1. **Adaptations to the TFF**

***Adaptations after Inspector of Finance and organizational assessments of RGB and Ralga***

**In Summary :**

* Funding of LCF-LED: has been taken out of the Result 2 of RDSP-ECD andmoved to Intervention RDSP-DDP. The division of tasks between RDSP-ECD and RDSP-DDP clearly appears in the text and in annexes (‘LCF & Pilots’). There are some risks of incoherence in the implementation to switch the funding of LCF-LED within the second intervention. But mitigation measures, such as to let the responsibility of the set-up of LCF-LED under the project team & LED expertise planned in RDSP-ECD to maintain a more coherent approach from the very beginning of preparing the design and the implementation of the fund.
* CB measures for RGB and Ralga: they have been identified as recommended by OA of RALGA and RGB and added; slight changes in context analysis.
* Capitalisation/lessons learned: this result will contribute to an "evidence-based policy making". Budget has been added. ToR of TA have been slightly adapted to take this task explicitly into account.
* Budget: has been adapted consequently to all changes which had a budgetary implication. Total of 3M° is reduced from RDSP-ECD to RDSP-DDP as follows :

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Previous budget** | **New budget** | **Difference** |
| R1 (LG CB) | € 4.400.000 | € 4.362.500 | -€ 37.500 |
| R2 (LED CB) | € 6.300.000 | € 3.215.000 | -€ 3.085.000 |
| R3 (Participation&Equal LgLgs) | € 1.485.000 | € 1.485.000 | € 0 |
| R4 ( Sector coordination) | € 400.000 | € 426.500 | € 26.500 |
| R5 (Lessons learned) | € 300.000 | € 600.000 | € 300.000 |
| **Sub Total** | **€ 12.885.000** | **€ 10.089.000** | **-€ 2.796.000** |
| Contingency | € 720.000 | € 500.000 | -€ 220.000 |
| General means | € 2.895.000 | € 2.911.000 | € 16.000 |
| **Total** | **€ 16.500.000** | **€ 13.500.000** | **-€ 3.000.000** |

**In Detail :**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Thematics** |  | **Adaptations made in the TFF** |
| Chap 1Situation Analysis | Executive summary + Analytical record : Amount of budget has been adapted to 13.5 M° (instead of 16.5M°) for Intervention 1 (RDSP ECD) and 14.5 M° (instead of 11.5 M°) for Intervention 2 (RDSP DDP). Total for both interventions remains 28 M°. |
| CB | P.15-18 | Small clarification on MINALOC, LODA mandate and Ralga’s new strategic plan which demonstrate further alignment of RDSP with key strategies. Also on JADF and GIZ support to LGI and LGCB PF. |
| LED | P.25 | Local Competitiveness Facility is no longer in the scope of this intervention 1 but can be tested in intervention 2. |
|  |  | Role of Ralga in LED |
| Chap 2 Strategic orientations |  |
|  | P.31-35 | Switches are made to take into account that RDSP DDP (not RDSP ECD) will include and implement a “Local Competitiveness Facility, pilot initiative to support LED partnerships at District level”. |
|  | P.37-38 | Double-track strategy for LED is describing how the enabling environment for the implementation of a local competitiveness Facility will be prepared through the intervention (RDSP-ECD) and the financial support for implementing the LED projects will be provided through a specific result under RDSP-DDP. |
|  | P.42 | Gives more details on how RDSP will work closely with M&E actors of the decentralization sector. |
| Chap 3Intervention framework |  |
|  | P.45-47 | It is explained how Intervention 1 will have to create an enabling environment for Economic Partnerships. It’ll have the responsibility to coordinate preparatory activities to set the Local Competitiveness Facility. But the funding for investment is not foreseen in the intervention 1 but in intervention 2. |
|  | P.49 | Explicits the importance to have technical assistance dedicated to fact-based lessons learned |
|  | P.52 | Explicits how to strengthen organizational processes of RGB to perform on its mandate. Remaining challenges should be addressed in terms of procedures, workflows and appropriate tools. |
|  | P.54 | On Performance Assessments and demand driven CB, it describes conditions of success to fund CB activities through basket fund. |
|  | P.57 | No investment budget for fund of Local Competitiveness Facility (switched towards intervention DDP) |
|  | P.59-65 | On LED Pilots projects, shows that Intervention 1 will be limited to the preparation (Phases 1 to 5) of an enabling environment in order to prepare the funding through Intervention 2. Sutdy on appetite of the sector for such facility remains in the intervention 1 |
|  | P.65 | Explain how to support to the implementation of RALGA’s role : Strengthening organizational processes of RALGA to perform on its mandate |
|  | P.70 | Explains how RDSP will associate RALGA as a reflection partner (and sounding board) which may contribute to fine-tuning of the program’s overall intervention strategies and in facilitating ownership at LG-level of initiatives and innovations supported by the RDSP-ECD |
|  | P.73 | Explains that there will no more OA since each have been conducted during formulation process |
|  | P.74 | Explains that CB NTA will be recruited soon enough - at the end of preparatory phase  |
|  | P.74 | Shows that study on the modalities of the fund is not to be conducted under Intervention 1 |
|  | P.80-84 | Risk linked LED pilot are cancelled; will be mentioned in Intervention 2 |
| Chap 4Resources |  |
|  | P.85 | Budget has been adapted with slighter and bigger changes :A tentative budget (2.8M€) for investment to been included in RDSP-DDPResources for lessons learned have increased since they includes TA cost, reflecting desired workload to be invested in capitalization processes and appropriate documentation of lessons learned in order to contribute effectively to evidence-based policy making at GoR level. |
|  | P.92 | Some clarifications on budgetary allocations of TA cost |
| Chap 5Modalities |  |
|  | P.100-101 | Possible updates of OA under BTC leadership during implementation since all OA have been addressed during formulation |
|  | P.104 | Chronogram of 1 year activities has been amended according to changes in results and activities |
| Chap 7Annexes  |  |
|  | P.129-145 | Logframe has been adapted.For Ralga : explicit indicator on Ralga’s role |
|  | P.146 | Profile and tasks : Slight changes in their role in capitalization process :All TA will contribute to the capitalization and dissemination of the lessons learned of the projects |
|  | P.163-174 | Additional background for LCF framework : slight changes; to be set up under RDSP-DDP |

1. **Adaptation to the Specific Agreement**

**Changes have occurred on articles as follows :**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Articles**  | **Final content** |
| ***1.1.***  | *Title of intervention had to be harmonized in the whole document. Valid one is “Rwanda Decentralization Support Program (RDSP): Enhancing the capacities of districts (ECD)”* |
| ***3.1.*** | *The total budget of the Project is of a maximum amount of 14,927,473 EUR on the date of signing of this Specific Agreement* |
| ***3.2*** | *The Kingdom of Belgium undertakes to contribute a maximum amount of 13,500,000 EUR on the date of signing of the Specific Agreement* |
| ***4.2****.*  | *The text has been adapted to stick to the management contract between DGD and BTC. It says that the Steering Committee may adapt the TFF according to the evolution of the general context and/or the course of the Intervention, with the exception of :** *the Specific Objective of the Project(art.1.3)*
* *the duration of the Specific Agreement (art. 12.1) and of*
* *the total budgets (art. 3), for which a modification needs an exchange of letters between the Parties according to article 12.4 of this Specific Agreement*
 |