br>their efficiency<br>through<br>coordination and<br>capacity building | <ul> <li>A.2.i % Increase in market share/volumes for bee products from Kigoma</li> <li>A.2.ii Gross margin re-distribution among actors (in favour of producers)</li> </ul> | A.2.i Quantity of raw honey (and wax)<br>collected in the Project area<br>Kigoma Rural : 92,300Kgs (7,400Kgs wax)<br>Kasulu: = 14,026Kgs (668Kgs wax )<br>Kibondo: 239,322kgs (3,887Kgs wax)<br>Kigoma share in national output<br>Honey=3.6%, 345.67mt out of 9,380 Mt<br>Wax =1.9%, 11.96Mt out of 625.3mt<br>A.2.ii Simplified gross margins<br>Beekeeper - Modern TTBH - 74%<br>Traditional log hive -8%, straw/bark-51%<br>Village trader – 66%<br>Town trader – 53%<br>KBCS – 57%<br>Exporter (to Uganda) = 21%<br>Distributor of packed honey = 20%. | KIGOMA<br>Raw honey<br>120,000Kgs<br>Wax<br>8880Kgs<br>KASULU<br>Raw honey<br>22,490Kgs<br>Wax<br>1250Kgs<br>KIBONDO<br>Raw honey 299,152Kg<br>Wax<br>4858Kgs<br>KIGOMA:<br>share in national<br>output : Honey 4.7%<br>Wax:3.0% | <ul> <li>Baseline<br/>survey<br/>reports</li> <li>DBO trade<br/>records</li> <li>Cooperativ<br/>es/groups<br/>sales<br/>records</li> <li>Industry<br/>business<br/>reports</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Baseline survey</li> <li>Review of<br/>national industry<br/>reports</li> <li>Beekeepers<br/>surveys</li> </ul> | NTA - Lead,<br>DBOs, NPC  | Quantitative<br>and<br>Qualitative | NTA,DPIT,ITA<br>& NPC                       | Annual  |
| A.2.x1 Honey and<br>bee products<br>value chains are<br>mapped and<br>documented                             | A.2.i. VCA<br>document<br>prepared, validated<br>and shared to guide<br>development of<br>implementation<br>strategy   | A.2.i. VCA document with opportunities,<br>constraints and development strategy done<br>and shared in November 2012   | Completed by<br>November 2012  | VCA     report     document   | Validation     workshop  | NTA – Lead,<br>DPIT,<br>Consultant  | Quantitative<br>and<br>Qualitative | NRMA, NPC,<br>NTA,DPIT,ITA<br>& NPC         | At the<br>beginnin<br>g of<br>Annual                  |
| A.2.x2 Chain<br>upgrading strategy<br>is agreed upon<br>with all key<br>proposed value<br>chain actors       | A.2.ii. VCD action<br>plan<br>A.2.iii. # of<br>beekeepers<br>participating in the  | A.2.ii No Action Plan (under preparation)<br>A.2.iii. # of beekeepers participating in the<br>value chains<br>Kigoma R : 0 beekeepers   | By December 31 <sup>st</sup> ,<br>2012<br><u>KIGOMA</u><br>Kazaroho Uvinza ;7<br>groups<br>members330(130Fe)   | <ul> <li>MOU<br/>document<br/>among VC<br/>actors.</li> </ul>   | Meetings among<br>actors   | <ul> <li>Market<br/>linkage<br/>facilitator<br/>(MLF) –<br/>Lead DBOs,</li> </ul> | Qualitative<br>analysis            | MLF, NTA,<br>DPITs                          | Follow<br>honey<br>seasons<br>(June<br>and<br>October |

| Results  | Outcome /Result<br>indicators  | Baseline data   | Target <sup>34</sup> (direction & value)   | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)   | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)   | Who to<br>collect  | Analysis<br>methods                | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate) | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
|  | value chains (dist.<br>male and female) <sup>42</sup><br>A.2.iii.<br>MOU/contract<br>between chain<br>leaders and<br>cooperatives or<br>groups.  | <ul> <li>Kasulu : Kasulu Beekeepers Cooperative<br/>Society - 16 groups, male members 334,<br/>female 63</li> <li>Kibondo : 0 beekeepers</li> <li>A.2.iii. No MOU between cooperatives/groups<br/>and distributors</li> </ul>                           | KASULU<br>30 groups; members<br>634(100Fe)<br>KIBONDO<br>Members 1498(315Fe)<br>Each cooperative 1<br>MoU<br>Groups<br>5 MoUs Kibondo<br>3MoUs | List of<br>actors per<br>value<br>chain   |  | NTA  |                                    |   | blossom<br>s).                    |
| A.2.x3 Chain<br>coordination<br>structures are<br>planned,<br>conceived and<br>made operational.                               | A.2.iv. Functional<br>district VC platform<br>in place   | A.2.iv. There is No district VC platform  | 3 District (1 Each<br>district) key value<br>chain actors platforms  | <ul> <li>Terms of<br/>Reference<br/>for<br/>Platforms</li> <li>Minutes of<br/>platform<br/>meetings</li> </ul>                    | • Participatory<br>meetings<br>facilitation <sup>43</sup>  | • (Neutral)<br>Facilitator,<br>NTA, DPIT.                    | • Qualitative                      | NTA, DPIT,<br>NPC, ITA                      | After<br>every<br>blossom         |
| A.2.x4Market<br>information and<br>other strategic<br>information is<br>sustainably being<br>provided to value<br>chain actors | A.2.viii. A<br>functioning and<br>cost effective<br>information<br>dissemination<br>system is in place.<br>A.2.ix. % of<br>beekeepers<br>accessing market<br>information from<br>specialised sources | A.2.viii. No structured/formal information<br>system for the sector.<br>A.2.ix. % of beekeepers accessing market<br>information from reliable sources (household<br>survey) <sup>45</sup><br>Kasulu = 34.5%;<br>Kibondo = 6.5%;<br>Kigoma Rural = 20.9% | A.2.viii. Radio<br>Programs<br>TBC,Radio kwizera and<br>RFA<br>A.2.ix:<br>KASULU<br>85%<br>KIBONDO<br>75%<br>KIGOMA<br>75%                     | <ul> <li>Copies of<br/>informatio<br/>n sets<br/>coming<br/>out of MIS</li> <li>MIS media<br/>reports</li> <li>Success</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Focus group<br/>discussions with<br/>beekeepers</li> <li>Reviews of MIS</li> <li>Interviews with<br/>actors in the value<br/>chain</li> </ul> | DBOs – Lead,<br>Other DPIT<br>members,<br>partner media<br>, | Qualitative<br>and<br>quantitative | NTA, DPITS,<br>NPC                          | Quarterl<br>Y                     |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup>The KBCS has been assumed to function as a value chain though there are some qualities missing to be classified as a value chain <sup>43</sup> Meetings have agreed on the need and implications of establishing VCD platform. Platforms functions as a chapter of the National Honey Council.

| Results  | Outcome /Result<br>indicators  | Baseline data  | Target <sup>34</sup> (direction & value)   | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)  | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)             | Who to<br>collect           | Analysis<br>methods                | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate) | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
|  | (dist. male and female) <sup>44</sup>  |  |  | stories  |  |                             |                                    |   |                                   |
| A.2.x5 Market<br>linkages and<br>marketing are<br>improved and<br>sustainable  | <ul> <li>A.2.x. # of<br/>beekeepers (dist.<br/>male and female)<br/>trained on contract<br/>supply/farming</li> <li>A.2.xi. # of supply<br/>agreement signed<br/>and implemented<br/>at least once.</li> <li>A.2.xii. % of<br/>quantity of bee<br/>products marketed<br/>through supply<br/>agreement</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>A.2.x. 0 of beekeepers trained</li> <li>A.2.xi. 0 of supply agreements</li> <li>A.2.xii. 0% of bee products are marketed through written agreement between beekeepers and buyers</li> </ul>   | A2.X: Kibondo<br>2300(739fe)<br>Kigoma<br>1630(740Fe)<br>Kasulu<br>1070(200Fe)<br>A.2.xi<br>Kibondo<br>2300(739fe)<br>Kigoma<br>1630(740Fe)<br>Kasulu<br>1070(200Fe)<br>A.2.xii: THE SAME AS<br>A2.iii | <ul> <li>Training<br/>register and<br/>evaluation<br/>reports</li> <li>Value chain<br/>leader<br/>records</li> <li>Groups and<br/>cooperative<br/>reports</li> <li>Orders and<br/>sales<br/>records</li> </ul> | Baseline survey<br>Evaluation mission<br>Interviews with<br>Value chain actors | DPIT,<br>Evaluation<br>Team | Quantitative<br>and<br>qualitative | NTA, DPIT,<br>NPC                           | Semi-<br>annual,<br>annual        |
| A.2.x6 Linkages<br>between<br>beekeepers and<br>BDS and financial<br>service providers<br>are sustainably<br>created or<br>improved. | A.2.xiii.% of<br>beekeepers<br>accessing credit<br>services<br>A.2.xiv # of VSL<br>established and<br>beekeeper  | <ul> <li>A.2.xiii. % beekeepers (dist. male and female)<br/>accessing credit services</li> <li>Kasulu: Female 33.3%; Male 14.3%</li> <li>Kibondo : Female 4.0%; Male 5.9%</li> <li>Kigoma Rural: Female 10.0%; Male 5.8%</li> <li>Average 11.49%</li> <li>A.2.xiv: 0 VSL established. 0.5% of the<br/>beekeepers in Kasulu was served by VSL.</li> <li>None reported in Kigoma and Kibondo.</li> </ul> | A.2.xiii: THE SAME AS<br>A.1.ix<br>A.2.xiv: THE SAME AS<br>A .1.ix<br>A.2.Xv<br>A.2.Xv<br>A.2.xvi:<br>KIGOMA   | <ul> <li>Financial<br/>intermediar<br/>ies reports</li> <li>Groups and<br/>cooperative<br/>reports</li> <li>Documenta</li> </ul>   | Baseline survey<br>Interviews with<br>Value chain actors<br>Focus discussions  | DPIT, MLF.                  | Quantitative<br>and<br>qualitative | NTA, DPIT,<br>NPC                           | Quarterl<br>Y                     |

<sup>45</sup> Reliable information is understood to be one that comes from cooperative/association, extension officers, processing center and trade officers.
 <sup>44</sup> The indicator uses the term strategic information, for purpose of assessing the Project it is useful to take one indicator that represents the situation with other sub-systems. In this case we have used market information.

| Results | Outcome /Result<br>indicators   | Baseline data  | Target <sup>34</sup> (direction & value)   | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary) | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring) | Who to<br>collect | Analysis<br>methods | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate) | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--|-------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
|         | members being<br>served<br>A.2.xv # of<br>beekeepers who<br>are SACCOS<br>members (dist.<br>male and female)<br>A.2.xvi # of<br>groups/cooperative<br>s with members<br>joining/replicating<br>REDESO model<br>A.2.xvii # and type<br>of services provided<br>by local BDS<br>providers to<br>beekeepers. | A.2.xv: # of beekeepers who are served by<br>SACCOS <sup>46</sup><br><b>District Data</b><br>Kigoma - 11 SACCOS, 1,875 beekeepers, fe) <sup>47</sup><br>Kasulu-,6 SACCOS, beekeepers, fe <sup>48</sup><br>Kibondo - 1.SACCOS (REDESO), 300<br>beekeepers, 6 Groups, .40.fe<br><b>From household survey</b><br>Kigoma = 28 (UMOJA SACCOS)<br>Kasulu = 1 (1.6%)<br>Kibondo = 1 (1.4%)<br>A.2.xvi 0 groups/cooperatives with members<br>joining/replicating REDESO model. | 3 Cooperatives(30<br>groups)<br>KIBONDO<br>3 Cooperative<br>50 groups<br>KASULU<br>1 cooperative<br>20 groups<br>A.2.xviii<br>KASULU<br>3BDS<br>KIBONDO; 5BDS<br>KIGOMA; 5 BDS | tion of<br>financial<br>services<br>scheme.           |  |                   |                     |   |                                   |
|         |   | A.2.xvii 0 services provided by BDS providers.   |  |   |  |                   |                     |   |                                   |

<sup>47</sup> Data needs to be verified

<sup>48</sup> Data needs to be established

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Kigoma Rural listed 11 SACCOS operating
 <sup>46</sup> Data needs to be verified
 <sup>46</sup> Data needs to be verified
 <sup>46</sup> Data needs to be established in beekeeping area, estimates are that more than 1,800 beekeepers may be accessing SACCOS services. Kasulu listed 6 SACCOS but were not able to estimate the number of beekeepers. In Kibondo only REDESO was mentioned to serve beekeepers. In the household survey 2 people (1%) one in Kasulu and another in Kibondo had received credit from SACCOS, Kigoma DBO estimates that a higher % of the beekeepers access SACCOS loans from SACCOS than established by the baseline survey. 1 person had received a loan from VSL.

| Results  | Outcome /Result<br>indicators  | Baseline data  | Target <sup>34</sup> (direction & value)  | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)   | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)                    | Who to<br>collect                | Analysis<br>methods                | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate) | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| A.2.x7 The roles of<br>beekeeper groups<br>and cooperatives<br>are improved and<br>their governance<br>is strengthened                       | A.2.vi. Increase in<br>number of<br>group/cooperative<br>members over time<br>(dist. male and<br>female).<br>A.2.vii. % increase<br>in quantity of bee<br>products<br>sold/facilitated by<br>organized groups or<br>cooperatives   | A.2.vi. Number of groups and membership<br>Kigoma = 40 groups (total 1,110, female 490)<br>Kasulu = 16 groups (total 503 female 65)<br>Kibondo = 43 groups (total 1798 female 522)<br>A.2.vii. Quantity of bee products traded by<br>cooperatives49.DONE UNDER A1.Xii  | KIGOMA<br>20 New groups (total<br>520 members (female<br>250))<br>KASULU<br>20 groups(total<br>members 567(female<br>100)<br>KIBONDO<br>19NEW groups(total<br>members 502<br>(female217)  | Beekeeper<br>group<br>/cooperativ<br>es records<br>(membershi<br>ps, sales<br>volume by<br>product<br>type)                           | <ul> <li>Review of<br/>group/cooperative<br/>reports</li> <li>Field visits</li> </ul> | DPITS                            | Qualitative<br>and<br>quantitative | DPIT, NTA,<br>NPC, ITA,<br>NRMA             | Semi-<br>annually                 |
| A.2.x8: The<br>development and<br>optimised use of<br>collection and<br>processing centres<br>are facilitated in a<br>sustainable<br>manner. | <ul> <li>A.2.xviii Technical<br/>and organizational<br/>report with<br/>practical<br/>recommendations</li> <li>A.2.xix # of centres<br/>rehabilitated,<br/>repaired, equipped<br/>and or constructed<br/>and respective total<br/>costs.</li> <li>A.2.xx # of<br/>beekeepers (dist.<br/>male and female<br/>accessing/benefitti<br/>ng from services at<br/>the centre)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>A.2.xviii No technical and organizational report.</li> <li>A.2.xix all existing centres require repair/rehabilitation and re-equipping.</li> <li>A.2.xx % of beekeeper groups and members (male and female) processing at centres.</li> <li><i>Kigoma</i> 120 beekeepers</li> <li><i>Household Survey (Only Kibondo)</i></li> <li>Kibondo = 3.9%</li> <li>A.2.xxi: Quantity of honey processed at processing centres<sup>50</sup></li> <li>Kigoma =</li> <li>Kibondo =</li> </ul> | A.2.xviii: 1 Technical<br>and organizational<br>report with practical<br>recommendation for<br>all collection centres<br>A.2.xix:<br><b>KASULU</b><br>Build 1 C/centre in<br>Kagerankanda<br>Build LC/centre in<br>Kagerankanda<br>Build KBCS C/centre<br><b>KIBONDO</b><br>Equip BBCS<br>Renovate Kifura<br>Build Busunzu<br><b>KIGOMA</b><br>Build Mwakila<br>beekeeper<br>Cooperative society-<br>Ilagala<br>Equip Mfungenzi | <ul> <li>Processing<br/>centres<br/>performanc<br/>e reports</li> <li>Technology<br/>suppliers<br/>reports<br/>(e.g. SIDO)</li> </ul> | Structured<br>interviews with<br>centre operators<br>Interviews with<br>beekeepers    | DBO (with<br>extension<br>staff) | Quantitative<br>and<br>qualitative | NTA, DPIT,<br>ITA                           | Annual                            |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> It has been suggested that among the channels to be supported is the cooperative one, hence the baseline values for the cooperatives. 50 While installed capacity for the processing center is known, utilization capacity is not known for all the targeted centers.

| Results   | Outcome /Result<br>indicators   | Baseline data   | Target <sup>34</sup> (direction & value)   | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)   | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)                 | Who to<br>collect                | Analysis<br>methods                | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate) | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
|   | A.2.xxi Quantity of<br>honey in Kgs<br>processed at the<br>centre   |   | Cooperative Society<br>Nguruka<br>Build Kazaroho<br>Cooperative Society-<br>Uvinza<br>A.2.xx: THE SAME AS<br>A.1.X5.<br>A.2.xxi<br><b>KIGOMA</b><br>50% of total<br>beekeepers(1630)<br><b>KIBONDO</b><br>50% of total<br>beekeepers(2300)<br><b>KASULU</b><br>50% of total<br>beekeepers (1070) |   |  |                                  |                                    |   |                                   |
| A.2.x9<br>Diversification<br>towards wax<br>processing,<br>propolis, etc. | A.2.xxii Market<br>assessment report;<br>A.2.xxiii Quantity of<br>wax produced as a<br>% of honey<br>produced<br>successfully<br>marketed | A.2.xxii: There is no market study for wax and<br>propolis<br>A.2.xxiii Wax as a % of honey produced.<br><i>Wax</i><br>Kigoma = 8%<br>Kasulu = 4.75%<br>Kibondo = 1.62% | A.2.xxii: 1 market<br>study<br>A.2.xxiii: The same as<br>A.2.i   | <ul> <li>Processing<br/>centres<br/>performanc<br/>e reports</li> <li>Technology<br/>suppliers<br/>reports<br/>(e.g. SIDO)</li> </ul> | Structured<br>interviews with<br>centre operators<br>Interviews with<br>beekeepers | DBO (with<br>extension<br>staff) | Quantitative<br>and<br>qualitative | NTA, DPIT,<br>ITA                           | Annual                            |

| Results   | Outcome /Result<br>indicators  | Baseline data  | Target <sup>34</sup> (direction & value)   | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)  | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)                                 | Who to<br>collect                | Analysis<br>methods                | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate)       | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| A.2.x10 Promotion<br>of sale of bee<br>colonies is<br>sustainably<br>achieved   | A.2.xxiv # Queen<br>rearing centres<br>established and<br>functional<br>A.2.xxv # of groups,<br>members (dist.<br>male and female)<br>who have access to<br>bee colonies.  | A.2.xxiv. No.queen rearing centres and<br>functional for bee colonies business<br>See A.2.xxiv   | KIGOMA<br>1 centre Bulombola<br>JKT<br>KASULU<br>1 Centre in Mubondo<br>KIBONDO<br>1 Centre Bitulana   | <ul> <li>Bee colony<br/>rearing<br/>centre<br/>performanc<br/>e reports</li> <li>Groups<br/>reports<br/>(those<br/>bought<br/>colonies)</li> </ul> | Structured<br>interviews with<br>centre operators<br>Interviews with<br>beekeepers                 | DBO (with<br>extension<br>staff) | Quantitative<br>and<br>qualitative | NTA, DPIT,<br>ITA                                 | Semi-<br>annual                   |
| A.3: Beekeeping<br>stakeholders and<br>institutions<br>implement models<br>for protection of<br>key forest and bee<br>forage areas. | <ul> <li>A.3.i. Multi-<br/>stakeholder Forest</li> <li>Conservation</li> <li>Platform is<br/>established and<br/>functioning at the<br/>District</li> <li>A.3.ii. # of villages<br/>forests under</li> <li>PFM/CBFM</li> <li>(containing land use<br/>management plans<br/>taking care other<br/>sources of<br/>livelihoods/econom<br/>ic uses)</li> <li>A.3.iii. %</li> <li>contribution of<br/>beekeeping</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>A.3.i. There is no multi-stakeholder platform<br/>established and institutionalised at district<br/>level</li> <li>A.3.ii. # of villages with CBFM/JFM status<br/>Kigoma has 3 BR. (Itebula, Kirando and Uvinza<br/>) Not gazzeted<br/>Kibondo has 8 BR (Not gazzeted)<br/>Kasulu 0 BR</li> <li>NOTE: CBFM in pipeline (at CMT stage)<br/>Kigoma 45% of 71 VFR, Kasulu (16) 33.3% of<br/>48VFR,<br/>Kibondo 100% of 36 VFR</li> <li>A.3.iii. Beekeepers contribution towards the</li> </ul> | A.3.i: Each District has<br>1 VC platform and 1<br>PFM Platform multi-<br>stakeholder platform<br>by December 2013<br>A.3.ii<br>Kibondo<br>8BR to be gazzeted<br>Kasulu<br>3 BR<br>Kigoma<br>6 BR<br>VFR<br>KIGOMA: 71 VFR<br>Gazzeted<br>KASULU;48 VFR<br>gazzeted<br>Kibondo 9 VFR | <ul> <li>District<br/>progress<br/>reports</li> <li>Baseline<br/>data</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Review reports</li> <li>Interview of key chain actors</li> <li>Baseline survey</li> </ul> | DBOs –lead,<br>DAS & NTA         | Quantitative<br>and<br>Qualitative | NTA,DPIT,ITA<br>& NPC,<br>Regional<br>NRM Advisor | Quarterl<br>y                     |

| Results   | Outcome /Result<br>indicators   | Baseline data   | <b>Target<sup>34</sup></b> (direction & value)   | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)   | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)   | Who to<br>collect                             | Analysis<br>methods                | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate) | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g                                     |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|------------------------------------|---|---|
|   | activities towards<br>forest conservation<br>costs (in kind and in<br>cash) in form of<br>user fee or taxes<br>A.3.iv. MOU<br>between Moyowosi<br>/ Kigosi GR and<br>beekeepers ratified<br>and being used to<br>guide honey<br>collection in the GR  | cost of conservation<br>0% in all the Districts<br>A.3.iv.Discussions between beekeepers and<br>Moyowosi/Kigosi GR are ongoing, minutes<br>submitted to MNRT.   | gazzeted<br>A.3.iii<br>80% in kind<br>contribution (e.g.<br>security etc)<br>A.3.iv:<br>MoU signed between<br>Moyowosi and Kigosi<br>GR  |   |  |   |                                    |   |   |
| A.3.x1 Spatial<br>planning: land use<br>planning systems<br>comprise of<br>appropriate and<br>sustainable<br>practices for<br>identification and<br>prioritization of<br>beekeeping areas | <ul> <li>A.3.iv.A document<br/>on knowledge,<br/>attitudes and skills<br/>in identification and<br/>prioritization of<br/>forest reserves.</li> <li>A.3.v.# of villages<br/>using participatory<br/>land use planning<br/>systems and<br/>number of groups<br/>and beekeepers<br/>benefitting (dist.<br/>male and female).</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>A.3.iv. There is no document to guide identification and prioritization of beekeeping areas</li> <li>A.3.v. No land use planning system hence 0 villages and beekeepers using the system.</li> </ul> | A.3.iv Guidelines of<br>preparation of MGT<br>plans of Bee reserves<br>A.3.v:<br><b>Kigoma</b><br>4 Participatory Land<br>use plans<br><b>Kibondo</b><br>4 Participatory Land<br>use plans<br><b>Kasulu</b><br>2 Participatory Land<br>use plans | <ul> <li>Land use<br/>plan<br/>system<br/>document</li> <li>DFO/DBO/<br/>NRM<br/>progress<br/>reports</li> <li>Minutes of<br/>meetings</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Baseline survey</li> <li>Annual and Mid-<br/>Term Evaluation<br/>Reports</li> <li>Meetings</li> <li>Focus group<br/>interviews</li> </ul> | District Land<br>Officer –lead,<br>DFOs, DBOs | Quantitative<br>and<br>Qualitative | NTA,DPIT,ITA<br>& NPC                       | At the<br>beginnin<br>g of the<br>project<br>Reviewe<br>d<br>annually |
| A.3.x2. CBFM /<br>PFM initiatives, BR<br>and/or BKZ are<br>established and/or<br>their protection   | A.3.vi # of VFR,<br>VBR, declared by<br>Full Council  | A.3.vi VFR, VBR, declared by Full Council<br>Kigoma 3 BR (Itebula, Kirando and Uvinza)<br>Kasulu 0<br>Kibondo 8 BR. Beekeepers female   | A.3.vi The same as<br>A3.ii<br>A.3.vii<br>Kasulu<br>1JFM (makere south)  | DFO/DBO/<br>NRM<br>progress   | <ul> <li>Baseline survey</li> <li>Annual and Mid-<br/>Term Evaluation<br/>Reports</li> </ul>   | District<br>Forestry<br>Officer–lead,<br>DBOs | Quantitative<br>and<br>Qualitative | NTA,DPIT,ITA<br>& NPC,<br>Regional          | Semi-<br>annually   |

| Results   | Outcome /Result<br>indicators  | Baseline data   | Target <sup>34</sup> (direction & value)  | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)   | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)   | Who to<br>collect   | Analysis<br>methods                | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate) | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g         |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|------------------------------------|---|---|
| status is<br>improved; such<br>establishment and<br>protection<br>processes have<br>been streamlined<br>and sustainably<br>embedded in<br>district<br>operations.           | A.3.vii # of groups<br>and members (dist.<br>male or female)<br>participating and<br>benefitting from<br>VFR, BR and JFM   | A.3.vii No fully approved VFR or JFM  | between<br>Kagerankanda and<br>Mvinza<br><b>Kigoma</b><br>1JFM-Basanza forest<br>reserve<br>1JFM-unvinza Forest<br>reserve<br>1 JFM lugufu forest<br>reserve<br>1 JFM Ilunde forest<br>reserve<br><b>Kibondo</b><br>1JFM-Buyungu forest | reports <ul> <li>Minutes of meetings</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Meetings</li> <li>Focus group<br/>interviews</li> </ul>   |   |                                    | NRM Advisor                                 |   |
| A.3.x3<br>Environmental<br>threats to<br>beekeeping have<br>been studied and<br>made available for<br>further<br>improvement of<br>beekeeping<br>policies at all<br>levels. | A.3.viii Research<br>document<br>explaining causes<br>and extent of<br>environmental<br>threats and<br>recommendations.<br>A.3.vix Findings of<br>the Research<br>provide input into<br>review of relevant<br>policies, laws,<br>regulations. See<br>result outcome<br>areas | <ul> <li>A.3.viii. No information detailing the extent<br/>and causes of environmental threats.</li> <li>A.3.vix. Limited knowledge on policy, laws and<br/>regulations that needs to be reviewed.</li> </ul> | A.3.vii. Study report<br>on Environment threat<br>A.3.vix<br>Review Beekeeping<br>policy(1998)  | <ul> <li>Research<br/>findings<br/>document</li> <li>Dissemina<br/>tion<br/>workshop<br/>reports</li> <li>Policy,<br/>laws<br/>reports</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Field data<br/>collection</li> <li>Meetings with<br/>key actors</li> <li>Focus group<br/>interviews</li> <li>Observations</li> <li>Dissemination<br/>workshops</li> </ul> | Research<br>Institutions<br>(IRA/Olmoton<br>yi) –lead, DPIT | Quantitative<br>and<br>Qualitative | NTA,DPIT,ITA<br>& NPC                       | At the<br>beginnin<br>g of the<br>project |

| Results   | Outcome /Result<br>indicators   | Baseline data   | <b>Target<sup>34</sup></b> (direction & value)   | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)   | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)   | Who to<br>collect    | Analysis<br>methods                | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate)       | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g                                     |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|----------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| A.3.x4. Local and<br>district level<br>stakeholder<br>consultation<br>platforms are<br>created and<br>contribute to<br>sustainable and<br>fair value chains <sup>51</sup> . | <ul> <li>A.3.x District level<br/>platforms<br/>established and<br/>meeting at least<br/>once per year.</li> <li>A.3.xi Policies, laws,<br/>regulations, by-laws<br/>addressed by multi-<br/>stakeholder<br/>consultation<br/>platform.</li> </ul>                          | A.3.x : No district level platform<br>As in A.3.x above   | A.3.x:<br>1 Value chain actor<br>platform in each<br>district<br>A.3.x:<br>1 Joint<br>multstakeholder<br>platform comprised of<br>PFM and Value chain<br>actors. | <ul> <li>ToRs for<br/>platform<br/>document</li> <li>Platforms<br/>minutes</li> <li>Policy,<br/>laws, by<br/>laws<br/>document<br/>s</li> </ul>                       | <ul> <li>Platform regular meetings</li> <li>Focus group interviews with beekeepers/com munity.</li> </ul>  | Org DFOs,<br>DBOs    | Quantitative<br>and<br>Qualitative | NTA,DPIT,ITA<br>& NPC                             | At the<br>beginnin<br>g of the<br>project<br>Reviewe<br>d<br>annually |
| A.3.x5. Synergy<br>between<br>beekeeping and<br>initiatives /<br>grouping for CBFM<br>/ PFM is facilitated<br>through<br>sustainable<br>approaches.                         | A.3.xii # of fully<br>approved VFR/JFM<br>with management<br>plans where<br>beekeeping is part<br>of the land use<br>plan.<br>A.3.xiii # Number of<br>bee apiaries<br>established within<br>VFR and groups and<br>beekeepers (dist.<br>male and female)<br>who use the VFR. | A.3.xii There is no gazetted VFR or JFM in the<br>three Districts<br>A.3.xiii<br>Kibondo<br>O apiaries in VFR<br>Kigoma<br>16 apiaries in VFR<br>KASULU<br>10 apiaries in VFR | A.3.xii: Same as A3.ii<br>A.3.Xiii<br>Kigoma<br>36 Apiaries in VFR<br>Kibondo<br>12 apiaries in VFR<br>Kasulu<br>20apiaries in VFR                               | <ul> <li>Minutes of<br/>participato<br/>ry of<br/>meetings</li> <li>DFO/DBO/<br/>NRM<br/>progress<br/>reports</li> <li>Mid-term<br/>Evaluation<br/>reports</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Project<br/>evaluation site<br/>visits</li> <li>Focus group<br/>interviews</li> <li>Follow up<br/>reports with<br/>FCM, MNRT</li> </ul> | DFO-Lead<br>DBO, NRM | Qualitative                        | NTA,DPIT,ITA<br>& NPC,<br>Regional<br>NRM Advisor | Annually  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> BSP could consider to merge the organisation and management of the VCD and Environment Protection Platform for sustainability i.e. optimum Resource utilization (avoid duplications as the same stakeholders may be involved in both)

| Results   | Outcome /Result<br>indicators  | Baseline data   | Target <sup>34</sup> (direction & value)   | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)  | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)  | Who to<br>collect                      | Analysis<br>methods                | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate)    | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g                      |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| A.3.x6 Beekeeping<br>in<br>Moyowosi/Kigosi<br>Game Reserve<br>(MKGR) is<br>facilitated.   | A.3.xvi MOU<br>between<br>beekeepers and<br>Game Reserves<br>signed and being<br>observed<br>A.3.xvi # of villages,<br>groups, beekeepers<br>and quantity of bee<br>products from<br>Moyowosi/Kigosi<br>GR (dist. male and<br>female). | A.3.xvi The is no MOU document guiding the<br>use of Game Reserves for beekeeping<br>A.3.xvi Beekeeping activities in<br>Moyowosi/Kigosi is<br># of villages.20,<br># of groups 40<br># beekeepers .774 female116<br>Quantity of honey from Moyowosi/Kigosi GR<br>estimated at 70% off Kibondo production<br>=167,000kgs. | A.3.xvi Same as A.3.iv   | <ul> <li>MOU<br/>document</li> <li>Minutes of<br/>meetings</li> <li>M/K-GR<br/>reports</li> <li>Beekeeper<br/>groups<br/>reports</li> </ul>                            | <ul> <li>Meetings</li> <li>Project<br/>evaluation site<br/>visits</li> <li>Interviews with<br/>M/K-GR officials</li> <li>Annual<br/>Evaluation<br/>Reports</li> </ul> | NRM – lead ,<br>Other DPIT<br>members, | Qualitative                        | DPIT, NPC ,<br>NTA,<br>Regional<br>NRM Advisor | At the<br>end of<br>year 1<br>Reviewe<br>d<br>annually |
| A.3.x7 The<br>monitoring of<br>beekeeping<br>reserves,<br>beekeeping zones<br>and other<br>beekeeping-linked<br>CBFM is improved. | A.3.xix Updated<br>data on all village<br>and local<br>government forests  | A.3.xiv There are declared beekeeping zones<br>in the Project Districts   | Design M&E<br>framework to monitor<br>Beekeeping zones,<br>beekeeping<br>reserves,CBFM , JFM<br>and VFR to be adapted<br>at MNRT | <ul> <li>Village<br/>land use<br/>plans</li> <li>DFO<br/>reports</li> <li>District<br/>Land<br/>Office<br/>reports</li> <li>Land use<br/>Survey<br/>reports</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Review of maps</li> <li>Interviews with<br/>Land Officers</li> <li>Site inspection<br/>visits</li> <li>Focus group<br/>interviews</li> </ul>                 | DBO/DFO-<br>Lead                       | Qualitative<br>and<br>quantitative | NTA,DPIT,<br>NPC, ITA                          | Quarterl<br>y  |

| Results  | Outcome /Result<br>indicators  | Baseline data  | Target <sup>52</sup> (direction & value)  | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)  | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>53</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)   | Who to<br>collect  | Analysis<br>methods                | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate)                     | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g   |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| A.4: An enabling<br>environment for<br>sustainable<br>beekeeping<br>development is<br>enhanced at local,<br>district and<br>national levels. | A.4.i National<br>Beekeeping Policy<br>reviewed<br>A.4.ii New<br>beekeeping<br>development<br>Programme<br>A.4.iii # of district<br>and local level of<br>by-laws developed<br>& implemented<br>A.4.iv Relevant<br>sustainable<br>beekeeping models'<br>impact<br>documented,<br>disseminated,<br>replicated<br>indicating # of<br>people (dist. male<br>and female)<br>benefitting. | <ul> <li>A.4.i The National Beekeeping Policy of 1998<br/>reviewed.</li> <li>A.4.ii The National Beekeeping Development<br/>Programme ended in 2010.</li> <li>A.4.iii Using 2002 Act. No additional by-laws<br/>reviewed, developed, disseminated and<br/>implemented.</li> <li>A.4.iv There is no sustainable beekeeping<br/>models documented in Project Districts.</li> </ul> | Policy by June 2014<br>Programme by June<br>2013<br>Act by June 2014<br>(Is a result of learning<br>and innovating) | <ul> <li>Policy<br/>document</li> <li>National<br/>Beekeepin<br/>g Act<br/>document</li> <li>National<br/>Beekeepin<br/>g<br/>Programm<br/>e<br/>Document</li> <li>Reports on<br/>document<br/>ed<br/>sustainabl<br/>e<br/>beekeepin<br/>g models</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Reviews of<br/>Policy,<br/>Programme and<br/>Act documents</li> <li>Review of<br/>documented<br/>models</li> <li>Site visit<br/>reports to the<br/>model</li> </ul> | Policy/Law<br>Review<br>facilitator,<br>NPC, NTA,ITA,<br>DBOs/DFOs | Quantitative<br>and<br>Qualitative | Policy/Law<br>Review<br>facilitator<br>NPC,NTA,<br>ITA and DPIT | Quarterl<br>y; mid<br>and<br>annual |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> The target (direction and value) will be set after the baseline – and based on evidence, e.g., from the baseline, past project experience(BIPI), consultation with experts, secondary literature, or government expectations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Note that methodologies for baseline and monitoring may be different, particularly in complex projects. The baseline (and end line) may be principally quantitative, but the intermediate monitoring of impacts may draw upon other more qualitative and participatory methods, e.g., Outcome Mapping, Most Significant Change technique, Positive Deviance, Participatory Impact Pathway monitoring, etc.

| Results  | Outcome /Result<br>indicators   | Baseline data  | <b>Target<sup>34</sup></b> (direction & value)  | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)  | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)  | Who to<br>collect               | Analysis<br>methods                | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate) | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g   |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| A.4.x1<br>Strengthened<br>district capacity to<br>monitor<br>beekeeping<br>support initiatives<br>and their results  | A.4.vii. M&E system<br>is in place and<br>producing periodic<br>information for<br>decision-making<br>(refer to Reporting<br>Frequency column).   | A.4.vii. [The Project is just starting] No M&E<br>system   | M&E system in place<br>by quarter one,2013  | <ul> <li>M&amp;E<br/>Reports</li> <li>DBO<br/>progress<br/>reports</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Focus group<br/>discussions with<br/>key actors</li> <li>Review of<br/>progress reports</li> <li>Interviews<br/>with key<br/>facilitators of the<br/>models</li> </ul> | Facilitator/DB<br>O-lead, DPITs | Quantitative<br>and<br>Qualitative | NTA,ITA,NPC                                 | Quarterl<br>y; mid<br>and<br>annual |
| A.4.x2<br>Strengthened<br>district capacity to<br>monitor and<br>enforce<br><u>beekeeping</u><br>policies, laws and<br>by-laws and<br>regulations and<br>user rights | A.4.viii # of VC<br>actors organized<br>and registered with<br>relevant authorities<br>A.4.ix # of<br>beekeeping<br>awareness raising<br>information<br>messages prepared<br>and communicated.<br>A.4.x # of cases<br>violating<br>beekeeping laws. | <ul> <li>A.4.viii 0 of VC actors registered with relevant authorities.</li> <li>A.4.ix Awareness raising information messages communicated.</li> <li>Radio (sessions) = 0</li> <li>Printed matter (leaflets, posters, etc.) =0</li> <li>Public address sessions (# of villages) = 0</li> <li>Public meetings = 0</li> <li>A.4.x Number of cases related to violation of beekeeping laws per annum.</li> <li>Kigoma R = .35%(Violate beekeeping law)</li> <li>Kasulu =45 % (Violate beekeeping law)</li> <li>Kibondo30% (violate beekeeping law)</li> </ul> | A.4: 10 key VC actors<br>form VC Platform in<br>each district<br>A.4ix: Kibondo<br>Radio sessions-52 TBC<br>Radio<br>Lea<br>A.4.X<br>Kigoma<br>20%<br>Kasulu<br>15%<br>Kibondo<br>10% | <ul> <li>Relevant<br/>document<br/>s<br/>pertaining<br/>to the<br/>associatio<br/>n of<br/>beekeeper<br/>s into<br/>groups</li> <li>Capacity<br/>assessmen<br/>t and<br/>building<br/>facilitators<br/>reports</li> <li>Policy,</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Review of<br/>beekeeping<br/>policies, laws and<br/>by-laws</li> <li>Interviews<br/>with beekeeping<br/>experts</li> <li>Focus group<br/>discussions</li> </ul>        | Facilitator/DB<br>O-lead, DPITs | Quantitative<br>and<br>Qualitative | NTA,ITA,NPC                                 | Quarterl<br>y; mid<br>and<br>annual |

| Results   | Outcome /Result<br>indicators   | Baseline data   | Target <sup>34</sup> (direction & value) | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)  | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)  | Who to<br>collect                       | Analysis<br>methods                | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate) | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g   |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
|   |   |   |  | laws and<br>by laws<br>document<br>s<br>DBO<br>quarterly<br>progress<br>reports  |   |   |                                    |   |                                     |
| A.4.x3Strengthene<br>d district capacity<br>to monitor and<br>enforce <u>forestry</u><br>policies,<br>regulations, laws<br>and by-laws and<br>user rights | A.4.xi # of<br>information sets<br>prepared and<br>communicated for<br>awareness raising<br>on sustainable<br>forest management<br>A.4.xii # of cases<br>breaking forest<br>laws. | A.4.xi Awareness raising messages<br>communicated.<br>Radio (sessions) = 0<br>Printed matter (leaflets, posters, etc.) =0<br>Public address sessions (# of villages) = 0<br>Public meetings = 0<br>A.4.xii Number of cases related to breaking<br>forest laws per annum.<br>Kigoma R =<br>Kasulu =<br>Kibondo | A.4.Xi: THE SAME AS<br>A4.ix             | <ul> <li>Capacity<br/>building<br/>facilitator<br/>reports</li> <li>Policy,<br/>laws and<br/>by laws<br/>document<br/>s</li> <li>DFO<br/>quarterly<br/>progress<br/>reports</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Review of<br/>forestry policies,<br/>laws and by-laws</li> <li>Interviews<br/>with forestry<br/>experts and<br/>beekeepers</li> <li>Focus group<br/>discussions</li> </ul> | DFO (with<br>extension<br>staff), DPITs | Quantitative<br>and<br>Qualitative | NTA,ITA,NPC                                 | Quarterl<br>y; mid<br>and<br>annual |

| Results  | Outcome /Result<br>indicators   | Baseline data  | <b>Target</b> <sup>34</sup> (direction & value)                   | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)  | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)  | Who to<br>collect | Analysis<br>methods                | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate) | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g   |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|-------------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| A.4.x4<br>Strengthened<br>district capacity to<br>monitor and<br>enforce<br><u>environmental</u><br>policies, laws and<br>by-laws,<br>regulations and<br>user rights | A.4.xiii # of<br>information sets<br>prepared and<br>communicated for<br>awareness raising<br>on sustainable<br>environmental<br>management<br>A.4.xiv # of cases<br>breaking forest<br>laws. | A.4.xiii Awareness raising messages<br>communicated.<br>Radio (sessions) = 0<br>Printed matter (leaflets, posters, etc.) =0<br>Public address sessions (# of villages) = 0<br>Public meetings = 0<br>A.4.xiv Number of cases related to breaking<br>forest laws per annum <sup>54</sup> .<br>Kigoma R =<br>Kasulu =<br>Kibondo = | A.4.xiii: THE SAME AS<br>A.4.ix<br>A.4.xiv: THE SAME AS<br>A.4.ix | <ul> <li>Capacit         <ul> <li>Y                  assessmen                 t reports</li> </ul> </li> <li>Report         <ul> <li>s on                 capacity                 building                 on                 environm                 ental                 issues</li> </ul> </li> <li>Policy,         <ul> <li>laws                 document                 s</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Review of<br/>environmental<br/>policies, laws and<br/>by-laws</li> <li>Interviews<br/>with<br/>environmental<br/>experts and<br/>beekeepers</li> <li>Focus group<br/>discussions</li> </ul> | DBO, DPIT         | Quantitative<br>and<br>Qualitative | NTA,ITA,NPC<br>,<br>NEMA/NEM<br>C           | Quarterl<br>y; mid<br>and<br>annual |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> District Forest Offices have the number of cases related to offences related to forestry

| Results   | Outcome /Result<br>indicators  | Baseline data   | Target <sup>34</sup> (direction & value)                        | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)  | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)   | Who to<br>collect               | Analysis<br>methods                | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate) | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g   |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| A.4.x5<br>Strengthened<br>district capacity to<br>monitor and<br>enforce <u>national</u><br><u>land policies</u> , laws<br>and by-laws,<br>regulations and<br>user rights | A.4.xv # of<br>information sets<br>prepared and<br>communicated for<br>awareness raising<br>on land use policies,<br>laws and by-laws<br>A.4.xvi # of land<br>use disputes<br>involving<br>beekeeping or in<br>beekeeping areas<br>recorded by District<br>Authorities | A.4.xv Awareness raising messages<br>communicated.<br>Radio (sessions) = 0<br>Printed matter (leaflets, posters, etc.) =0<br>Public address sessions (# of villages) = 0<br>Public meetings = 0<br>A.4.xvi # of land use registered/recorded<br>disputes<br>Kigoma R =<br>Kasulu =<br>Kibondo | A.4.xv: THE SAME AS<br>A.4.ix<br>A.4.xvi: THE SAME AS<br>A.4.ix | <ul> <li>Capacit<br/>y<br/>assessmen<br/>t reports</li> <li>Capacit<br/>y building<br/>progress<br/>reports</li> <li>Land<br/>policy,<br/>law, by-<br/>law<br/>document<br/>s</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Review of<br/>land use policies,<br/>laws and by-laws</li> <li>Interviews<br/>with land<br/>planning experts<br/>and beekeepers</li> <li>Focus group<br/>discussions</li> </ul> | District land<br>Officer, DPITs | Quantitative<br>and<br>Qualitative | NTA,ITA,NPC                                 | Quarterl<br>y; mid<br>and<br>annual |
| A.4.x6<br>Strengthened<br>capacity of MNRT<br>to review<br>beekeeping<br>policies and<br>regulations  | <ul> <li>A.4.xvii Amount of<br/>funds available to<br/>hire short/long-<br/>term technical<br/>assistance to<br/>support review of<br/>the policy,<br/>programme and<br/>laws.</li> <li>A.4.xviii. Revised<br/>policy, laws and by-<br/>laws.</li> </ul>               | A.4.xviii. 0 Policy, laws, by-laws revised and disseminated to relevant actors  | Revise beekeeping<br>policy (1998)2014                          | <ul> <li>The<br/>ToRs<br/>support<br/>MNRT<br/>capacity<br/>to review<br/>key<br/>document</li> <li>MNRT<br/>reports on<br/>capacity<br/>building</li> </ul>                             | <ul> <li>Interviews<br/>with key<br/>facilitators of the<br/>models</li> </ul>   | Facilitator/DB<br>O-lead, DPITs | Quantitative<br>and<br>Qualitative | NTA,ITA,NPC                                 | Quarterl<br>y; mid<br>and<br>annual |

| Results   | Outcome /Result<br>indicators  | Baseline data  | Target <sup>34</sup> (direction & value)  | Sources of<br>information<br>(primary &<br>secondary)  | Data gathering<br>methods <sup>35</sup> (baseline<br>& monitoring)   | Who to<br>collect | Analysis<br>methods                        | Who to<br>analyse<br>(lead,<br>participate)        | Frequen<br>cy of<br>Reportin<br>g |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|-------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| A.4.x7<br>Strengthened<br>capacity of MNRT<br>to review<br>guidelines<br>concerning setting<br>up beekeeping<br>reserves. | <ul> <li>A.4.xxi. A revised<br/>set of guidelines for<br/>establishing forest<br/>and beekeeping<br/>reserves in place<br/>and being<br/>implemented.</li> <li>A.4.xxii # of bee<br/>reserves<br/>established and<br/>beekeepers<br/>benefitting (dist<br/>male and female)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>A.4.xxi. Current guidelines difficult to<br/>accomplish.</li> <li>89 prospective forest and beekeeping reserve<br/>applications at CMT level.</li> <li>3 BR in Kigoma;320(68Fe)</li> <li>8 BR in Kibondo; members 683(207Fe)</li> <li>0 BR in Kasulu</li> </ul> | A.4.xxi.THE SAME AS<br>A.3.ii and A.3.iii<br>BR<br>3BR;Kibondo,<br>874(277Fe)<br>beekeepers<br>BR<br>Kigoma<br>320(68Fe) beekeepers<br>BR<br>3BR Kasulu;members<br>535(100Fe) | <ul> <li>MNRT –<br/>BSPK<br/>project<br/>progress<br/>reports</li> <li>Baseline<br/>survey<br/>report</li> <li>Quarterly<br/>DBP/DFO<br/>reports.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Consultation at<br/>with MNRT</li> <li>Interviews with<br/>beekeepers</li> <li>Focus group<br/>discussions</li> </ul> | NPC, NTA, ITA     | Qualitative<br>and<br>quantitative<br>data | NTA, DPIT,<br>ITA,                                 | Quarterl<br>y and<br>Annually     |
| A.4.x 8 Increased<br>awareness on<br>environmental<br>and socio-<br>economic benefits<br>of beekeeping                    | A.4.xxiii % of<br>community<br>members who<br>report to be aware<br>of and have done<br>something on<br>economic benefits<br>of beekeeping<br>A.4.xxiv % of<br>community<br>members who have<br>taken some actions<br>with regard to<br>sustainable<br>beekeeping                      | A.4.xxiii <sup>55</sup><br>Beekeepers who are members to groups that<br>focus on environment:<br>Kasulu = 11.3%,<br>Kibondo = 1.3%<br>Kigoma Rural = 21.3%<br>See in Xxiii above [The question should be<br>introduced in annual evaluations]                            | A.4.xxiii: Kasulu<br>45.7%<br>Kibondo<br>20%<br>Kigoma<br>48.%  | <ul> <li>Baseline<br/>reports</li> <li>Evaluation<br/>reports</li> <li>Awareness<br/>raising<br/>activity<br/>reports</li> </ul>                             | Review of reports     Beekeeper surveys  | DBO Lead          | Qualitative<br>and<br>quantitative         | DPIT,<br>NEMA <sup>56</sup> ,<br>NTA, NPC,<br>etc. | Annually                          |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> This question was not asked to the community during the baseline survey, the survey covered the beekeepers only <sup>56</sup> To participate in the review to ensure issues of national scope are well covered

## **10 Tools and products**

## 10.1 M& E systems

In 2013, in the course of implementation BTC introduced a comprehensive Monitoring and Evaluation handbook commonly known as *MoRe Results* meaning "Monitoring and Reviewing Results" that aimed at supporting BTC's interventions in becoming more results-oriented in order to achieve more and better development results. The alignment of BTC's M&E system with sector and partner's monitoring system is major point of attention in all parts of the *MoRe Results*.

The emphasis is on using the existing systems to the large extent and looking for possibilities to strengthen these systems where appropriate, more importantly on the partner institutions' role in the whole process. In May, 2014 BTC Representation organized one week training on *MoRe Results* to project managers and project implementing partners (LGAs and ministries), the training was facilitated by Operations department from BTC-HQ. The acquired knowledge enhanced execution of project activities afterward.

## **10.2 Backstopping Mission Reports**

At some points the project had some backstopping missions from BTC-HQ on specific issues pertaining execution of activities. The backstopping missions aimed at supporting PMT on market linkage aspects, routine monitoring of project activities, execution modalities, and follow-up of implementation processes, prioritization and planning of project activities.

The following is the list of backstopping mission carried in the course of implementation of the project since 2012; Sjoerd BAKKER-BTC-HQ November, 2012, Josiane DROEGHAG-BTC TDC-HQ August,2013,BTC-Representation Financial BSM by Michael Kibera-May,2013, Financial and Operation BSM by Mathias LARDINOIS-Operations Advisor and Inge DUMORTIER, Regional Controller-all from BTC-HQ June,2014, Sjoerd BAKKER-BTC-HQ July, 2015 and BTC-Representation on Mobile money transfer by Michael Kibera, August 2015.

Most of the recommendations from these missions helped the intervention to fine tune its strategies and modalities in achieving its intended project goal and objectives.

| Name and title                                    | Company  | Address   | Contact  | Interesting for / interested in   |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| Anne-Christine<br>Brouwers,<br>Senior Consultant  | Beekeeping Network<br>North South                                | Brussels, Belgium                                 | ac.brouwers@gmail.com<br>+32485191120<br>www.bnns.org                | Creating an international network for beekeepers: knowledge sharing and networking. |
| Arne Dübecke,<br>Technical project<br>manager     | QSI – Quality Services<br>Interantional GMBH                     | Flughafendamm 9a<br>Bremen, Germany               | arne.duebecke@qsi-q3.de<br>www.qsi-q3.de                             | Recognised testing lab for quality checks of honey.                                 |
| Atanas Mluki,<br>Director                         | AfriLife   | PO Box 1037, Kahama<br>Town                       | mlukia@afrilifeproducts.co.tz<br>+255767898981                       | Wants to visit BSPK as a study tour   |
| Beda H. Karani                                    | Beekeeping Community<br>Organization                             | PO Box 5 Turiani,<br>Mvomero                      | 0787406311<br><u>bedakarani@yahoo.com</u>                            | Makes cement top-bar beehives.  |
| Biryomumaisho<br>Dickson,<br>Executive Director   | The Uganda National<br>Apiculture Development<br>Organisation    | PO Box 8680,<br>Kampala, Uganda                   | +256782891933<br>biryomumaisho@tunadobees.org<br>info@tunadobees.org | Apex body for coordination of the apicukturak sector in Uganda                      |
| David K. Njuguna,<br>Executive Project<br>Manager | Bees Abroad – Bees<br>Products Enterprise<br>development Project | Kenya / UK<br>PO Box 1684-10400<br>Nanyuki, Kenya | +254 723850339<br>Njugunad92@yahoo.com                               | Bees abroad focusses on local (east-African)<br>knowledge (sharing) on beekeeping   |

## **10.3 List of Interested buyers met during Apimondium**

| Name and title                                      | Company                                 | Address                              | Contact  | Interesting for / interested in  |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Ernest Simeoni,<br>Managing Director                | African Beekeepers Ltd.                 | PO Box 3752-00506<br>Nairobi, Kenya  | ernest@africanbeekeepers.co.ke<br>+254722700226                  | Kenyan made Beekeeping equipment.<br>Buys honey products<br>Technical trainings on modern beekeeping |
| (Pauline Otila<br>Kamwara<br>Operations<br>Manager) | African Beekeepers Ltd.                 | Idem                                 | Pauline@africanbeekeepers.co.ke<br>+254722582033                 | Idem   |
| Ernest Zengo,<br>Project coordinator                | Bhanakiya Environmental<br>Conservation | Komangohill, Mwanza                  | +255754302018<br><u>zengo@bhanakiya.org</u><br>www.bhanakiya.org |  |
| Evance Kachenje,<br>Director                        | Bee and beekeeping<br>Solutions         | Ilala Lindi Street, Dar<br>es Salaam | Ekachenje@gmail.com<br>+255714616012                             | Beekeeping training and equipment  |
| Francis Van<br>Elewijck                             |   | Moshi, Tanzania                      | FRANCISELEPLAST@HOTMAIL.CO<br>M<br>+255684008206                 | Honey processing and trading honey to Belgian markets.   |
| Hagi Huang,<br>Sales Manager                        | Anhui Export<br>Development Co. Ltd     | Hefei, Anhui, China                  | chinabeequeen@163.com<br>www.chinabeequeen.com<br>+8613805510083 | Advanced and cheap beekeeping equipment shipped worldwide.   |

| Name and title                                 | Company   | Address                       | Contact  | Interesting for / interested in  |
|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Haike Rieks-van<br>Hal,<br>Consultant          | Social Environmental<br>Business in Agriculture<br>(SEBA) | PO Box 6639 Moshi             | +255788295849<br>haike@sebatz.nl<br>www.sebatz.nl                                | Was involved in formulation of BSPK project.   |
| Jimi Akindele,<br>CEO                          | Tanganyika Apicultural                                    |                               | jimi@tanganyikaapicultural.com<br>+255764270529<br>www.tanganyikaapicultural.com | Production of luxury candles   |
| (Philemon Kiemi)<br>Chief Operating<br>Officer | ldem  |                               | philemon@tanganyikaapicultural.<br><u>com</u>                                    |  |
| Jo Ogola,<br>CEO                               | Karibu Investment Group consulting Limited                | PO Box 61319<br>Dar es Salaam | +255768656566<br><u>karibu.kig@gmail.com</u>                                     | Import, export, freight and logistics consultancies.   |
| Kembabazi Emily,<br>Marketing<br>Manager       | Golden Bees Ltd.  | Uganda                        | Mlloy209@gmail.com<br>+256782321289  | Supply of equipment and superior packaging of bee products.  |
| Kata Wagner,<br>PhD student                    | Bangor University   | Wales, UK                     | Kata.wagner@gmail.com  | Market development and analyses<br>FAO toolkit:<br>http://www.fao.org/forestry/enterprises/25<br>492/en/ |

| Name and title                     | Company  | Address                                 | Contact  | Interesting for / interested in                          |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Krysten Ericson                    | Maasai Honey   | Tanzania and USA                        | maasaihoney@gmail.com<br>www.maasaihoney.org       | Community development project based on beekeeping.       |
| Lightness M. Bayo,<br>Director     | Lake Eyasi Girls Vocational<br>Training Centre             | PO Box 312 Karatu,<br>Arusha            | info@lakeeyasigirls.org<br>+255754317394           | Uses beekeeping to empower young rural women.            |
| Liz Lindsey,<br>Academic assistant | Duke University – The<br>Fuqua School of Business          | Durham, USA                             | Liz.lindsey@duke.edu                               |  |
| Marcel E. Durieux,<br>Proff. Dr.   | University of Virginia,<br>department of<br>anesthesiology | Charlottesville,<br>Virginia, USA       | <u>durieux@virginia.edu</u>                        | Expert on medical uses of bee products.                  |
| Martha Stambuli                    | Business development and re-engineering specialist         | PO box 608 Arusha                       | +255784456309<br>marthaniko2010@gmail.com          | Business development and marketing                       |
| Mugisha Elly,<br>Manager           | Bushenyi Connoisseur<br>Honeys                             | Bushenyi, Ishaka,<br>Uganda             | Connoisseurhoneys@yahoo.com<br>+256772627874       | Value addition to bee products (cookies, candles, wine). |
| Robert M. Otsyina,<br>Consultant   | Development Associates<br>LTD                              | Biashara complex,<br>Mwinjuma strt, Dar | <u>rotsyina@gmail.com</u><br><u>www.dass.co.tz</u> | Involved in BSPK's inception phase                       |
| Segunda Lesilwa,<br>Farm manager   | Buyuni Redd Farms<br>(Tanzania) Limited                    | Buyuni, Bagamoyo,<br>Pwani.             | honey@buyunireddframs.co.tz<br>+255717838826       |  |

| Name and title                        | Company                                | Address           | Contact  | Interesting for / interested in |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------|--|---------------------------------|
|                                       |  |                   | www.buyunireddfarms.co.tz  |                                 |
| Stephen Kanani,<br>Beekeeping officer | Chunya District Council                | PO Box 73 Mbeya   | stephenkanani@yahoo.com<br>+255753441228                                 | DBO Chunya<br>Traders of honey  |
|                                       | Beekeeping development<br>Tanzania Ltd | PO Box 704 Arusha | +255272543012<br><u>bdtltz@gmail.com</u><br><u>www.bees-tanzania.com</u> |                                 |